

#### **TREE/HEDGEROW PROTECTION & MANAGEMENT PLAN**

At

CLONBURRIS SDZ REGISTER REFERENCE: SDZ21A/0022

FOR

**CAIRN HOMES LIMITED** 

Murray & Associates Landscape Architecture

16 The Seapoint Building 44-45 Clontarf Road, Dublin 3 Tel: +353 (0)1 8540090 Fax: +353 (0)1 8540095 mail@murray-associates.com www.murray-associates.com

Member of the Irish Landscape Institute



# Contents

- 1.0 Introduction and terms of reference
- 2.0 Tree and hedgerow protection conditions compliance
- 3.0 Tree and hedgerow management plan
- 3.1 Aims and objectives
- 3.2 Environmental considerations
- 4.0 Post construction management operations
- 4.1 General
- 4.2 Specifications for tree and hedgerow works

# **Issue Sheet**

| Rev. No. | Issue Status | Date     | Prepared By | Checked By |
|----------|--------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| 1        | For Issue    | 17-11-22 | FT/IS/JW    | JW         |
|          |              |          |             |            |
|          |              |          |             |            |
|          |              |          |             |            |
|          |              |          |             |            |

# 1.0 Introduction & Terms of Reference

The trees and hedgerows at the subject site at Clonburris SDZ are the subject of a number of planning conditions, as set out below. We have indicated next to each paragraph of the particular condition the relevant measure/drawing which addresses each part of the conditions.

### 2.0 Tree and Hedgerow Protection conditions compliance

### **Condition 8**

Prior to the submission of the Commencement Notice within the meaning of Part II of the Building Control Regulations 1997 and prior to the commencement of any works on site including any related construction activity or tree felling:

*i)* The developer shall engage the services of a qualified arborist as an arboricultural consultant, for the entire period of construction activity and shall notify the planning authority of that appointment in writing. This is to ensure the protection of trees and hedgerows to be retained within and adjacent the site.

# A letter confirming our appointment is appended to this report

*ii)* The applicant shall implement all the recommendations pertaining to tree retention, tree protection and tree works, as detailed in The Tree File Ltd drawing titled Clonburris Tree Impacts/Protection Plan EAST, dated June 2022 and Arboricultural Report as submitted on November 2021.

See sections of the report relating to inventory, protection measures, and future management, and drawings numbered :

1738\_PLC\_P\_00 for Masterplan;

1738\_PLC\_P\_01.1 for Masterplan - 01/02;

1738\_PLC\_P\_01.2 for Masterplan - 02/02;

1738\_PLC\_P\_02.1 for Boundary Treatment Plan & Details | Residential Boundaries;

1738\_PLC\_P\_02.2 for Boundary Treatment Plan & Details | Open Space Boundaries;

1738\_PLC\_P\_03 for Play Areas;

1738\_PLC\_P\_04.1 for Soft Landscape Plan - 01/02;

1738\_PLC\_P\_04.2 for Soft Landscape Plan - 02/02;

1738\_PLC\_P\_05 for Removed/Retained/Compensatory Planting Plan;

1738\_PLC\_D\_01 for Soft Landscape and SuDS | Details;

# 1738\_PLC\_TPP\_01 for Hedgerow & Tree Protection Plan; 1738\_PLC\_TPP\_01.1 for Hedgerow & Tree Protection Plan Zoom Area; 1738\_PLC\_ExViews\_01 for Pre Development Photos and Location Map.

*iii)* A tree and hedgerow protection strategy including a Construction Stage Tree Protection Plan and Construction Stage Arboricultural Method Statement, prepared by a qualified arborist as recommended within the Tree File Ltd, Arboricultural Report in accordance with the Arboricultural Method Statement. The strategy shall include all land within the 30m buffer zone and the Fonthill Road embankment.

See report and also to be read with drawings numbered 1738\_PLC\_TPP\_01 for Hedgerow & Tree Protection Plan; 1738\_PLC\_TPP\_01.1 for Hedgerow & Tree Protection Plan Zoom Area;

*ii)* Pre Development Photo's: the applicant shall submit photographs and confirmation that fencing for retained trees/hedgerows meets BS5837:2012. 'Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations' for the written agreement of the Public Realm Section. This shall include a location map of where each picture was taken from.

# See drawing 1738\_PLC\_ExViews\_01

*iii)* All land within the 30m buffer zone is to be fenced off to protect it. Such an area is very sensitive to development, it should not be used for stockpiling soils or material or for any other storage function. It should not be dug up or the ground otherwise disturbed.

Areas of vegetation, hedgerows and individual trees to be protected with fencing to be as BS5837: Trees in relation to design, demolition, and construction.

# See drawing 1738\_PLC\_TPP\_01

*iv)* All works on retained trees shall comply with proper arboricultural techniques conforming to BS 3998:2010 Tree Work – Recommendations. The clearance of any vegetation including trees and scrub shall be carried out outside the bird-breeding season (1st day of March to the 31st day of August inclusive) or as stipulated under the Wildlife Acts 1976 and 2000.

### This is detailed in the hedgerow and tree management section.

v) The arborist shall carry out a post construction tree survey and assessment on the condition of the retained trees. A completion certificate is to be signed off by the arborist when all permitted development works are completed and in line with the recommendations of the tree report. The certificate shall be submitted to the planning authority for written agreement upon completion of the works.

REASON: To ensure the protection, safety, prudent retention and long term viability of trees to be retained on and immediately adjacent to the route.

We confirm that we will inspect the protection at regular intervals and will issue the certificate on completion of the works.

# **Tree and Hedgerow Retention**

# **Condition 10**

Prior to the submission of Commencement Notice within the meaning of Part II of the Building Control Regulations 1997 and prior to the commencement of any works on site, the applicant, owner or developer shall have lodged with the Planning Authority for agreement by Public Realm, a hedgerow management plan that shows the amount of hedgerow being removed (mapped and linear metres) and the amount of compensatory/replacement hedgerow being planted (mapped and linear metres) as part of the proposals.

REASON: In the interests of adequate GI provision and compliance with the Planning Scheme in relation to monitoring of hedgerow removal.

#### See hedgerow management plan and :

1738\_PLC\_TPP\_01 for Hedgerow & Tree Protection Plan; 1738\_PLC\_TPP\_01.1 for Hedgerow & Tree Protection Plan Zoom Area;

660 lin.m of existing hedgerows are to be retained, and there will be 815 lin.m of new hedgerow established per the compliance drawings.

This report sets out the procedures and details that will be implemented in order to satisfy the conditions of planning. The following drawings are listed for reference:

1738\_PLC\_P\_00 for Masterplan;
1738\_PLC\_P\_01.1 for Masterplan - 01/02;
1738\_PLC\_P\_01.2 for Masterplan - 02/02;
1738\_PLC\_P\_02.1 for Boundary Treatment Plan & Details | Residential Boundaries;
1738\_PLC\_P\_02.2 for Boundary Treatment Plan & Details | Open Space Boundaries;
1738\_PLC\_P\_03 for Play Areas;
1738\_PLC\_P\_04.1 for Soft Landscape Plan - 01/02;
1738\_PLC\_P\_05 for Removed/Retained/Compensatory Planting Plan;
1738\_PLC\_D\_01 for Soft Landscape and SuDS | Details;
1738\_PLC\_TPP\_01 for Hedgerow & Tree Protection Plan;
1738\_PLC\_TPP\_01.1 for Hedgerow & Tree Protection Plan Zoom Area;
1738\_PLC\_ExViews\_01 for Pre Development Photos and Location Map.

### Methodology Employed

The drawings and documents contained in the planning application from 'The Tree File' and the tree survey dated November 2021 was uploaded into 'treeplotter' GIS and a database of all the existing trees on the subject site was created. On the 7<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup> October all of the trees were resurveyed and accurately positioned on drawings numbered 1738\_PLC\_TPP\_01. The GIS based system allows for the recording of all inspections over the course of the construction monitoring program.

The areas were also photographed on the survey date pre commencement of construction. A record of this is located on drawings 1738\_PLC\_ExViews\_01.

The confirmed locations are on drawings 1738\_PLC\_TPP\_01, and TPP\_01.1 The tree schedule is contained in appendix 1 and hedgerow schedule is contained in appendix 2. The works are divided into two principal sections, namely tree protection during construction and tree/hedgerow management post construction.

# 3.0 Tree and Hedgerow management plan

### 3.1 Aims & objectives

Management of hedgerows aims to put in place the appropriate management operations to maximise the value of the site's hedgerows. The specific objectives are as follows:

- Mature hedgerows should be allowed to grow freely and naturally;
- New hedgerows should be managed to enable them to develop into;
- All plants to be maintained so that they remain in good health;
- All plants to have a habit and form consistent with species type and aesthetic objectives;
- Areas surrounding hedgerows are to be maintained in such a way that potential threats to hedgerow viability are addressed, e.g. weed control (particularly invasive weeds);
- Appropriate control of ivy;
- Enable recognition of site vegetation (including trees) at the end of its viable life is important to ensure that it is removed and replaced in a timely manner to avoid dangers to park users.
- Where Ash trees fail and are structurally unstable they should be made safe. Use shall be made of standing deadwood and where appropriate deadwood should be left to naturally decay back into the soil.
- Broadleaf replanting shall take place at the earliest opportunity using light standard trees utilising native tree species to establish a cover of trees in advance of the ultimate decline of the Ash.

# 3.2 Environmental considerations

Responsible and sustainable hedgerow management is about balancing the performance standards with the required standard of maintenance. The following principles have guided the development of the specification:

- Minimise use of non-renewable resources
  - e.g. reduce use of chemical inputs such as pesticides, utilise manual tools where possible and safe.
- Utilise low input systems
  - Includes measures such as: mulching instead of herbicide use, where possible.
- On-site green waste recycling / mulching / composting
  - Avoids excessive transportation and use of landfill.

- Use of environmentally friendly products where possible
  - e.g. biodegradable herbicides, biodegradable tree ties, timber stakes.
- Biodiversity & Nature Conservation
  - Project ecologist will be consulted for any replacement planting or operations that could disturb wildlife in order to comply with best practice; All works involving tree surgery or removal of trees / hedgerows will be carried out outside the nesting season (unless required for health and safety or is unavoidable).
- Pollinator-Friendly Management Practices
  - to encourage bee and insect populations by managing appropriately.
- Control of Invasive Species
  - It is an objective of this plan to control and prevent the spread of invasive species, in order to protect the biodiversity of the landscape. Note that a plan is in place to deal with Three-Cornered Leek, which was identified on Heronford Lane by Scott Cawley Ecologists.
- Protection of site resources
  - Appropriate maintenance will result in the protection of existing trees, hedgerow vegetation and soil resource of the site.

# 4.0 Post construction management operations

### 4.1 General

New and existing hedgerows will have different maintenance regimes until the new hedgerows become established. Following is general guidance for maintenance of hedgerows:

- Carry out hedgerow maintenance between September 1st and the last day of February to avoid the bird nesting season, in accordance with Wildlife Act.
- Prior to carrying out maintenance operations, the hedgerow should be inspected to identify trees and other wildlife features, as well as any obstacles or hazards that may be present.
- Retain old trees and standing dead trees within the hedgerow, where it is safe to do so. Dead standing trees should be pruned of side branches and ivy to minimise hazard to park users.
- Hedgerows should be cut once every three years.
- Consider carrying out maintenance in rotation, so that not all hedgerows are pruned at once. If possible, one side of a hedge should be trimmed in a season, and the other side in the following year.
- In cutting hedgerows, a triangular-shaped profile with bushy structure is preferred to encourage the development of a dense hedge with good wind resistance.
- Cut different hedges to different heights to vary the habitat value.
- Finger bar cutters with a pair of reciprocating blades should be used for trimming young growth.
- Flail cutters may be used on soft growth, and not on woody growth. If woody growth is inadvertently damaged, any ripped or ragged ends should be pruned back to the next branch junction.
- Where feasible, hedge trimmings should be piled in an agreed location on-site to provide habitat.
- Maintain 2m wide (minimum) buffer strips containing long grassland immediately adjacent to hedgerows, in accordance with Parks Biodiversity Plan.
- There is a large number of Ash within the hedgerows which will ultimately fai over the next 5-7 years. These should be replaced by a mix of broadleaf tree species including Oak, Lime, Birch and Hazel.

# 4.2 Specifications for Tree and Hedgerow Works

Works to hedgerows are to be carried out as per the following tables.

# 4.2.1 New Hedgerows – First three years

| Criterion                                 | Specifications   |
|---|--|
| Aesthetic /<br>functional<br>requirements | Even, clean finish to ground plane. Hedge to have a healthy, lush appearance, typical for plant species and time of year. Relatively informal habit acceptable.            |
| Weed<br>Control                           | No weeds permitted in the hedge area. May be mulched or treated<br>with an approved residual herbicide to provide year round weed<br>control.                              |
| Bark Mulch                                | Recommended – 50mm deep; to be kept topped up at all times.  |
| Fertiliser                                | Annual feeding with 50g/sq.m of general-purpose fertiliser in February. (Rake back mulch prior to application.)  |
| Pruning                                   | Pruning once per annum for the first three years to encourage development of bushy form; all clippings to be gathered at every pruning and disposed of in designated area. |
| Watering                                  | Watering required only in periods of prolonged drought (i.e. after more than 2 weeks)  |

# 4.2.2 Mature Hedgerows

| Criterion                                 | Performance Standards   |
|---|---|
| Aesthetic /<br>functional<br>requirements | Natural finish to ground plane. Hedge to have a healthy, lush appearance, typical for plant species and time of year. Informal habit acceptable.  |
| Weed<br>Control                           | Native weeds permitted in the hedge area as natural for the species.<br>Noxious and invasive weeds to be treated appropriately.   |
| Bark Mulch                                | Not required.   |
| Fertiliser                                | None  |
| Cutting                                   | Cutting once every three years as necessary to maintain the required<br>height and width, and prevent "leggy" growth; all clippings to be<br>gathered at every cutting and disposed of in designated area for<br>habitat. |
| Watering                                  | Not required.   |

Results of management measures should be reviewed on a regular basis and adjusted as necessary in order to maintain the hedgerows in optimal condition. Only structurally essential works should be carried out to the existing trees.

| BS5837:2012 Table 2 – Cascade  | chart for tree quality assessment   |  |  |                           |
|--|---|--|--|---------------------------|
| Category and definition  | Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)  |  |  | Identification<br>on plan |
| Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)  |   |  |  |                           |
| <b>Category U</b><br>Those in such a condition that they cannot<br>realistically be retained as living trees in the<br>context of the current land use for longer<br>than 10 years | <ul> <li>Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural deferences and of other category U trees (e.g. where, for what Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant,</li> <li>Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the here NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential constructions.</li> </ul>          | ect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, includin<br>tever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated<br>immediate, and irreversible overall decline<br>alth and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality tree<br>ervation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see [BS58 | ng those that will become unviable after<br>by pruning)<br>s suppressing adjacent trees of better quality<br>37:2012] <b>4.5.7</b> . |                           |
|  | 1 Mainly arboricultural qualities   | 2 Mainly landscape qualities   | 3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation   |                           |
| Trees to be considered for retention   |   |  |  |                           |
| Category A   | Trees that are particularly good examples of their  | Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual  | Trees, groups or woodlands of significant  |                           |
| <b>Trees of high quality</b> with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years  | species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that<br>are essential components of groups or formal or<br>semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the<br>dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)  | importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features   | conservation, historical, commemorative<br>or other value (e.g. veteran trees or<br>wood-pasture)                                    | $\bigcirc$                |
| Category B   | Trees that might be included in category A, but are   | Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or   | Trees with material conservation or other  |                           |
| <b>Trees of moderate quality</b> with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years  | downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g.<br>presence of significant though remediable defects,<br>including unsympathetic past management and<br>storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be<br>suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees<br>lacking the special quality necessary to merit the<br>category A designation | woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective<br>rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring<br>as collectives but situated so as to make little visual<br>contribution to the wider locality  | cultural value   | $\bigcirc$                |
| Category C   | Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such  | Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this   | Trees with no material conservation or   | _                         |
| Trees of low quality with an estimated   | impaired condition that they do not qualify in highe  | r conferring on them significantly greater collective  | other cultural value   |                           |
| remaining life expectancy of at least<br>10 years, or young trees with a stem<br>diameter below 150 mm   | categories  | landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefit   |  | _                         |

# Appendix 1 – Tree Schedule

|         |                       |               |           |     | C    | rown | sprea |     |                 |      |        |             |      |   |                  |
|---------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|-----|------|------|-------|-----|-----------------|------|--------|-------------|------|---|------------------|
| Terrer  |                       | Common        | Dbh<br>[] | Ht  | (81) | (5)  | (c)   | ()  | Life            | Stru | ctural | <b>C</b> -1 |      | Commente  | December 14th    |
| Tag no. | Latin Name            | Name          |           | [m] | (N)  | (E)  | (5)   | (W) | Stage           | Con  | dition | Cat.        |      | Comments  | Recommendations  |
| 1       | excelsior             | Common<br>Ash | 306       | 6   | 2.5  | 3    | 3     | 1.5 | semi-<br>mature | Fair | Fair   | C2          | 3.67 | Suppressed and<br>distorted,<br>arising naturally<br>from within<br>hedgerow<br>thicket. Is multi-<br>stem from low<br>level<br>raising concerns<br>regarding<br>mechanical<br>integrity. | Review regularly |
|         | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 223       | 7   | 1.5  | 2.5  | 2.5   | 1.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Fair | Fair   | C2          | 2.68 | Arising naturally<br>from hedgerow<br>thicket.<br>Comprises<br>element of<br>natural<br>regeneration.   |                  |
| 3       | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 261       | 7   | 2.5  | 3    | 2     | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Fair | Fair   | C2          | 3.13 | Young and<br>vigorous, arising<br>from hedge<br>thickets.   |                  |

| <u>Clonburris</u> |                       |               |     |   |     |     |     |     |                 |      |      |    |      |  | Tree S |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|------|------|----|------|--|--------|
| 4                 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 175 | 5 | 1   | 1   | 1.5 | 1   | Semi-<br>mature | Fair | Fair | C2 | 2.1  | Bark damaged<br>and naturally<br>arising from<br>waterlogged<br>hedge thicket.   |        |
| 5                 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 185 | 5 | 1.5 | 2   | 1.5 | 1.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Fair | Good | C2 | 2.22 | Young and<br>vigorous arising<br>from southern<br>side of<br>waterlogged<br>ditch<br>scenario  |        |
| 6                 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 379 | 7 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3   | 3   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 4.55 | A multi-<br>stemmed group<br>wholly<br>enveloped with<br>lvy cover the<br>prevents<br>detailed visual<br>review. Of poor-<br>quality<br>specimen arising<br>from northern<br>bank of flooded<br>ditch. |        |
| 7                 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 185 | 5 | 1   | 1.5 | 1   | 1   | Semi-<br>mature | Good | Fair | B2 | 2.22 | Young and<br>vigorous  |        |
| 8                 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 261 | 7 | 2   | 2.5 | 2   | 2   | Semi-<br>mature | Fair | Fair | C2 | 3.13 | Young and<br>vigorous arising<br>from southern<br>side of ditch.   |        |

| 9  | Ulmus glabra          | Wych<br>Elm     | 185 | 5 | 1   | 1 | 2   | 1   | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Dead | U  | 2.22 | Completely<br>dead, killed by<br>Dutch Elm<br>disease.   |        |
|----|-----------------------|-----------------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|-----|------------------|------|------|----|------|--|--------|
| 10 | Salix fragilis        | Crack<br>Willow | 783 | 9 | 5   | 4 | 4.5 | 4   | Mature           | Poor | Poor | U  | 9.4  | Multi-stemmed,<br>decayed and<br>splitting at 2.00<br>m. Offers no<br>realistic<br>sustainability. |        |
| 11 | Salix caprea          | Goat<br>Willow  | 229 | 4 | 0   | 2 | 4   | 1.5 | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Fair | C2 | 2.75 | Heavily<br>unbalanced to<br>south. Arises<br>from area of<br>boggy ground.                         |        |
| 12 | Salix caprea          | Goat<br>Willow  | 341 | 4 | 2.5 | 4 | 4   | 3   | Mature           | Fair | Fair | C2 | 4.09 | Arises from<br>position close to<br>the northern<br>edge of ditch.                                 |        |
| 13 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash   | 261 | 6 | 2   | 4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Poor | U  | 3.13 | Previously<br>damaged and in<br>a state of<br>decline  | Remove |

| 14 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 258 | 5 | 2.5 | 2   | 2   | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Good | Fair | C2 | 3.1  | Young and<br>vigorous, arising<br>naturally from<br>within<br>hedgerow<br>thicket. |                   |
|----|-----------------------|---------------|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|------|------|----|------|--|-------------------|
| 15 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 207 | 6 | 1.5 | 1   | 1   | 1.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Poor | U  | 2.48 | Instate of chronic decline.  | Remove.           |
| 16 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 239 | 6 | 2   | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Poor | U  | 2.87 | Instate of chronic decline.  | Remove.           |
| 17 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 204 | 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1   | Semi-<br>mature | Fair | Good | B2 | 2.45 | Young and vigorous.  | Review regularly. |
| 22 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 194 | 8 | 1   | 1.5 | 2   | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Poor | U  | 2.33 | In a state of ongoing decline.   | Remove.           |
| 23 | Ulmus glabra          | Wych<br>Elm   | 197 | 9 | 2   | 4.5 | 2   | 0   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Dead | U  | 2.36 | Unbalance and dead.  | Remove.           |

| 24 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 325 | 7  | 1 | 4   | 3 | 3   | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Fair | U  | 3.9  | Triple stemmed<br>but some stems<br>have been cut.<br>Unsuitable for<br>retention.   | Remove. |
|----|-----------------------|---------------|-----|----|---|-----|---|-----|------------------|------|------|----|------|--|---------|
| 25 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 290 | 7  | 3 | 3   | 2 | 3   | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Fair | B2 | 3.48 | Young and<br>vigorous, arising<br>from western<br>bank of ditch.   |         |
| 26 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 347 | 6  | 3 | 4.5 | 3 | 2   | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Fair | U  | 4.16 | Distorted<br>suckering group<br>arising from<br>decaying stump<br>of previous tree.<br>Unsuitable for<br>retention.  | Remove. |
| 27 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 688 | 10 | 4 | 3.5 | 4 | 3   | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Fair | C2 | 8.26 | Divided from<br>low level. Arises<br>from position<br>close to<br>confluence of<br>ditches. Vigour<br>and vitality are<br>fair though<br>crown support<br>notable<br>deadwood. |         |
| 28 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 398 | 6  | 4 | 4   | 4 | 2.5 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Poor | U  | 4.78 | Squat, distorted<br>and affected by<br>Polyporus.<br>Unsuitable for<br>retention   | Remove. |

| ~   |    |    |      |
|-----|----|----|------|
| ( ) | nn | hu | rric |
| 0   |    | υu | 1113 |
|     |    |    |      |

| 29 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 274 | 5 | 3   | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Poor | U  | 3.29 | A relic a once<br>larger tree<br>having suffered<br>extensive<br>collapse.   | Remove. |
|----|-----------------------|---------------|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------|------|------|----|------|--|---------|
| 30 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 175 | 6 | 3   | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature  | Fair | Fair | B2 | 2.1  | Young and<br>vigorous, arising<br>from hedgerow<br>thicket.  |         |
| 31 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 306 | 5 | 2.5 | 1   | 2   | 2   | Semi-<br>mature  | Fair | Fair | C2 | 3.67 | Suppressed and<br>distorted,<br>arising from<br>southern bank<br>of substantial<br>ditch.  |         |
| 32 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 229 | 6 | 4   | 2.5 | 2   | 4   | Semi-<br>mature  | Fair | Fair | C2 | 2.75 | Heavily<br>distorted multi-<br>stem from low<br>level. A poor-<br>quality<br>specimen arising<br>from southern<br>bank of ditch. |         |
| 33 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 401 | 6 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 2   | 1   | Semi-<br>mature  | Fair | Poor | C2 | 4.81 | Multi-stemmed<br>and heavily cut<br>in past. Is<br>heavily<br>distorted and ill-<br>suited to<br>retention.                      |         |

| 34 | Tilia x europea       | Common<br>Lime | 716 | 13 | 4.5 | 5   | 4.5 | 4.5 | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Good | C2 | 8.59 | Large,<br>particularly<br>multi-stemmed<br>specimen.<br>Configurations<br>suggests early<br>life decapitation<br>and subsequent<br>re-suckering.<br>Buttress region<br>has been<br>subject to<br>erosion and root<br>exposure.<br>General vigour<br>and vitality<br>remain good. | Review regarding retention context. |
|----|-----------------------|----------------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------|------|------|----|------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 35 | Ulmus glabra          | Wych<br>Elm    | 357 | 13 | 4   | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3   | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Dead | U  | 4.28 | Completely<br>dead and in<br>need of<br>removal.   |                                     |
| 36 | Ulmus glabra          | Wych<br>Elm    | 229 | 7  | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2   | 2   | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Dead | U  | 2.75 | Completely<br>dead and in<br>need of<br>removal.   | Remove.                             |
| 37 | Ulmus glabra          | Wych<br>Elm    | 306 | 7  | 2   | 5   | 1   | 2   | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Dead | U  | 3.67 | Distorted and<br>completely<br>dead.   | Remove.                             |
| 38 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash  | 748 | 10 | 4   | 5   | 3.5 | 3   | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Poor | U  | 8.98 | Once larger tree<br>has been<br>crudely<br>decapitated   | Remove.                             |

|    |                        |               |     |    |     |     |     |     |                  |      |      |    |      | with current<br>crown<br>comprising<br>sucker<br>regeneration. Is<br>unsuitable for<br>retention. |                                     |
|----|------------------------|---------------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------|------|------|----|------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 39 | Ulmus glabra           | Wych<br>Elm   | 226 | 7  | 0   | 2   | 3   | 2   | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Dead | U  | 2.71 | Completely<br>dead and in<br>need of<br>removal.  |                                     |
| 40 | Ulmus glabra           | Wych<br>Elm   | 751 | 9  | 3   | 3   | 2   | 3   | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Dead | U  | 9.01 | Tree is<br>completely dead<br>and appears to<br>have lost much<br>of early crown.                 |                                     |
| 41 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore      | 226 | 7  | 1   | 2.5 | 2   | 3   | Semi-<br>mature  | Fair | Fair | C2 | 2.71 | Distorted and<br>suppressed but<br>remains<br>vigorous.   |                                     |
| 42 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore      | 220 | 8  | 1   | 2   | 3   | 3   | Semi-<br>mature  | Fair | Fair | C2 | 2.64 | Distorted and<br>suppressed but<br>remains<br>vigorous.   |                                     |
| 43 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash | 283 | 10 | 2.5 | 4   | 3.5 | 3.5 | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Good | B2 | 3.4  | Young and<br>vigorous. Arises<br>from on top of<br>partial eroded<br>ditch<br>embankment.         | Review regarding retention context. |

| ( )L | nn | hu | rrio |
|------|----|----|------|
|      |    | bu | 1115 |

| 44 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash | 433 | 10 | 5   | 4.5 | 5 | 5 | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Fair | C2 | 5.2  | Multi-stemmed<br>and sprawling<br>having<br>developed<br>spreading crown<br>supported<br>on heavily<br>divided stem.<br>Tree arises from<br>eastern side of<br>eroded ditch<br>scenario. | Review regarding<br>retention context. |
|----|------------------------|---------------|-----|----|-----|-----|---|---|------------------|------|------|----|------|--|--|
| 45 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash | 344 | 6  | 3   | 3.5 | 4 | 3 | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Fair | U  | 4.13 | Distorted and<br>previously cut.<br>Arises from<br>demolition spoil<br>is unlikely to<br>prove<br>retainable.  |  |
| 46 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash | 248 | 5  | 3   | 3   | 3 | 3 | Semi-<br>mature  | Fair | Fair | B2 | 2.98 | Young and still<br>vigorous. Arises<br>from position<br>west of<br>mounded spoil<br>and demolition<br>rubble.  | Review regarding retention context.    |
| 47 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore      | 369 | 7  | 1.5 | 2   | 3 | 3 | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Fair | C2 | 4.43 | Young and<br>vigorous but<br>arising from<br>demolition<br>rubble. Is<br>unlikely to<br>prove<br>retainable.   |  |

| 0   |     |          |
|-----|-----|----------|
| (1) | ont | NI Irrie |
|     |     | Julis    |
|     |     |          |

| 48 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash | 302 | 5  | 3.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 4 | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Fair | C2 | 3.62 | Young and<br>vigorous but<br>arising from<br>demolition<br>rubble. Is<br>unlikely to<br>prove<br>retainable.               |         |
|----|------------------------|---------------|-----|----|-----|---|-----|---|------------------|------|------|----|------|--|---------|
| 49 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash | 229 | 5  | 2.5 | 2 | 1   | 2 | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Poor | U  | 2.75 | Young and<br>vigorous but<br>arising from<br>demolition<br>rubble. Is<br>unlikely to<br>prove<br>retainable.               | Remove. |
| 50 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore      | 398 | 8  | 3   | 2 | 2   | 2 | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Poor | U  | 4.78 | Comprises an<br>element of<br>sucker<br>regeneration<br>subsequent to<br>prior cutting. Is<br>unsuitable for<br>retention. | Remove. |
| 51 | Ulmus glabra           | Wych<br>Elm   | 376 | 10 | 4   | 4 | 3.5 | 4 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Dead | U  | 4.51 | Remove<br>immediately.   | Remove. |
| 52 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash | 248 | 8  | 4   | 3 | 0   | 3 | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Fair | U  | 2.98 | In state of<br>decline with<br>substantial<br>dieback noted.   | Remove. |

|    |                        |               |     |   |     |     |     |     |                 |      |      |    |      | Unsuitable for retention.   |                                     |
|----|------------------------|---------------|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|------|------|----|------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 53 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash | 328 | 7 | 4   | 5.5 | 2   | 1   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 3.94 | Heavily<br>unbalanced to<br>east, arising<br>from western<br>side of ditch but<br>overhanging<br>western bank.      | Review regarding retention context. |
| 54 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore      | 325 | 5 | 0   | 5   | 2   | 1   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | U  | 3.9  | Heavily<br>distorted with<br>lower stem<br>procurement<br>and supported<br>on derelict<br>masonry.                  | Remove.                             |
| 55 | Ulmus glabra           | Wych<br>Elm   | 207 | 5 | 2.5 | 2   | 1.5 | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Dead | U  | 2.48 | Completely<br>dead.   | Remove.                             |
| 56 | Ulmus glabra           | Wych<br>Elm   | 398 | 7 | 3   | 3   | 3   | 3   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Dead | U  | 4.78 | Completely<br>dead, Dutch Elm<br>disease.   | Remove.                             |
| 57 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash | 229 | 5 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | U  | 2.75 | Heavily<br>distorted,<br>arising from<br>western side of<br>ditch. Is of<br>particularly poor<br>quality and is ill | Consider early<br>removal.          |

|    |                       |               |     |   |   |     |     |     |                 |      |      |    |      | suited to<br>retention.   |                                     |
|----|-----------------------|---------------|-----|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|------|------|----|------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 58 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 306 | 8 | 3 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 2   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 3.67 | Distorted a<br>multi-stemmed,<br>arising from<br>western bank of<br>dilapidated<br>ditch.   |                                     |
| 60 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 271 | 7 | 3 | 2.5 | 2   | 2   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 3.25 | Poor quality<br>multi-stemmed.  | Review regarding retention context. |
| 62 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 271 | 8 | 2 | 2.5 | 3   | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | U  | 3.25 | Multi-stem from<br>low level<br>suggesting<br>sucker<br>regeneration<br>from previous<br>stump. Arises<br>from eastern<br>embankment of<br>dilapidated<br>ditch and<br>particularly<br>waterlogged<br>area. Tree offers<br>minimal<br>sustainability. |                                     |

| 0   |   |    |     |     |   |
|-----|---|----|-----|-----|---|
| 1.1 | 0 | ٦h | 111 | ric | • |
|     | U | υD | uı  | 113 | > |
| _   |   |    |     |     |   |

| 63 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 255 | 8 | 4   | 3.5 | 3   | 4   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | U | 3.06 | Multi-stem from<br>low level<br>suggesting<br>sucker<br>regeneration<br>from previous<br>stump. Arises<br>from eastern<br>embankment of<br>dilapidated<br>ditch and<br>particularly<br>waterlogged<br>area. Tree offers<br>minimal<br>sustainability. |         |
|----|-----------------------|---------------|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|------|------|---|------|---|---------|
| 64 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 302 | 6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Poor | U | 3.62 | Multi-stemmed<br>comprising<br>coppice like<br>regeneration<br>subsequent to<br>prior cutting. Is<br>of poor quality<br>and offers<br>minimal<br>sustainability.  |         |
| 65 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 388 | 6 | 3   | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Poor | U | 4.66 | Comprises<br>sucker<br>regeneration<br>from a decayed<br>coppice like<br>base. Is<br>Unsuitable for<br>retention.   | Remove. |

| 66 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 388 | 6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Poor | U  | 4.66 | Comprises<br>sucker<br>regeneration<br>from a decayed<br>coppice like<br>base. Is<br>Unsuitable for<br>retention.  |                   |
|----|-----------------------|---------------|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|---|-----------------|------|------|----|------|--|-------------------|
| 67 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 376 | 7 | 3.5 | 4   | 2   | 2 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 4.51 | Has suffered<br>substantial stem<br>and crown<br>damage to west.<br>Tree arises from<br>partial eroded<br>embankment on<br>western side of<br>substantial di |                   |
| 68 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 341 | 6 | 3   | 3.5 | 2   | 1 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 4.09 | Heavily<br>unbalanced to<br>east, arising<br>from western<br>side of partial<br>eroded ditch<br>embankment. Is<br>of dubious<br>sustainability               |                   |
| 69 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 325 | 7 | 2.5 | 3   | 1.5 | 3 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 3.9  | One-sided and<br>arising from<br>western side of<br>ditch. Ground<br>conditions<br>eroded in<br>vicinity of stem.  | Review regularly. |

| 70 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 306 | 7 | 2.5 | 5   | 3   | 3.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 3.67 | Heavily<br>distorted and<br>multi-stemmed,<br>poor quality<br>specimen arising<br>from western<br>side of eroded<br>ditch. Is of<br>questionable<br>sustainability. |
|----|-----------------------|---------------|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|------|------|----|------|---|
| 71 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 322 | 7 | 2   | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 3.86 | Wholly one-<br>sided and<br>obscure by<br>dense Ivy cover.<br>Tree appears to<br>offer minimal<br>sustainability.   |
| 72 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 360 | 7 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 4.32 | Multi-stemmed<br>and routing<br>arising from<br>eroded western<br>bank of<br>dilapidated<br>ditch   |
| 73 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 229 | 6 | 2.5 | 3   | 3   | 4.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 2.75 | Twin stemmed<br>from low level.<br>A poor quality<br>and suppressed<br>specimen arising<br>from dilapidated<br>hedge line   |

| Distants of sod |  |
|-----------------|--|
| Distorted and   |  |
|                 |  |
|                 |  |

| 74 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 232 | 7  | 1.5 | 2.5 | 3   | 3   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 2.78 | Distorted and<br>arising from<br>western side of<br>dilapidated<br>ditch. Tree<br>offers limited<br>sustainability.   |  |
|----|-----------------------|---------------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|------|------|----|------|---|--|
| 75 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 398 | 10 | 4   | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 4.78 | Multi-stemmed<br>from ground<br>level raising<br>questions<br>regarding<br>structural<br>integrity. Tree<br>group arises<br>from disturbed<br>western bank of<br>dilapidated<br>ditch | Review regarding<br>retention context. |
| 76 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 185 | 5  | 1   | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 2.22 | A young whip<br>arising from<br>western side of<br>dilapidated<br>ditch and<br>waterlogged<br>area  | Review regarding retention context.    |
| 77 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 191 | 5  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 2.29 | A young whip<br>arising from<br>western side of<br>dilapidated<br>ditch and<br>waterlogged<br>area  | Review regarding retention context.    |

Clonburris

Tree Schedule

| 78 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash   | 188 | 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 2.26 | A young whip<br>arising from<br>western side of<br>dilapidated<br>ditch and<br>waterlogged<br>area | Review regarding<br>retention context. |
|----|-----------------------|-----------------|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|------|------|----|------|--|--|
| 79 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash   | 185 | 5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 2.22 | A young whip<br>arising from<br>western side of<br>dilapidated<br>ditch and<br>waterlogged<br>area | Review regarding retention context.    |
| 81 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash   | 341 | 7 | 4   | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 4.09 | Distorted and<br>arising from<br>northern edge<br>of stream. Is of<br>poor quality.                |  |
| 82 | Salix alba            | White<br>Willow | 271 | 6 | 5   | 5   | 3   | 0   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 3.25 | Arising to north<br>of site<br>boundary. Is<br>heavily<br>unbalanced to<br>east.                   | Review regarding retention context.    |

| <u> </u> |       |
|----------|-------|
| (Clonhu  | Irrie |
| Ololibu  | 1113  |

| 82a | Salix fragilis         | Crack<br>Willow | 668 | 12 | 7 | 7   | 5 | 6 | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Fair | C2 | 8.02 | Large, multi-<br>stemmed and<br>disbursed<br>group to create<br>a singular crown<br>form. Group is<br>in state of<br>ongoing<br>dilapidation and<br>involved stems<br>both<br>the north and<br>south of the<br>ditch and<br>stream. There is<br>much evidence<br>of ongoing/prior<br>failure and<br>collapse. | Review with<br>regard<br>to retention<br>context<br>and management<br>issues arising. |
|-----|------------------------|-----------------|-----|----|---|-----|---|---|------------------|------|------|----|------|---|---|
| 83  | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash   | 344 | 7  | 4 | 2.5 | 4 | 4 | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Fair | C2 | 4.13 | Multi-stem from<br>ground level.<br>Naturally arising<br>from rubble and<br>spoil.  |   |
| 84  | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore        | 334 | 7  | 4 | 3.5 | 3 | 1 | Semi-<br>mature  | Fair | Fair | C2 | 4.01 | Twin-stemmed<br>group, heavily<br>suppressed by<br>proximity of<br>near neighbour.<br>Arises from<br>demolition<br>spoil.   |   |

| 85 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore | 302 | 7  | 4   | 4   | 4   | 4   | Semi-<br>mature  | Fair | Fair | B2 | 3.62  | Young and<br>vigorous, arising<br>from dilapidated<br>and demolished<br>structures   | Review regarding retention context. |
|----|------------------------|----------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------|------|------|----|-------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 86 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore | 360 | 9  | 5   | 4.5 | 4   | 4   | Semi-<br>mature  | Fair | Fair | B2 | 4.32  | Young and<br>vigorous though<br>supporting<br>extensive Ivy<br>cover.  | Cut Ivy and rereview.               |
| 87 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore | 274 | 5  | 3   | 2   | 1.5 | 2   | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Poor | U  | 3.29  | Comprises<br>sucker<br>regeneration<br>from stump of<br>previous tree.   |                                     |
| 88 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore | 844 | 13 | 6   | 5   | 6   | 6   | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 10.13 | Apparently<br>older specimen<br>possibly<br>decapitated in<br>past. Lower<br>stem is subject<br>to ongoing fire<br>damage<br>extensive bark<br>dieback and<br>localise decay.<br>Tree is not<br>sustainable. |                                     |
| 89 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore | 261 | 6  | 4.5 | 3   | 1   | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Fair | U  | 3.13  | Strangle by wire<br>and arising from<br>demolition<br>spoil. Ill-suited<br>to retention.   |                                     |

| 90 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore        | 325 | 11 | 4   | 2 | 4 | 3 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 3.9  | Naturally arising<br>from partially<br>demolished<br>masonry.  |         |
|----|------------------------|-----------------|-----|----|-----|---|---|---|------------------|------|------|----|------|--|---------|
| 91 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore        | 306 | 11 | 3   | 2 | 2 | 1 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 3.67 | Naturally arising<br>from partially<br>demolished<br>masonry.  |         |
| 92 | Ulmus glabra           | Wych<br>Elm     | 271 | 10 | 4.5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Dead | U  | 3.25 | Completely<br>dead and in<br>need of<br>removal.   | Remove. |
| 93 | Salix alba             | White<br>Willow | 637 | 14 | 8   | 8 | 6 | 6 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 7.64 | Large<br>sprawling's<br>multi-stemmed<br>group in a state<br>of ongoing and<br>progressive<br>failure. Tree<br>arises from<br>position east of<br>area of<br>demolition<br>rubble and<br>apparent pond<br>and. Suitability<br>of retention<br>would require<br>substantial<br>further review |         |

| 94 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore      | 379 | 8  | 3.5 | 3   | 4   | 4   | Semi-<br>mature  | Fair | Fair | B2 | 4.55 | Young and<br>vigorous but<br>obscure by<br>dense Ivy cover.  | Cut Ivy and rereview.               |
|----|------------------------|---------------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------|------|------|----|------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 95 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore      | 401 | 13 | 5   | 4.5 | 5   | 5   | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Fair | C2 | 4.81 | Quality is<br>undermined by<br>bark included<br>fork though<br>general vigour<br>and vitality is<br>good   | Review regarding retention context. |
| 96 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash | 493 | 11 | 5   | 3   | 4   | 3.5 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 5.92 | Of variable<br>condition with<br>evidence of<br>prior mid crown<br>damage. Tree<br>arises from hi<br>embankment<br>abovecanal<br>levelling ditch.  | Re-review.                          |
| 97 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash | 525 | 11 | 4.5 | 5   | 3.5 | 1.5 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 6.3  | Is heavily Ivy<br>clad preventing<br>detailed<br>appraisal. Tree<br>arises from hi<br>embankment<br>above canal<br>levelling<br>stream. Crown<br>supports<br>deadwood<br>possibly<br>indicative of |                                     |

| Clon | burris |
|------|--------|
|      |        |

|     |                        |               |     |    |   |     |   |   |                  |      |      |    |      | pathological<br>issues   |                          |
|-----|------------------------|---------------|-----|----|---|-----|---|---|------------------|------|------|----|------|--|--------------------------|
| 98  | Fraxinus<br>excelsior  | Common<br>Ash | 493 | 10 | 5 | 6.5 | 4 | 5 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 5.92 | Arises from<br>embankment<br>above<br>levelling canal<br>levelling ditch.<br>General vigour<br>and vitality<br>appear<br>good however,<br>entire crown is<br>wholly<br>enveloped in lvy<br>cover<br>preventing<br>detailed review<br>at this time. | Cut Ivy and<br>rereview. |
| 101 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus | Sycamore      | 548 | 11 | 5 | 5   | 4 | 5 | Mature           | Fair | Fair | C2 | 6.58 | Tree arises from<br>lower-level<br>adjoining canal<br>balancing<br>stream.<br>General vigour<br>and vitality are<br>good, though<br>much of crown<br>is obscure by<br>dense lvy cover  |                          |

| 102 | Ulmus glabra    | Wych<br>Elm     | 239 | 6  | 2   | 2.5 | 2   | 1   | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Dead | U  | 2.87 | Completely<br>dead, killed by<br>Dutch Elm<br>disease.   | Remove. |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------|------|------|----|------|--|---------|
| 103 | Ulmus glabra    | Wych<br>Elm     | 220 | 6  | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1   | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Dead | U  | 2.64 | Completely<br>dead, killed by<br>Dutch Elm<br>disease.   | Remove. |
| 104 | Ulmus glabra    | Wych<br>Elm     | 271 | 6  | 1   | 2   | 2.5 | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Dead | U  | 3.25 | Completely<br>dead, killed by<br>Dutch Elm<br>disease.   | Remove. |
| 105 | Fagus sylvatica | Common<br>Beech | 589 | 13 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Good | B2 | 7.07 | A relatively<br>young but<br>vigorous group,<br>multi-stemmed<br>from low level.<br>Multiple stems<br>combined to<br>create a singular<br>canopy form. |         |
| 106 | Ulmus glabra    | Wych<br>Elm     | 337 | 6  | 4.5 | 5   | 5   | 3   | Semi-<br>mature  | Poor | Dead | U  | 4.04 | Completely<br>dead, killed by<br>Dutch Elm<br>disease.   | Remove. |
| 106 | Ulmus glabra    | Wych<br>Elm     | 337 | 12 | 4.5 | 5   | 5   | 3   | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Dead | U  | 4.04 | Completely<br>dead, killed by<br>Dutch Elm<br>disease.   | Remove. |

| Tree Schedule |
|---------------|
|---------------|

| 107 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 274 | 9  | 3   | 4   | 3   | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 3.29 | Slightly<br>unbalanced to<br>east. Vigour is<br>impaired with<br>twiggy decline<br>in evidence<br>about higher<br>crown          | Review annually<br>regarding Chalara<br>canker  |
|-----|-----------------------|---------------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|------|------|----|------|--|---|
| 109 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 229 | 7  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Fair | Good | B2 | 2.75 | Slightly<br>unbalanced to<br>east. Vigour is<br>impaired with<br>twiggy decline<br>in evidence<br>about higher<br>crown          | Review annually<br>regarding Chalara<br>canker. |
| 115 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 269 | 11 | 3   | 3   | 3   | 2   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Poor | U  | 3.23 | Exhibiting<br>widespread<br>evidence of<br>higher crown<br>decline. Appears<br>ill-suited for<br>retention                       | Remove.   |
| 116 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 347 | 10 | 3   | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Fair | Good | B2 | 4.16 | Appears be<br>keeping<br>reasonable<br>vigour and<br>vitality but is<br>adjoined by<br>other Ash<br>showing signs of<br>decline. | re-review, summer<br>2022.                      |

| 117 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 239 | 10 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Fair | Good | B2 | 2.87 | Currently shows<br>no signs of<br>decline but<br>should be<br>reviewed in<br>summer 2022.   | re-review, summer<br>2022. |
|-----|-----------------------|---------------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|------|------|----|------|---|----------------------------|
| 118 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 350 | 12 | 3   | 3   | 3   | 3   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Poor | U  | 4.2  | Exhibiting classic<br>signs of decline<br>and<br>deterioration<br>associated with<br>Chalara canker   | Consider early<br>removal. |
| 119 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 239 | 10 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Fair | Good | B2 | 2.87 | Currently shows<br>no signs of<br>decline but<br>should be<br>reviewed in<br>summer 2022.   |                            |
| 120 | Ulmus glabra          | Wych<br>Elm   | 229 | 8  | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Dead | U  | 2.75 | Killed by Dutch<br>Elm disease.   |                            |
| 121 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash | 337 | 9  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Semi-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 4.04 | Twin stems<br>adjoined to<br>create singular<br>crown form.<br>Crown vigour<br>and vitality is<br>reduced<br>suggesting<br>possible onset<br>of disease. Tree<br>appears to offer | rereview summer<br>2022.   |

|      |                       |                 |     |    |   |     |   |   |                  |      |      |    |      | limited<br>sustainability   |                          |
|------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----|----|---|-----|---|---|------------------|------|------|----|------|---|--------------------------|
| 122  | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash   | 462 | 12 | 4 | 6   | 3 | 4 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 5.54 | Large multi-<br>stemmed group<br>heavily<br>obscured by<br>dense Ivy cover.<br>Vigour and<br>vitality are<br>reduced<br>suggesting<br>possible onset<br>of Ash decline. | rereview summer<br>2022. |
| 1001 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash   | 250 | 10 | 3 | 3   | 5 | 5 | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Fair | C2 | 3    | Ash dieback<br>Multi stem 7   | Review                   |
| 1002 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash   | 550 | 15 | 4 | 4   | 4 | 4 | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Fair | C2 | 6.6  |   |                          |
| 1003 | Fraxinus<br>excelsior | Common<br>Ash   | 550 | 15 | 4 | 4   | 4 | 5 | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Fair | C2 | 6.6  |   |                          |
| WG1  | Salix alba            | White<br>Willow | 637 | 17 | 6 | 6.5 | 5 | 5 | Mature           | Poor | Fair | C2 | 7.64 | A dispersed and<br>multi-stemmed<br>group arising<br>over notable<br>area adjoining<br>balancing pond   |                          |

|     |            |                 |     |    |   |   |   |   |        |      |      |    |      | to canal.<br>Evidence<br>suggests an<br>original tree<br>probably subject<br>to a suckering<br>and possible<br>layering.<br>Condition is<br>highly variable<br>with evidence of<br>ongoing<br>mechanical<br>failure and limb<br>loss suggesting<br>sustainability<br>will be context<br>dependent.<br>Notwithstanding<br>this, group<br>remains<br>vigorous<br>however<br>much of crown<br>is heavily<br>obscured by<br>dense lvy<br>growth. |   |
|-----|------------|-----------------|-----|----|---|---|---|---|--------|------|------|----|------|--|---|
| WG2 | Salix alba | White<br>Willow | 637 | 16 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 | Mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 7.64 | Multi-stemmed<br>group slightly<br>unbalanced to<br>east. Group<br>raises similar<br>concerns as to  | Review with<br>regard retention<br>context. |

|      |            |                 |     |    |    |   |   |   |                  |      |      |    |      | those discussed<br>in respect<br>Willow group 1<br>inasmuch<br>as crown and<br>Entire tree will<br>be subject to<br>impromptu<br>storm damage.  |
|------|------------|-----------------|-----|----|----|---|---|---|------------------|------|------|----|------|---|
| WG3  | Salix alba | White<br>Willow | 525 | 16 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 7 | Mature           | Poor | Fair | C2 | 6.3  | A multi-<br>stemmed and<br>disbursed group<br>of poor quality<br>with evidence of<br>decline within<br>upper crown.<br>Group includes<br>satellite<br>gracious smaller<br>previously cut<br>satellite group<br>to east. |
| WG3a | Salix alba | White<br>Willow | 525 | 10 | 2  | 2 | 2 | 2 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 6.3  | Appears to<br>comprise sucker<br>regeneration<br>from the stump<br>of a previous<br>large tree.   |
| WG4  | Salix alba | White<br>Willow | 637 | 12 | 6  | 6 | 5 | 6 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 7.64 | Appears to be<br>somewhat<br>younger but is<br>equally<br>mechanically<br>poor. Crown   |

|     |            |                 |     |    |   |   |   |   |                  |      |      |    |      | comprises<br>heavily<br>diverging stems<br>with lower<br>central portion<br>not visible<br>because of<br>undergrowth.<br>Concerns exist<br>that tree has<br>been subject to<br>prior collapse. |                   |
|-----|------------|-----------------|-----|----|---|---|---|---|------------------|------|------|----|------|--|-------------------|
| WG5 | Salix alba | White<br>Willow | 748 | 15 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 8.98 | See general comments above.  | Review regularly. |
| WG6 | Salix alba | White<br>Willow | 796 | 15 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 5 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 9.55 | Multi-stemmed<br>and already in a<br>state of ongoing<br>mechanical<br>failure with<br>recent loss of<br>major limbs   | Review regularly. |
| WG7 | Salix alba | White<br>Willow | 462 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | Early-<br>mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 5.54 | Multi-stem from<br>ground level.<br>Potentially is<br>mechanically<br>poor and may<br>be subject to<br>failure   | Review regularly. |

| <u>Clonburris</u> |            |                 |     |    |    |    |   |   |        |      |      |    |      |                                   | Т                 | ree Schedule |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------|-----|----|----|----|---|---|--------|------|------|----|------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| WG8               | Salix alba | White<br>Willow | 637 | 16 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 5 | Mature | Poor | Fair | C2 | 7.64 | See general<br>comments<br>above. | Review regularly. |              |

# Appendix 2 – Hedgerow Schedule

|     |   |        |           | Estimated                  |          |  |
|-----|---|--------|-----------|----------------------------|----------|--|
|     |   | Life   |           | Remaining                  | Quality  |  |
| No. | Common Name   | Stage  | Condition | Contribution               | Category | Comments   |
| 1a  | Common ash, Common hawthorn,<br>Dog rose, Elder, Wych elm                 | Mature | Mixed     | Medium (20<br>to 40 years) | C2       | Hedge has undergone substantial clearance of<br>sprawling Bramble-based thicket, particularly to<br>east of hedge. Hedge remains overgrown with many<br>trees chronically enveloped with lvy.<br>Substantial number of original hawthorns remain but<br>only at intermittent positions. The alignment<br>supports a number of emergent ash and elm however<br>many of the elms appear to be dead as result<br>of Dutch Elm disease. Hedge is associated with<br>substantial ditch and embankment feature. A<br>majority of the thorn-based material arises from the<br>eastern bank of the ditch however general<br>scrub and thicket development to the west is noted<br>but is variable. Area supports a number of<br>sporadic sapling trees and Thorn elements. |
| 1b  | Blackthorn, Common hawthorn,<br>Dog rose, Sycamore                        | Mature | Mixed     | Short (10 to<br>20 years)  | C2       | A relic of prior hedge being substantially<br>discontinuous. Recent clearance works of spurious<br>Bramble thicket have left wholly denuded hedge line<br>comprising a small number of relic<br>Hawthorn together with some Elder and Sycamore.<br>Hedge line arises from the southern bank of a<br>substantial ditch.   |
| 1c  | Blackthorn, Common hawthorn,<br>Dog rose, Elder, Goat willow, Wych<br>elm | Mature | Mixed     | Short (10 to<br>20 years)  | C2       | The original hedge alignment arises from the north-<br>eastern side of a substantial ditch alignment<br>descending to circa 1.50 m below field levels and<br>whilst much thicket development has occurred<br>to the north-west, this shows no evidence of<br>deliberate planting and typically comprises Bramble<br>thicket with intermittent Thorn and Elder  |

|    |   |        |       |                           |    | development. Continuity in the hedge is relatively<br>poor<br>with numerous gaps exceeding 20.00 m whereby the<br>hedge profile is provided by low level<br>Bramble dominated thicket only. This section of the<br>hedge is noted to support circa 8 completely<br>dead Wych Elm. These trees, as with elsewhere on the<br>site, have been lost to Dutch Elm disease<br>and are indicative of the disease's prevalence within<br>the broader area. Note is made that whilst the<br>general profile of the original hedge rarely exceeds<br>6.00 - 8.00 m, the broader thicket<br>development to both the north-east and south-west<br>often extends this profile by in excess of 20 m.<br>Such material is however of poor quality and offers<br>minimal potential for retention within a<br>developed context.   |
|----|---|--------|-------|---------------------------|----|---|
| 1d | Common beech, Common<br>hawthorn, Dog rose, Elder | Mature | Mixed | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | Another dilapidated section of hedgerow supporting<br>only a small number of original Hawthorn.<br>Broader continuity is provided at lower levels by<br>Bramble thicket and intermittent Elder. The<br>alignment supports at least for completely dead Wych<br>Elm, indicative of the prevalence of Dutch<br>Elm disease within the broader area. Note is made<br>that circa 60 m south of the northern end of<br>this hedge, there is a substantial Beech. This tree is<br>broadly accessible at this time however, its<br>overall condition would appear good in respect of its<br>general vigour and vitality. It will be<br>advised this tree is reviewed in detail once access is<br>available.<br>As with the remainder of the "1" group hedges, or<br>significant material associated with this hedge<br>arises from the north-eastern edge of a substantial |

|    |  |        |       |                           |    | drainage ditch. However, note is made of<br>extensive thicket development progressing in a south<br>westerly direction from the ditch and<br>typically comprising Hawthorne, Bramble and elder<br>scrub. Whilst providing a significant block of<br>vegetation, it is unlikely that this material could be<br>retained into and new urban landscape.   |
|----|--|--------|-------|---------------------------|----|--|
| 1e | Blackthorn, Common ash, Elder  | Mature | Mixed | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | Effectively comprising a dense Bramble thicket supporting 2 emergent groups of elder. Offers minimal sustainability.   |
| 1f | Blackthorn, Common hawthorn,<br>Elder  | Mature | Mixed | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | Appears to comprise an intermittent and highly<br>variable thorn-based alignment close to palisade<br>boundary. The south of this, there is an erratic and<br>variable Bramble thicket with emergent and<br>Thorn and Elder.   |
| 1g | Blackthorn, Common hawthorn,<br>Elder, Goat willow, Gorse,<br>Sycamore, Wych elm | Mature | Mixed | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | Alignment comprises an almost continuous thorn-<br>based alignment close to palisade rails<br>boundary. The south of this, there is a mixed and<br>variable population of scrub thicket including<br>Goat Willow, Bramble and Sycamore. Review with<br>regard to retention context.  |
| 2  | Blackthorn, Common ash, Common<br>hawthorn, Elder, Sycamore, Wych<br>elm         | Mature | Mixed | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | A broadly continuous hedge alignment with only a<br>singular centrally located gap. Continuity<br>appears good however, it is best at the north-eastern<br>end of the alignment and is reduced to the<br>south-west where continuity is in part provided by<br>Bramble thicket. The alignment supports a<br>small number of typically small but dead Wych Elm,<br>indicative of the prevalence of Dutch Elm<br>disease within the broader area. The south-western<br>portion of the hedge supports a small element<br>of emergent Ash that appear young and vigorous and<br>thus are likely to assert immense potential<br>for growth over time. The alignment arises wholly |

|    |  |        |       |                           |    | from the north-western upper edge of a substantial ditch profile.  |
|----|--|--------|-------|---------------------------|----|--|
| 3a | Blackthorn, Common ash, Common<br>hawthorn, Dog rose, Elder,<br>Sycamore | Mature | Mixed | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | Exhibiting evidence of once having comprised a typical<br>Hawthorne based agricultural field<br>boundary. The alignment it still retains a substantial<br>proportion of the hawthorns however, these<br>are becoming outcompeted by more invasive species<br>including elder, Blackthorn and Ash. The<br>bulk of the mature material arises from the western<br>side of substantial ditch profile however the<br>vegetative profile is substantially exaggerated,<br>particularly to the East by extensive secondary<br>thicket development typically dominated by Bramble<br>and elder and Blackthorn.<br>This alignment supports several completely dead Elm,<br>most notable towards the centre of the line<br>with some having already collapsed. Note is also made<br>of substantial contribution to the profile<br>played by emergent Ash. Was most of these trees tend<br>to be drawn up, distorted or multistemmed,<br>most appear to be maintaining good vigour and vitality<br>at this time and accordingly<br>would appear to offer some degree of sustainability.<br>Nonetheless and regarding larger trees, it<br>would be advised that once access is improved by way<br>of scrub eradication that any such trees<br>intended for retention would be reviewed on an<br>individual basis. |
| 3b | Blackthorn, Common ash, Common<br>hawthorn, Dog rose, Elder,<br>Sycamore | Mature | Mixed | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | This element of hedging effectively comprises an<br>extension to hedge 3a continuing up and to the<br>southern boundary hedge of the site area. In many<br>respects, it mimics hedge 3a however, the<br>proportion of Hawthorne remaining in this area is<br>diminished with it greater degree of apparent   |

Tree Schedule

|    |   |        |       |                           |    | suppression and competition from broader thicket<br>development. In such instances, the eradication<br>of the broader thicket would leave little of the original<br>hedge structure.<br>As with previous comments. The significant material<br>associated with this thicket arises from the<br>western edge of a substantial ditch notwithstanding<br>the fact that there has been substantial scrub<br>development typically dominated by Bramble thicket,<br>to both sides of the original alignment.  |
|----|---|--------|-------|---------------------------|----|--|
| 3c | Blackthorn, Common ash, Common<br>hawthorn, Elder   | Mature | Mixed | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | Exhibits evidence to suggest once having comprised a<br>Hawthorne hedge however, at this time it<br>comprises more a broad swathe of regenerative<br>vegetation in association with demolition spoil<br>rubble and masonry. The material is of small stature,<br>poor quality and offers minimal potential for<br>retention.   |
| 4  | Blackthorn, Common ash, Common<br>hawthorn, Crack willow, Dog rose,<br>Elder, Goat willow, Wych elm | Mature | Poor  | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | A sprawling and dilapidated hedge of highly variable<br>condition. The hedge appears to be based on<br>the upper northern edge of a substantial ditch<br>alignment however, to further complicate issues,<br>surrounding vegetation is highly suggestive of<br>particularly poor drainage and potentially<br>waterlogged conditions. The condition of the hedge is<br>highly variable not only supporting several<br>dead Elms, presumed have been killed by Dutch Elm<br>disease but also other species exhibiting<br>classic signs of decline are possibly attributable to<br>periodic waterlogging. The originally intended<br>Hawthorne element of the hedgerow is now quite<br>vestigial with the broader hedge profile been<br>provided by a combination thicket, often dominated<br>by Blackthorn, Bramble, and Ivy with<br>intermittent emergent Ash. As with previously |

|    |  |        |      |                           |    | described hedges, note is made of the substantial<br>expansion of the original hedge profile by continuous<br>thicket development to the north and south<br>of the primary alignment.  |
|----|--|--------|------|---------------------------|----|--|
| 5a | Blackthorn, Common ash, Elder,<br>Wych elm | Mature | Poor | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | A particularly dilapidated and disjointed hedge<br>alignment apparently arising from the southern<br>side of a now heavily eroded and dilapidated ditch.<br>The hedge lacks continuity and retains only a<br>small number of the original Hawthorn.  |
| 5b | Blackthorn, Dog rose, Wych elm             | Mature | Poor | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | A broadly continuous element of hedge<br>notwithstanding suppression and competition at lower<br>levels. In this instance, the primary Hawthorne<br>remains dominant but early signs of competition<br>exist with substantial thicket development to both the<br>south-east and north-west of the primary<br>alignment. Note is made that the primary alignment<br>appears to be rooted on the upper edge of the<br>north-western side of a substantial ditch feature.<br>Though small in numbers, this hedge section supports<br>some Wych Elm, the majority of these are<br>dead however one was encountered that remains alive<br>however this specimen is already<br>exhibiting symptoms of the disease and thus is unlikely<br>to survive beyond the immediate shortterm. |
| 5c | Blackthorn, Elder, Wych elm                | Mature | Poor | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | As with 5B excepting that all Elms are dead.   |
| 6  | Blackthorn, Common ash, Elder,<br>Wych elm | Mature | Poor | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | Widely dilapidated section of hedge that whilst still<br>supporting a small number of the original<br>Hawthorns is now more an alignment of mixed<br>species, often dominated by Blackthorn and<br>Bramble. Many specimens in this area exhibit evidence<br>of decline a factor that may be related to<br>localised changes in ground flora that suggest wetter<br>ground conditions and possible periodic   |

Tree Schedule

|    |   |        |      |                           |    | flooding. This section of hedge is considered such as to provide particularly minimal potential for retention.   |
|----|---|--------|------|---------------------------|----|--|
| 6b | Blackthorn, Common ash, Common<br>hawthorn, Elder, Goat willow,<br>Wych elm | Mature | Poor | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | A wholly dilapidated element of hedge that whilst<br>illustrating elements of prior Hawthorne hedge<br>is now wholly intermittent and discontinuous. With<br>reference to the southernmost end of the<br>hedge, ground flora suggests a particularly wet<br>conditions including dominance by reeds and<br>sedges. This is likely to be the cause of some of the<br>decline noted within the hedge. Note is<br>however made that the hedge supports several Elms<br>apparently lost to Dutch Elm disease. The<br>southern end of the hedge supports several young<br>Ash. Many of these trees remain vigorous at<br>present however, such specimen should be reviewed<br>considering environmental changes<br>including drainage as may occur in this area through<br>development. Other than the ash, this section<br>of hedging offers little potential for retention. |
| 7  | Blackthorn, Common ash, Common<br>hawthorn, Elder                           | Mature | Poor | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | A broadly variable hedge alignment where Hawthorne<br>still retains a substantial proportion of the<br>overall population however, it is now often suppressed<br>and has lost its dominance. The broader<br>alignment now comprises a more thicket like and<br>mixed profile including a notable population of<br>emergent ash.<br>The original and dominant vegetation arises from the<br>northern side of a substantial ditch profile.<br>This vegetation is added to both the north and south<br>of the original profile and ditch by spurious<br>thicket development, typically dominated by goat<br>willow and Bramble.<br>The alignment remains strong and except for a small  |

|   |   |        |      |                           |    | number of specific punctuations is broadly<br>continuous. Eradication of invasive species appears<br>likely to allow for the retention of a still<br>broadly contiguous alignment.<br>Note is made that several Elms located at the north-<br>western end of the alignment are already in<br>poor condition with all exhibiting evidence of early<br>Dutch Elm disease attack. Accordingly, such<br>material is considered unsustainable.<br>Though none of the emergent Ash from this alignment<br>have been deliberately planted, a clear<br>majority appear to be in broadly good condition and<br>might offer some degree of sustainability.<br>This is particularly the case in respect of 7b where in<br>comparison to 7a, the Ash becomes<br>progressively more and more dominant in respect of<br>the broader alignment.   |
|---|---|--------|------|---------------------------|----|--|
| 8 | Blackthorn, Common ash, Common<br>hawthorn, Common hazel, Elder,<br>Pedunculate oak, Sycamore | Mature | Poor | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | This alignment differs greatly from previous<br>alignments in that it supports and obviously more<br>mature tree population.<br>The underlying Hawthorn hedge appears quite like<br>others noted elsewhere upon the site and will<br>be typical of agricultural field boundaries. The hedge<br>as with all significant vegetation in this area<br>is located arising from the eastern side of a substantial<br>drainage ditch, descending to circa 1.50<br>metres below field levels. The Hawthorn is becoming<br>recessive with continuity within the lowerlevel<br>hedge being provided more by a combination of<br>species as opposed to a true Hawthorne<br>alignment. In this respect, there are substantial<br>variability with some elements of the hedge<br>comprising little more than Bramble and elder thicket.<br>The biggest difference in this instance relates the tree |

|   |  |        |      |                           |    | population including a number of<br>significant Ash, Sycamore and, towards the north-<br>western end of the alignment, and Oak. The age<br>profile of these trees is significantly different from any<br>others noted elsewhere on the site<br>(exempting Beech at northern end of hedge 1d) thus<br>suggesting a different context and history.<br>The paragraph the trees vary greatly in condition. The<br>larger Sycamore exhibits classic signs of<br>decline and stag heading as do adjoining trees<br>including some ash towards the centre of the<br>alignment. Other tree is a pity maintaining reasonable<br>vigour and vitality.<br>The underlying hedge profile is of questionable<br>suitability for attention in light of its variability<br>and the fact that the eradication of invasive scrub<br>thicket species would greatly undermine any<br>degree of continuity. Similar comment would apply to<br>the trees however, proportion of the trees<br>would appear suitable for retention |
|---|--|--------|------|---------------------------|----|--|
| 9 | Common ash, Common hawthorn,<br>Elder, Spindle, Sycamore | Mature | Poor | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | A broadly continuous hedge alignment where<br>dominant vegetation appears to arise from the<br>south-eastern side of significant field hedge however,<br>there is additional evidence to suggest<br>possible planted population to the north-west of the<br>same ditch. The Hawthorn element of the<br>population remains significant though is beginning to<br>lose dominance particularly with the<br>development of emergent ash. Thicket development<br>tends to be somewhat limited suggesting that<br>the eradication of more invasive species may still allow<br>for the retention of significant hedge<br>alignment. Note is made that the alignment supports<br>several elms, all dead because of Dutch Elm   |

|    |   |        |      |                           |    | disease.<br>Is also supports several young Ash and Sycamore that<br>appear to be of good general health.  |
|----|---|--------|------|---------------------------|----|---|
| 27 | Blackthorn, Common ash, Common<br>hawthorn, Dog rose, Elder, Spindle,<br>Sycamore | Mature | Poor | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | A broad, sprawling, and ill-defined alignment that may<br>or may not have been a hedge profile.<br>There is a shallow but substantially eroded potential<br>ditch alignment that appears to follow the<br>online however, this is at best ill-defined by<br>vegetation. The vegetation associated with the area is<br>particularly poor with very few original Hawthorn is<br>and the vegetation at best being sporadic and<br>displaced from any alignment centre. The quality<br>material is poor with several Elms already<br>either dead or dying because of Dutch Elm disease.<br>The remainder of the material is particularly<br>spurious dominated by intermittent elder and thus is<br>considered unsuitable for retention.  |
| 28 | Blackthorn, Common ash, Common<br>hawthorn, Dog rose, Elder, Spindle,<br>Sycamore | Mature | Poor | Short (10 to<br>20 years) | C2 | A highly variable hedge profile defined by a reduced<br>number of large mature Hawthorn. While<br>these remain dominant within the line they are not<br>contiguous or continuous. At lower levels, the<br>hedge profile continuity is best preserved by Bramble<br>and Blackthorn thickets.<br>The overall Hawthorn population where it exists,<br>remains a reasonably good health<br>notwithstanding suppression at lower levels. The<br>original profile is contributed to by substantial<br>thicket development was typically dominated by<br>Blackthorn and Bramble. The alignment<br>supports several emergent trees including Ash,<br>Sycamore and Elm. All Elm is either dead or<br>approaching death and thus cannot be retained.<br>Towards the middle of the alignment, the ash of<br>particularly poor condition suggesting notable |

|     |  |                 |      |                            |    | sustainability issues. Note is however made that as<br>one progresses to the south-west, the emergent tree<br>population appears to become better and thus<br>the degree of sustainability at that position may be<br>improved. Note should be made that any<br>curtailment of low-level scrub thicket on either side of<br>the hedge will have a substantial effect on<br>hedge continuity and cover levels.  |
|-----|--|-----------------|------|----------------------------|----|--|
| 29a | Blackthorn, Common ash, Common<br>hawthorn, Elder, Sycamore, Wych<br>elm | Mature          | Poor | Short (10 to<br>20 years)  | C2 | A broadly continuous thicket-affect however, the<br>underlying hedge is of highly variable quality<br>with only a small proportion of the original Hawthorn<br>hedge remaining. Much of the hedge has<br>been suppressed by an emergent ash and Elm<br>population however, the elms, because of Dutch<br>Elm disease are now dead. At lower levels, widespread<br>thicket development dominated by<br>Bramble and Blackthorn has caused equal suppression.<br>Any curtailment in spread by reducing the<br>spurious thicket development will have a massive<br>effect on hedge continuity and would quickly<br>isolate what is only a small number of remaining<br>Hawthorne's. Accordingly, the suitability of<br>retaining this alignment is considered dubious at best. |
| T59 | Common ash, Wych elm   | Semi-<br>mature | Fair | Medium (20<br>to 40 years) | C2 | A combined a close-knit group<br>arising from particularly boggy and<br>flooded ground. Elm is completely<br>dead and Ash as a poor quality<br>offering no realistic sustainability.   |
| T61 | Ash species  | Semi-<br>mature | Fair | Very Short<br>(<10 years)  | U  | Close-knit group of poor-quality<br>specimens arising from waterlogged<br>ground on edge of dilapidated ditch.<br>Trees offer minimal sustainability.Consider early<br>removal.  |

| TL1 | Common ash, Sycamore, Wych elm | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Medium (20<br>to 40 years) | C2 | A broadly continuous line and dominated by Ash<br>arising<br>from the southern side of dilapidated and eroded field<br>ditch.<br>A small number of trees arise from the southern side<br>of the<br>ditch (site side) this is a particularly small proportion of<br>the<br>overall population. All Elms reviewed exhibit evidence<br>of<br>Dutch Elm disease and offers no realistic sustainability<br>Even where individuals remain alive. Similar concerns<br>relate to the ash and relate in respect of the risks of<br>Chalara<br>canker attack. Accordingly it must be appreciated that<br>these<br>trees could readily be lost over coming years.<br>Additionally,<br>consideration should be given to the nature and form<br>of the<br>tree line. All trees, particularly the older specimens are<br>multi-stem suggesting early life intervention and<br>attempted<br>cutting. Such multi-stemmed formats are mechanically<br>weaker than single stem trees with evidence existing<br>throughout the line of ongoing mechanical failure,<br>stem<br>splitting and limb loss. Notwithstanding the<br>pathological<br>issues mentioned above, combining this with<br>mechanical<br>issues then these trees should be regarded as suitable<br>for |
|-----|--------------------------------|------------------|------|----------------------------|----|---|
|     |                                |                  |      |                            |    | limited retention and that retention will be dependent  |

|     |  |                  |      |                            |    | upon<br>the context within which they would be retained. If<br>retained,<br>it is advised that the limited sustainability be<br>addressed by<br>new planting and augmenting the existing population<br>thereby accounting for natural loss as well as safety<br>management required loss over time.   |
|-----|--|------------------|------|----------------------------|----|---|
| WT1 | Blackthorn, Common ash, Common<br>hawthorn, Sycamore, Wych elm | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Medium (20<br>to 40 years) | C2 | A dense and highly variable thicket<br>like development with no evidence of<br>planting regime or pattern. Area<br>supports numerous semimature trees<br>including ash Sycamore and Elm<br>however, most of the Elms<br>encountered were dead as result of<br>Dutch Elm disease. The area is<br>subject to substantial ponding and<br>waterlogging throughout and<br>suitability for retaining material will<br>be subject to long term management<br>intentions. Consideration should also<br>be given to the proportion of the<br>population comprising ash as this<br>may offer limited sustainability in<br>light of Chalara canker issues. |
| WT2 | Common ash, Elder  | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Medium (20<br>to 40 years) | C2 | Group 3, Ash, Bramble, Ivy, Elder,<br>and intermittent and variable group of<br>ash that appeared to be associated<br>with a now partially filled and<br>dilapidated hedge with evidence of<br>widespread earthworks and ground<br>disturbance. The entries a multistemmed<br>raising some concern with<br>regard to sustainability and   |

|     |   |                  |      |                            |    | mechanical integrity however most<br>currently appear to be of reasonably<br>good health. Notwithstanding this,<br>due consideration must be given to<br>the potential for issues arising from<br>Chalara canker the possibility that<br>any or all of these trees could be lost<br>to the disease in the near future. |
|-----|---|------------------|------|----------------------------|----|--|
| WT3 | Common ash, Elder, Goat willow,<br>Sycamore | Early-<br>mature | Fair | Medium (20<br>to 40 years) | C2 | An area comprising natural<br>regeneration. There is much<br>competition and suppression across<br>this generally continuous and thicket<br>like area. Young Elm are subject to<br>Dutch Elm disease and concern<br>revolves about the sustainability of<br>the Ash in light of Chalara canker.                        |

# Disclaimers

This report is intended solely for the benefit of the parties to whom it is addressed, and no responsibility is extended to any third party for the whole or any part of its contents. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are only valid for a period of one year. This period of validity may be reduced in the case of any change in conditions to or in proximity to the tree. In the event of adverse weather conditions, there is the possibility of any tree despite good report surveys, falling over.

In the event of a falling tree causing damage to residential or non-residential buildings in their proximity, no liability will attach to this firm, in the event of damage by such trees, to any person, any building public or private, or any mechanical vehicle or otherwise. Recommendations made in this report are subject to the knowledge and expertise of the qualified Arborist that carried out the above inspections.

Signed John Ward

Dated: November 2022 John Ward

ISA Certified Arborist