


Geospatial certainty you can trust murphygs.ie

# **Utility Survey Report**

Project Name – 10 JFK Signage Foundation
Project Number – 49325
Client – BOC Gases Ireland Limited





# **Document Register**

| Date     | Prepared<br>by | Role      | Checked<br>by | Role            | Revision Reason |
|----------|----------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 28.09.22 | AB             | Processor | DS            | Quality Manager | First Issue     |
|          |                |           |               | V               |                 |
|          |                |           |               |                 |                 |
|          |                | by        | by            | by by           | by by           |

# Contents

| 1 | Intro | duction  | 4  |
|---|-------|--|----|
|   | 1.1   | Terms of Reference                                   | 4  |
|   | 1.2   | Background/Purpose of Investigation                  | 4  |
|   | 1.3   | Objective of project                                 | 4  |
|   | 1.4   | Site Plan view                                       | 4  |
|   | 1.5   | Key Personnel  | 5  |
|   | 1.6   | Specifications and International Standards           | 5  |
| 2 | Surve | ey Report  | 6  |
|   | 2.1   | Survey Restrictions                                  | 6  |
|   | 2.2   | Field Data Survey                                    | 6  |
|   | 2.3   | Traffic Management                                   | 6  |
|   | 2.4   | Methodology  | 6  |
|   |       | 2.4.1 Underground Utility & GPR Survey               | 6  |
|   |       | 2.4.2 GPR Methodology:                               | 7  |
|   | 2.5   | Equipment Used                                       | 8  |
|   | 2.6   | Surveyors Involved                                   | 8  |
|   | 2.7   | Works Programme                                      | 8  |
|   | 2.8   | Software Used for Processing                         | 8  |
|   | 2.9   | Quality Assurance Site Procedures                    | 8  |
|   | 2.10  | Findings   | 9  |
|   |       | 2.10.1 Drainage                                      | 9  |
|   |       | 2.10.2 Water Mains/Fire Mains                        | 9  |
|   |       | 2.10.3 Electricity & Street lighting                 | 9  |
|   |       | 2.10.4 Eir, Enet, UPC (Virgin), BT and another Comms | 10 |



|   |      | 2.10.5 Gas, Oil & Fuel mains                   | 10 |
|---|------|--|----|
|   |      | 2.10.6 Unknown Cables/Empty Ducts and Services | 10 |
|   |      | 2.10.7 GPR data conclusion                     | 11 |
|   | 2.1  | Manhole and pit schedules                      | 12 |
|   | 2.2  | Recommendations                                | 12 |
| 3 | Pas  | Detection Methods and Quality Level Tables     | 13 |
| 4 | Disc | laimers  | 15 |
| 5 | GNI  | Gas Pipeline Disclaimer                        | 18 |
| 6 | Gen  | eral GPR Limitations                           | 19 |



#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Terms of Reference

Location: John F Kennedy Rd, Drimnagh, Co. Dublin

Client: BOC Gases Ireland Limited

Utility Survey Date start: 15/08/2022

This report should be viewed with the following drawings: MGS49325\_U.dwg

This document is the technical report for this investigation; it therefore supersedes any previous reports whether written or oral.

#### 1.2 Background/Purpose of Investigation

Murphy Geospatial were requested to carry out a full GPR & utility survey on behalf of BOC Gases Ireland Limited. The intention of this survey is to detect, locate and record all existing Utilities and highlight any anomalies in the required area for upcoming works.

# 1.3 Objective of project

The objective of the survey was to locate the position and depth of all existing underground utilities using A combination of non-intrusive survey techniques. As the main investigative techniques used are largely non-destructive, the findings given in this report are based on indirect measurements and the interpretation of acoustic, electrical, and electromagnetic signals. The findings represent the best professional opinions of the authors, based on our experience and the results of non-intrusive pipe location carried out elsewhere on similar materials and projects.

#### 1.4 Site Plan view





#### 1.5 Key Personnel

Project Manager: Aidan Doherty

Responsible for the management of the overall project

Senior Surveyor: Luke Maguire

Lead surveyor responsible for the site work

Safety Advisor: Dermot Guiney

Responsible for safety inductions (internal requirements only) and

advising on safe working practices

Quality Manager: Daniel Stempien

Responsible for processing and quality assessment of data

#### 1.6 Specifications and International Standards

All survey works were carried out in accordance with the following guidelines and standards:

- European GPR Association Policy on the Use of GPR in Utility Detection
- American Society of Civil Engineers- Standard Guideline for the collection and depiction of existing subsurface utility data.
- Radio detection- ABC & XYZ of locating buried pipes and cables.
- PAS128: 2014 Publicly Available Specification 128 2014



# 2 Survey Report

#### 2.1 Survey Restrictions

No restrictions have been reported during the survey.

#### 2.2 Field Data Survey

Field data was surveyed in ITM (OSGM15) coordinate system. All levels are related to Malin Head Datum. Survey results were overlaid on MGS topographical and OSI background.

#### 2.3 Traffic Management

Traffic management was not required for this project.

#### 2.4 Methodology

#### 2.4.1 Underground Utility & GPR Survey

Murphy Geospatial detect conductive services with the use of the Radio detection RD8100 receivers and transmitters which use very low electromagnetic frequencies to detect the services utilising the following methods: -

Direct Connection – This technique incorporates the use of a signal generator which is capable of generating sine waves at very low frequencies, typically 8 kHz or 33 kHz which can be applied to a metallic service. The service acts like an aerial and conducts the transmitted signal, which can then be detected on the surface using the receiver.

This is the most accurate method of locating a buried service and is applied in the first instance where access to pipes and cables is possible.

Signal Clamp – The signal clamp will be used to trace buried LV and HV cables. The signal will be applied via a clamp which is placed around the cable at a point the service enters or exits the ground.

Induction – Where a direct signal cannot be applied, the transmitter is used to radiate an indirect signal actively. The transmitter has a built-in aerial, which is capable of transmitting an electromagnetic field into the ground which conducts along the pipe or cable and can be detected on the surface using a receiver.

Passive – In Passive mode, the receiver is used without the transmitter to detect signals, which are generated by power cables or from distant radio transmitters, which constantly induce a signal into metallic services.

This method should only be used once both Direct Connection and Induction methods have been exhausted.



#### 2.4.2 GPR Methodology:

A number of different GPR grids were set out over the site. Data field files were collected with a multi frequency array antenna system to give maximum depth penetration whilst maintaining a high resolution at both shallow and deep depths. Full calibration was carried out at the start scan with constant quality monitoring during acquisition and frequent recalibration checks were carried out where necessary.

Depth readings from GPR rely on multiplying the measured two-way travel time by the velocity of the radio signals passing through the materials under investigation. As the surface and subsurface of the site changes, frequent recalibration of the subsurface velocities results in an accurate calculation of depths and thicknesses of located features relative to the surface.

Post site processing then took place in the office, using specialised software, GPR Slice. A number of processing stages were involved, including start time correction, amplitude gain adjustments, Gaussian filtering, dynamic correction, and noise removal. Once the raw data was processed individual targets were identified on each survey line and linear features mapped out over the survey areas. These GPR results are then incorporated into AutoCAD for final processing.



#### 2.5 Equipment Used

RD4000/8000, Dual-Frequency GPR Radar System, Sonde, Copper Flex, Trimble S3 Total Station/R6 GPS Trimble

# 2.6 Surveyors Involved

Luke Maguire

#### 2.7 Works Programme

Site Works Commenced on 15/09/2022

Delivery of drawings 28/09/2022

#### 2.8 Software Used for Processing

AutoCAD Civil 3D 2020

AutoCAD 2020

**GPR Slice V7.0** 

GRED HD 3D 01.02.00

GeoPal

#### 2.9 Quality Assurance Site Procedures

Equipment used was calibrated and tested in line with manufacturer guidelines.

Calibration certificates can be provided on request. Distance & angle checks were carried out on site regularly.



# 2.10 Findings

# 2.10.1 Drainage

| Comments  | Quality<br>Level QL-<br>A, B, C, D  | Methodology<br>M1 - M4 |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Drainage pipes have been identified on site inside of gully nearby manhole 1.  Service records drawings are showing an additional 300mm going through survey area, possibly from manhole offsite and connecting to main drainage on the road. This connection could not be fully verified and indicative location for this pipe was added on the drawing marked with 'records' note - please refer the drawing. | B2P<br>(located)<br>B4<br>(records) | M2P                    |

#### 2.10.2 Water Mains/Fire Mains

| Comments   | Quality<br>Level QL-<br>A, B, C, D | Methodology<br>M1 - M4 |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Possible location of the water main pipe has been detected within survey area – please refer to the drawing. |                                    |                        |
| No signal was detected from the hydrant found north of the survey area.                                      | B2P                                | M2P                    |
|  |                                    |                        |

# 2.10.3 Electricity & Street lighting

| Comments   | Quality<br>Level QL-<br>A, B, C | Methodology<br>M1 - M4 |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| No evidence of electrical, public lighting or traffic cables was found on site. ESB records drawings don't show any connections on site. | N/A                             | M2P                    |



# 2.10.4 Eir, Enet, UPC (Virgin), BT and another Comms

| Comments   | Quality<br>Level QL-<br>A, B, C | Methodology<br>M1 - M4 |  |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Eir has been traced through survey area from manhole offsite.  Two chambers – manhole 1 and chamber offsite were unable to be opened due to the rusted, seized lid therefore their connections couldn't be fully verified – please refer to the drawing. |                                 | M2P                    |  |
| No evidence of Enet, Vir or BT was found within survey area. There is no Enet, Vir or BT cables in this area according to service provider's records data.   |                                 |                        |  |

# 2.10.5 Gas, Oil & Fuel mains

| Comments  | Quality<br>Level QL-A,<br>B, C | Methodology<br>M1 - M4 |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| No evidence of gas pipes has been found on site.  GNI records drawings don t show any connections within survey area. | N/A                            | M2P                    |

#### 2.10.6 Unknown Cables/Empty Ducts and Services

| Comments  | Quality<br>Level QL-<br>A,B,C,D | Methodology<br>M1 - M4 |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Unidentified service have been detected running from manhole 1 to the north.  It was not possible to identify the nature of this service – please refer to the drawing. |                                 | M2P                    |
| Unknown cable has been traced to offsite from exposed 50mm duct at the sign post- please refer the drawing.   |                                 |                        |



#### 2.10.7 GPR data conclusion

#### Comments

Generally, the depth of investigation from GPR does not exceed 1.8 metre in this area.

As well as all the confirmed utility services which have been identified, there are unidentified features shown as GPR Anomalies. These features may be the result of services which are running through the sites, abandoned services, natural geological features, or land drains amongst other things.



#### 2.1 Manhole and pit schedules

Each manhole/inspection cover within the survey area was opened and the contents documented. These measurements are recorded on a digital manhole description sheet using Geopal applications. The manholes were individually numbered. All depths recorded inside the chamber were by disto, measuring tape or leveling staff. Details included:

- Cover Levels
- Invert levels
- Service Type
- Service Material
- Pipe sizes
- Chamber dimensions
- Direction of flow
- Photographs

After completing manhole investigation each manhole sheets was exported to Excel format and submitted together with final drawing and GPR report as a part of final deliverables.

#### 2.2 Recommendations

Drainage pipes which could not be traced, will require further investigation. It is recommended to jet wash those pipes and carry out CCTV investigation works which would allow to identify connection points for these pipes.

Manhole 1 and nearby manhole offsite which were unable to be opened will require further investigation. It is recommended to contact local authorities and request and assistance in opening those manholes to verify their connections.



# Pas Detection Methods and Quality Level Tables

| Survey type (P<br>(Establish with client prior to survey) to |                                | Quality level (Practitioner Post                |   | ost- Location Accuracy                    |                              |  |         |   |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------|--|---------|---|
|  |                                | to determine<br>past survey)                    | Processing  | Horizontal 1)                             | Vertical 2)                  | Supporting Data  |         |   |
| D  | Desktop utility records search | QL-D  | -   | Undefined                                 | Undefined                    | -  |         |   |
| с  | Site reconnaissance            | QL-C  | -   | Undefined                                 | Undefined                    | A segment of utility whose location is demonstrated by visual reference to street furniture, topographical features or evidence of previous street works (reinstatement scar). |         |   |
|  |                                | QL-B4   | -   | Undefined                                 | Undefined                    | A utility segment which is suspected to exist but has not been detected and is therefore shown as an assumed route.  |         |   |
|  |                                | QL-B3   | No  |   | Undefined (No reliable depth | Horizontal location only of the utility detected by one of the geophysical techniques used   |         |   |
|  |                                | QL-B3P   Yes   ±500 mm   measurement   possible | Yes   | ±500 mm                                   | measurement                  | one of the geophysical techniques used   |         |   |
| В  | Detection                      |   | Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by one of the geophysical techniques used. |   |                              |  |         |   |
|  |                                | QL-B2P  | Yes   | of detected depth<br>whichever is greater | detected depth               |  |         |   |
|  |                                | QL-B1   | No  | ±150 mm or ±15%                           |                              | NO 1150 mm or 115%   +15% of   hy multiple geophysical to  | ±15% of | Horizontal and vertical location of the utility detected by multiple geophysical techniques used. |
|  |                                | QL-B1P Yes whichever is greater                 | whichever is greater  | detected depth                            |                              |  |         |   |
| A  | Verification                   | QL-A  | -   | ±50 mm                                    | ±25 mm                       | Horizontal and vertical location of the top and/or bottom of the utility.  |         |   |

<sup>1)</sup> Horizontal location is to the centreline of the utility.

<sup>2)</sup> Vertical location is to the top of the utility.

<sup>3)</sup> For detection, it is a requirement that a minimum of GPR and EML techniques are used (see 8.2.1.1.2).

<sup>4)</sup> Electronic depth readings using EML equipment are not normally sufficient to achieve a QL-B2 or higher.

<sup>5)</sup> Some utilities can only be detected by one of the existing detection techniques. As a consequence, such utilities cannot be classified as a QL-B1.



| Method 1)<br>to be determined<br>n consultation<br>with the client) | Survey   | Quality                             |                     |                  |                                   |   |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
|   |  | Other                               |                     | Levels           | Typical Application (informative) |   |
|   | EML 3)   | General                             | Post-<br>Processing | Techniques 4)    | achievable                        | (intermedice)   |
| M1  | Orthogonal search transect at ≤10 m intervals and when following a utility   | Use as applicable                   | No                  |                  | B1, B2, B3, B4                    | Head whom the density of comices in   |
| М1Р   | trace, search transects at ≤5 m  |                                     | Yes                 | ≤5 m survey grid | B1P, B2P, B3P                     | Used where the density of services is<br>typical of an undeveloped area   |
| M2  | Orthogonal search transect at ≤5 m<br>intervals and when following a utility | Either:<br>a) ≤2 m orthogonal; or   | No                  | ≤2 m survey grid | B1, B2, B3, B4                    | Used where the density of services typical of a suburban area or where the utility services cross a boundary of a survey area |
| M2P   | trace, search transects at ≤2 m<br>intervals                                 | b) high density arrays)             | Yes                 |                  | B1P, B2P, B3P                     |   |
| МЗ  | Orthogonal search transect at ≤2 m intervals and when following a utility    | Either:<br>a) ≤1 m orthogonal; or   | No                  |                  | B1, B2, B3, B4                    | typical of a busy urban area or for clearance surveys prior to operations   |
| МЗР   | trace, search transects at ≤1 m<br>intervals                                 | b) high density array 5)            | Yes                 | ≤1 m survey grid | B1P, B2P, B3P                     |   |
| M4  | Orthogonal search transect at ≤2 m intervals and when following a utility    | Either:<br>a) ≤0.5 m orthogonal; or | No                  |                  | B1, B2, B3, B4                    | Used where the density of services  |
| trace, search transects at ≤0.5 m  M4P intervals                    | b) high density array 5)   | Yes                                 | ≤0.5 m survey grid  |                  | ypical of a congested city area   |   |

NOTE 1 In general the effort increases from M1 to M4 and the addition of post-processing. For areas with a greater density of utilities or areas considered high risk by the client, a detection method that has a higher level of effort should be selected.

NOTE 2 "P" indicates off-site post-processing has been included.

- 1) It is a requirement that a minimum of GPR and EML techniques are used.
- 2) The tolerance for orthogonal transect centres and survey grids shall be ±0.1 m.
- 3) It is a requirement that passive EML is deployed over the whole survey area and that where an active EML method can be used, it is used.
- 4) The transect centre depends on technique used.
- 5) A high density array comprises 100 mm or closer antenna separation.

Geospatial certainty you can trust murphygs.ie



#### 4 Disclaimers

The survey aims to map all existing utilities and sub-surface structures and provide information with respect to pipe size, material type and drainage connectivity. However, GPR surveying is limited by the following guidelines and it may not be possible to accurately survey, define and locate all services and sub-surface features. Survey Results are representative of the date and time of survey only.

- Locational accuracy is determined by referring to the manufacturers guidelines for the detectors used.
- Existing record information showing underground services is often incomplete and unknown accuracy; therefore, it should be regarded only as an indication.
- In ideal conditions these spatial accuracies for the underground utilities are +/- 5% for the RD4000 and +/- 10% of depth for the GPR to 2.5m deep. However, variations within the subsurface may alter this estimated accuracy.
- Although all reasonable steps have been taken to locate all features, there is no guarantee that all will be shown on the drawing as some above ground features may have obstructed the survey.
- GPR surveying operates best within high resistivity material. Clay overburden can impair GPR surveying.
- Due to the attenuation of the radar signal with depth, resolution is restricted, hence making identification of anomalies difficult with increasing depth.
- The depth penetration and quality of the data depends on the ground conditions on the site. Poor data may be a result of areas with high conductivity. Also, high reflective materials close to the surface i.e. rebar may hide deeper anomalies.
- It is not always possible to trace the entire length of each underground service.
- It is always our intention to use the Utility providers' details, if supplied prior to survey commencement as a guide for location purposes. However, should we not be able to locate those guided services we shall not be held responsible for the accuracy, or otherwise, of the location of that service, as issued by the utility provider and therefore shown "Taken from Records" on the drawing and we are not liable for any loss that may arise due to the lack of accuracy in the guided information.
- Unless otherwise stated, all services and sub-surface structures shown on Murphy Geospatial plan drawings have been surveyed using approved detectors and the connections between manholes, if not traced, are assumed to run straight.
- Plan accuracies of the order of + or 150mm may be achieved but this figure will depend on the depth of the service below ground level. Where similar services run on close proximity, separation may be impossible. Successful tracing of non-metallic pipes may be limited.
- Please note that not all buried pipes, cables and ducts can be detected and mapped in consideration of their depth, location, material type, geology, and proximity to other



utilities. Even an appropriate and professionally executed survey may not be able to achieve a 100% detection rate.

- Services which have been untraceable are shown from Records where possible.
- DP represents distance from the surface level to the top of the service/ radar.

No allowance has been made within our quotation, unless otherwise stated, for the location and mapping of undeclared services. Failure to detect or fully map any declared service will be recorded within the notes accompanying our final drawings.

Where technically possible, depth indications will be given. These should be used for guidance only and wherever critical accuracy is required these should be confirmed by the Client by undertaking trial excavations or similar. Bends, lateral service connections, or the close proximity of other services and local magnetic, atmospheric, or ground conditions, could in certain situations influence the accuracy of the plan and depth indication facility. Depths will not be provided unless we are reasonably confident of their validity.

Where Murphy Geospatial issues a CAD drawn utility service plan, this should be read in conjunction with all available public utility records etc. As part of our exhaustive Quality Control procedures, Murphy Geospatial endeavour to add relevant Public Utility record information onto the final issue drawing. An allowance should be made for the width of services, particularly where these are laid in bands or are of significant size etc. For clarification or appropriate easement bands, we would recommend that direct contact is made with the Asset Owner or Statutory Undertaker.

We exclude the following, except where otherwise specified and possible to do so:

- All private service connections, (including water or gas fittings where no through flow of applied signal is possible.
- Pot ended or disconnected cables or terminated short lengths of pipe.
- Internal building services
- Fibre optic cables (except where laid with a standard communications cable or builtin tracer wire or similar conductor system) or can be clearly located using ground penetrating radar.
- Small diameter cables less than 17mm diameter, or pipes less than 38mm diameter.
- Above ground services unless specifically requested.
- Lifting manhole covers which require longer than 10-minute effort using standard heavy-duty lifting apparatus.
- Services positioned directly below other pipes or cables etc (i.e. masking signal) intrusive verification options available on request.
- Deep non-metallic pipes, ducts, or culverts (unless probing or Pipe Track 3d is specified as part of the fully invasive survey option).



 Passing through defective pipework (displaced joints etc) or acute bends between access points.

Please note that our Quotation does not allow for location of individual service feeds to properties unless reasonable to do so, as access would be required into each property to apply direct connections to inlet points and this would significantly increase the scope of work, survey cost and also cause possible disruption to occupants.

Service provider utility drawings may not be up to date or give sufficient coverage of all areas surveyed, as such extra precaution should be taken when excavation works are carried out on site and it is recommended to contact service providers before commencing any excavation works within surveyed areas.

All work carried out by Murphy Geospatial conforms to the guidelines set out by The Survey Association (TSA).



# 5 GNI Gas Pipeline Disclaimer

Gas Networks Ireland (GNI), their affiliates and assigns, accept no responsibility for any information contained in this document concerning location and technical designation of the gas distribution and transmission network ("the Information"). Any representations and warranties express or implied, are excluded to the fullest extent permitted by law. No liability shall be accepted for any loss or damage including, without limitation, direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, or consequential loss including loss of profits, arising out of or in connection with the use of the Information (including maps or mapping data). NOTE: DIAL BEFORE YOU DIG Phone 1850 427 747 or e-mail dig@gasnetworks.ie – The actual position of the gas/electricity distribution and transmission network must be verified on site before any mechanical excavating takes place. If any mechanical excavation is proposed, hard copy maps must be requested from GNI re gas. All work in the vicinity of the gas distribution and transmission network must be completed in accordance with the current edition of the Health & Safety Authority publication, 'Code of Practice for Avoiding Danger from Underground Services' which is available from the Health and Safety Authority (1890 28 93 89) or can be downloaded free of charge at www.hsa.ie.



# 6 General GPR Limitations

| GPR surveying is lim         | nited by the following guidelines  | Minimizing GPR<br>Limitations  |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Depth and size of<br>Utility | In good ground conditions and within the depth range of two metres the ability to detect a utility will reduce in diameter by 1mm for each 10mm of depth. i.e. a 200mm pipe can be detected at 2m and a 50mm pipe at 0.5m but a 25mm plastic water service pipe to a house cannot be detected at 1.2m with radar | Murphy Geospatial incorporated Radio Detection surveys in areas where GPR was found to be ineffective.   |
| Shadowing                    | This can happen where shallow buried utilities hide or mask deeper buried utilities below.   | Murphy Geospatial use<br>mutli frequency radar<br>systems to reduce the<br>effect of shadowing.  |
| Soil Condition               | GPR surveying operates best within high resistivity material. Clay overburden can impair GPR surveying. The depth penetration and quality of the data depends on the ground conditions on site. Poor data maybe a result of areas with high conductivity   | Murphy Geospatial<br>calibrate our GPR Systems<br>for varying soil types on<br>each project.   |
| Plan Accuracies              | Plan accuracies of the order of + or - 150mm maybe achieved but this figure will depend on the depth of the service below ground level.  | Murphy Geospatial<br>incorporated Radio<br>Detection surveys in areas<br>where GPR was found to<br>be ineffective.   |
|                              | Although all reasonable steps have been taken to locate all features, there is no guarantee that all will be shown on the drawing as some above ground features may have obstructed the survey.  | Murphy Geospatial utility<br>surveyors are all qualified<br>and certified to locate<br>underground services.   |
| Existing<br>Utility Records  | Existing record information showing underground services is often incomplete and unknown accuracy; therefore, it should be regarded only as an indication.   | It is always our intention to use the Utility provider's details, if supplied prior to survey commencement, as a guide for location purposes. However, should we not be able to locate those guided services we shall not be held responsible for the accuracy, or otherwise, of the location of that service, as issued by the utility provider and therefore |



|                    |  | shown "Taken from<br>Records" on the drawing<br>and we are not liable for<br>any loss that may arise<br>due to the lack of accuracy<br>in the guided information. |
|--------------------|--|---|
| Loss of Signal     | It is not always possible to trace the entire length of each underground service.                | Murphy Geospatial will indicate on the drawing if a service trace is lost.  |
| Utility Congestion | Where similar services run on close proximity, separation maybe impossible.                      | Murphy Geospatial incorporated Radio Detection surveys in areas where GPR was found to be ineffective.  |
| Pipe Material      | Successful tracing of non-metallic pipes maybe limited due to material construction of the pipe. | Murphy Geospatial incorporate Radio Detection/ Manhole& PWG surveys in areas where GPR was found to be ineffective.   |

The American Society of Civil Engineers in their 'Standard Guidance for the collection and depiction of existing subsurface utility data' has a useful rule of thumb for GPR which in, metric values, can be summarised as: 'In good ground conditions and within the depth range of two metres the ability to detect a utility will reduce in diameter by 1mm for each 10mm of depth. i.e. a 200mm pipe can be detected at 2m and a 50mm pipe at 0.5m but a 25mm plastic water service pipe to a house cannot be detected at 1.2m with radar'.