PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Reg. Reference:SD22B/0082Application Date:22-Feb-2022Submission Type:AdditionalRegistration Date:12-Sep-2022

Information

Correspondence Name and Address: Caroline Irvine 7, Clarinda Court, Clarinda Park

West, Dun Laoghaire, Co. Dublin.

Proposed Development: Demolition of existing single storey extension and

outbuilding to rear of existing property; construction of a new two storey extension to the side and rear of

existing dwelling; the replacement of existing

casement style windows to front elevation with sash windows and all associated site works and services.

Location: 657, Whitechurch Road, Dublin 16

Applicant Name: Nicholas and Charlotte Grundy

Application Type: Permission

(AOCM)

Description of Site and Surroundings:

Site Area: stated as 0.03 hectares.

Site Description:

The application site contains a two storey, semi-detached house, located at the junction of Whitechurch Road and Taylor's Lane. The property is located at a prominent site, to the south west of St Enda's Park. There are properties of a similar style located along Whitechurch Road to the south, with modern development located to the rear of the dwelling. The surrounding area is residential in nature

Site visited:

5 April 2022

Proposal:

Permission is sought for the following:

- Demolition of single storey rear extension (31.06sq.m) and rear outbuilding (15.7sq.m)
- Construction of two-storey side and rear extension (117.22sq.m)
- Replacement of casement style windows on front elevation with sash windows
- All associated site works

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Zoning:

The site is subject to zoning objective 'RES' - 'To protect and/or improve residential amenity'.

Consultations:

Surface Water Drainage – **Further information** recommended Irish Water – **Further information** recommended Architectural Conservation Officer – **Further information** recommended An Taisce – **Objection**

SEA Sensitivity Screening

Overlap with Whitechurch Road and Taylor's Lane Cottages, Rathfarnham

Submissions/Observations/Representations

Submission expiry date -28/03/2022No submissions or objections received.

Relevant Planning History

None recorded for subject site.

Nearby sites of Relevance

SD21B/0191: Refurbishment of existing original cottage (45sq.m); demolition of existing ruin to the rear of the original cottage and construction of a new split-level, pitch roof extension (90sq.m) to the rear with central external courtyard and associated landscaping works. **Permission granted**

SD16B/0011: Demolish existing single storey extensions to the rear and construct a new 2 two storey, 2 bedroom, extension also to the rear of the existing dwelling, which is a protected structure, including all associated site works. **Permission granted**

• The extension proposed as part of this application is distinctly modern in style, providing a clear definition between the existing dwelling and later addition

Relevant Enforcement History

None recorded for subject site.

Pre-Planning Consultation

None recorded for subject site.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Relevant Policy in South Dublin County Council Development Plan 2016-2022

Section 2.4.1 Residential Extensions

Policy H18 Residential Extensions

It is the policy of the Council to support the extension of existing dwellings subject to the protection of residential and visual amenities.

Section 9.1.3 Architectural Conservation Areas

Policy HCL4 Architectural Conservation Areas

It is the policy of the Council to preserve and enhance the historic character and visual setting of Architectural Conservation Areas and to carefully consider any proposals for development that would affect the special value of such areas.

HCL4 Objective 2:

To ensure that new development, including infill development, extensions and renovation works within or adjacent to an Architectural Conservation Area (ACA) preserves or enhances the special character and visual setting of the ACA including vistas, streetscapes and roofscapes.

Section 11.3.3 Additional Accommodation

(i) Extensions

The design of residential extensions should accord with the South Dublin County Council House Extension Design Guide (2010) or any superseding standards

Rear extensions

- Match or complement the style, materials and details of the main house unless there are good architectural reasons for doing otherwise.
- Make sure enough rear garden is retained

Side Extensions

- Respect the style of the house and the amount of space available between it and the neighbouring property, for example:
 - if there is a large gap to the side of the house, and the style of house lends itself to it, a seamless extension may be appropriate;
 - if there is not much space to the side of the house and any extension is likely to be close to the boundary, an ancillary style of extension set back from the building line is more appropriate;
 - if the house is detached or on a large site or in a prominent location such as the corner of a street, it may be appropriate to consider making a strong architectural statement with the extension.
- Match or complement the style, materials, and details of the main house unless there are good architectural reasons for doing otherwise.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

- Where the style and materials do not seamlessly match the main house, it is best to recess a side extension by at least 50cm to mark the change.
- Leave a gap of at least 1m between the extension and the side party boundary with the adjoining property to avoid creating a terraced effect. A larger gap may be required if that is typical between properties along the street.
- If no gap can be retained, try to recess side extensions back from the front building line of the main house by at least 50cm and have a lower roof eaves and ridge line to minimize the terracing effect. In the case of a first floor extension over an existing garage or car port that is flush with the building line of the main house, the first floor extension should be recessed by at least 50cm.
- Match the roof shape and slope of the existing house. In the case of houses with hipped roofs it can be particularly difficult to continue the ridge line and roof shape; however it is more visually pleasing to do so if this will not result in a terracing effect with the adjoining house.
- Where the extension is to the side of a house on a corner plot, it should be designed to take into account that it will be visible from the front and side. The use of blank elevations will be unacceptable and a privacy strip behind a low wall, hedge or railings should be provided along those sections of the extension that are close to the public pavement or road.
- Avoid creating a terraced effect and awkward join between the rooflines of two adjacent properties if building up to the party boundary.
- Do not include a flat roof to a prominent extension unless there is good design or an architectural reason for doing so.
- Do not incorporate blank gable walls where extensions face onto public footpaths and roads.
- The use of a 'false' roof to hide a flat roofed extension is rarely successful, particularly if visible from the side.
- Avoid locating unsightly pipework on side elevations that are visible from public view. Consider disguising or recessing the pipework if possible.
- Extending a hipped roof to the side to create a gabled end or half-hip will rarely be acceptable, particularly if the hipped roof is visually prominent and typical of other houses along the street.
- Avoid the use of prominent parapet walls to the top of side extensions

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Relevant Government Guidelines

Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas - Guidelines for Planning Authorities, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government (2008).

Quality Housing for Sustainable Communities-Best Practice Guidelines, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, (2007).

Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland – Guidance for Planning Authorities, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, (2009).

Architectural Heritage Protection – Guidelines for Planning Authorities, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, (2011)

Assessment

The main issues for assessment concern the following:

- Zoning and Council policy
- Residential and visual amenity
- Architectural Conservation
- Drainage
- Appropriate Assessment
- Environmental Impact Assessment

Zoning and Council Policy

The site is subject to zoning objective 'RES' - 'To protect and/or improve residential amenity'. A residential extension is 'Permitted in Principle' under this zoning objective.

Policy HCL4 'it is the policy of the Council to preserve and enhance the historic character and visual setting of Architectural Conservation Areas and to carefully consider any proposals for development that would affect the special value of such areas', and HCL4 Objective 2 'to ensure that new development, including infill development, extensions and renovation works within or adjacent to an Architectural Conservation Area (ACA) preserves or enhances the special character and visual setting of the ACA including vistas, streetscapes and roofscapes', are also relevant considerations.

Residential and Visual Amenity

The proposal includes demolition of an existing rear extension and out building, construction of a two-storey side and rear extension and replacement of windows on front elevation. No other changes are noted from drawings.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Two-Storey Extension

An existing rear extension and outbuilding would be demolished to facilitate the side and rear extension.

Side extension

To the side, the extension would be setback by 0.6m from the front building line and would extend to within 0.8m of the western side boundary. The extension would have a pitched roof, with a new entrance door and window at ground floor level on the front elevation, and a window with pitched roof at first floor level. The eaves and ridge height of this roof would be approximately 0.5m lower than that of the main dwelling, in compliance with the recommendations of the House Extension Design Guide.

The front elevation would have an entrance with a pitched roof porch overhang along with a first-floor pitched roof dormer and roof lights. The principle of the side extension is considered acceptable, given the setback and lower ridgeline that clearly show this as a later addition. There would be concerns about some of the elements on the front extension, such as the porch, pitched roof dormer and rooflights not being in keeping with the character of the ACA. These concerns are discussed further below based on the SDCC Architectural Conservation Officer's (ACO) Report which recommends **additional information** is sought. There are also concerns regarding a second entrance door. **Further information** should be sought on this issue.

Rear extension

The rear extension would protrude approximately 5m from the main rear building line of the existing dwelling (measured from drawings), at ground and first floor level. The ground floor rear extension, to be demolished, currently extends approximately the same 5m distance. The extension would be part flat roof, part pitched roof, with the flat roof connecting the main dwelling and rear extension. The flat roof would have 3 no. roof lights, with 1 no. roof light on the rear roof slope of the pitched roof extension.

The House Extension Design Guide states that, as a rule of thumb, 1m separation distance should be achieved to the common site boundary for every 3m in height. On this basis, the first-floor extension should be located approximately 2.3m in from the site boundary, based on a maximum extension height of approximately 7m. However, the rear elevation of the neighbouring dwelling only has one small window, located at the centre of the building, serving a hallway. The separation distance to the site boundary is to protect the amenity of neighbouring properties by minimising overshadowing and limiting the potential overbearing impact of a two-storey extension. Given that the neighbouring dwelling has a large ground floor extension and no habitable rooms with windows on the rear elevation, it is not considered that such considerations are necessary in this instance. In the event the current proposal was to be granted, the neighbouring dwelling would be able to undertake similar works up to the site boundary.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

At ground floor level, a large open plan kitchen and living area would be provided. Internal layout alterations would convert the two ground floor rooms of the main dwelling into a utility room and hall, subservient uses to the extension. At first floor level, the existing two bedrooms would be slightly enlarged and two new bedrooms and relocated bathroom and an en-suite would be provided. The master bedroom would be served by a large feature window on the rear elevation, with an oriel roof canopy and projecting pitched roof on the rear roof slope. There are no properties directly to the rear of the dwelling and therefore no concerns regarding harmful overlooking or loss of privacy. The ACO Report raises concerns in regard to this feature window, discussed further below.

A rear garden of approximately 53sq.m would be retained, or 72.45sq.m when you include the side access. The demolition of the rear outbuilding increases the amount of rear private open space. A large front garden in excess of 100sq.m would also be retained and cumulatively it is considered that sufficient private open space would continue to serve the dwelling.

Architectural Conservation

An Taisce has reviewed the application and has stated **objection** to the development for the following reason:

The projection to the side is not compatible with the ACA designation of the existing house. The elevations from the front and from the side facing along Taylors Lane are incongruous.

The SDCC Architectural Conservation Officer (ACO) has reviewed the application and has recommended **additional information** based on the following:

It is considered that the applicant should be requested to modify the design of the proposed side and rear extension and to remove some of the design features which are considered to not be in keeping within the Architectural Conservation Area of Whitechurch Road.

The following comments provided within the Councils Architectural Conservation Officers Appraisal should be considered when modifying the design, ensuring that the overall revised design provides a new addition which is sensitive at this location. As the principle of a two-storey side and rear extension is considered acceptable, it is felt that a modified design addressing the items detailed below should be requested as Additional Information.

1. It is considered that the proposed new extension has many different elements within the design which are not necessarily in keeping with the original style of the group of cottages and character of the ACA. It is advised that any new additions to the original cottages should be of contemporary and simple design

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

the overall design and material finishes should reflect the existing cottages in order to provide a coherent piece.

- 2. The proposed side extension is shown with a dormer window to the front and ridge light. Dormers and ridge lights to the front of a roof slope are not in keeping with the roof form of the existing cottages within the ACA and therefore are not considered to be an appropriate design feature. It is proposed to included sash windows in the new extension which although reflects the original type windows of the cottage it is not necessary within the new build.
 - It is proposed to include an oriel window at FF level and two-over-two sliding timber sash windows to the rear extension. The oriel window would not be in keeping with the architectural design and style of the original cottages. The oriel window and the use of timber sash windows within the new build would be considered as pastiche. 3 modern large multi-pane steel frame double doors are proposed to the south-east extension which adds contrast and interest to the design, this principle should be followed throughout.
- 3. It is considered that the front dormer should be removed from the design and the ridge light should be justified at this location in order to assess their suitability at this location and more contemporary design features should be considered within a modified design. A porch is shown over the front door of the side extension adding unnecessary detail. The front elevation of the side extension should be kept simple and minimal in design allowing the materials and finishes to reflect the design elements of the existing cottage.
- 4. The proposed side and rear extension will be brick finish to match the existing which is considered to be an appropriate finish as it reflects the FF finish of the existing cottages and allows the new build to be identified as a new addition. However, in order to completely achieve a balance between the existing cottage and the new build it is considered that the fenestration and window type should reflect a more contemporary design and that proposed design features as mentioned above should be removed to lessen the overall visual impact of the new build and for it to be clearly identifiable as a modern addition which sits sensitively within the site context.
 - Supportive and additional information is required in order that a full and proper assessment can be made on the revised and modified design thereby providing a new addition that adds architectural interest and quality to the existing dwelling and overall site context.
- 5. Details should be submitted verifying the correct historically appropriate type of window to be reinstated to the front facade of the existing cottage. Details on the design, profile and materials should be provided based on the correct historical type.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

The **additional information** recommended is considered appropriate to ensure that high quality extension is provided, in keeping with the ACA and sensitive to the main dwelling which is of heritage interest.

Drainage

Water Services has reviewed the application and has recommended the following **additional information**:

1.1 The applicant has proposed to discharge surface water run off from the proposed development to the foul water drainage network which is generally not acceptable. The applicant is required to submit a drawing showing existing and proposed surface water drainage layouts up to and including the point of connection to the public surface water sewer. The drawing shall include the location of all Aj's, manholes, pipe size, material type and direction of flow. The drawing shall clearly show that the foul and surface water systems are discharging to separate pipe networks. Maps of the mains foul and surface water drainage networks may be obtained, if available, for required locations in South Dublin County Council by emailing: servicemaps@sdublincoco.ie.

Note: South Dublin County Council records show that there is an existing 300mm surface water sewer in the road to the north of the site. The applicant is required to investigate the potential to divert all surface water drainage from the site to this sewer. SuDS features shall be included to attenuate surface water run off to greenfield run off rates prior to discharge to this sewer.

1.2 The applicant is required to submit a drawing in plan and cross sectional views clearly showing additional proposed Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) features for the development such as green roofs, water butts and rain planter boxes.

Irish Water has reviewed the application and has recommended the following **additional information**:

2.1 There is an existing 4"" public watermain traversing the site to the south of existing dwelling according to Irish Water records. The applicant is required to submit a drawing which shows the distance between this watermain and the proposed development. Irish Water Standard Details for water Infrastructure require 3m clear distance from a main of this size. The applicant shall engage with Irish Water's diversions section to assess feasibility of existing design if the 3m setback cannot be achieved. The outcome of this engagement with Irish Water's diversions shall be submitted to the planning authority as a response to Request for Further Information

It is considered appropriate to request the above by way of **additional information** to ensure adequate provision of SuDS measures and compliance with Irish Water Standard Details.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Screening for Appropriate Assessment

The subject site is not located within nor within close proximity to a European site. The proposed development is located within an established residential area and comprises of a house extension. Having regard to:

- the small scale and domestic nature of the development,
- the location of the development in a serviced urban area, and
- the consequent absence of a pathway to the European site,

it is considered that the proposed development would not be likely to have a significant effect individually, or in-combination with other plans and projects, on the Natura 2000 network and appropriate assessment is not therefore required.

Environmental Impact Assessment

Having regard to the modest nature of the proposed development, and the distance of the site from nearby sensitive receptors, there is no real likelihood of significant effects on the environment arising from the proposed development. The need for environmental impact assessment can, therefore, be excluded at preliminary examination and a screening determination is not required.

Conclusion

Additional information should be sought in relation to the following:

- The applicant should be requested to revise the design of the side and rear extension to ensure that features on key elevations are in keeping with the ACA and elsewhere the extension reflects a modern addition to the dwelling
 - o Features such as the front porch overhang, pitched roof dormer, front roof lights and rear oriel window should be removed and replaced
- Details should be submitted verifying the correct historically appropriate type of window to be reinstated to the front facade of the existing cottage.
- Existing and proposed surface water drainage layouts up to and including the point of connection to the public surface water sewer.
- Additional proposed Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) features for the development such as green roofs ,water butts and rain planter boxes.
- There is an existing 4" public watermain traversing the site to the south of existing dwelling according to Irish Water records. The applicant is required to submit a drawing which shows the distance between this watermain and the proposed development
- Revised drawings should be sought removing the new entrance door and replacing it with a window

Recommendation

Request Further Information.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Further Information

Further Information was requested on 19/04/22 Further Information was received on 12/09/22

Consultations

Architectural Conservation Officer (ACO) – No objection, **conditions** recommended Public Realm – No comments to make Water Services – No response Irish Water – No response

Submissions/Observations

No further submissions/observations received.

Assessment of Further Information

The Further Information requested was as follows:

- 1. It is considered that the proposed new extension has many different elements within the design which are not necessarily in keeping with the original style of the group of cottages and character of the ACA. It is advised that any new additions to the original cottages should be of contemporary and simple design the overall design and material finishes should reflect the existing cottages in order to provide a coherent piece. The applicant is requested to amend the design of the extension as follows:
 - (a) The proposed side extension is shown with a dormer window to the front and ridge light. Dormers and ridge lights to the front of a roof slope are not in keeping with the roof form of the existing cottages within the ACA and therefore are not considered to be an appropriate design feature. It is proposed to included sash windows in the new extension which although reflects the original type windows of the cottage it is not necessary within the new build. It is proposed to include an oriel window at FF level and two-over-two sliding timber sash windows to the rear extension. The oriel window would not be in keeping with the architectural design and style of the original cottages. The oriel window and the use of timber sash windows within the new build would be considered as pastiche. 3 modern large multi-pane steel frame double doors are proposed to the south-east extension which adds contrast and interest to the design, this principle should be followed throughout.
 - (b) It is considered that the front dormer should be removed from the design and the ridge light should be justified at this location in order to assess their suitability at this location and more contemporary design features should be considered within a modified design. A porch is shown over the front door of the side extension adding unnecessary detail. The front elevation of the side extension should be kept simple and minimal in design allowing the materials and finishes to reflect the design elements of the existing cottage.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

- (c) The proposed side and rear extension will be brick finish to match the existing which is considered to be an appropriate finish as it reflects the FF finish of the existing cottages and allows the new build to be identified as a new addition. However in order to completely achieve a balance between the existing cottage and the new build it is considered that the fenestration and window type should reflect a more contemporary design and that proposed design features as mentioned above should be removed to lessen the overall visual impact of the new build and for it to be clearly identifiable as a modern addition which sits sensitively within the site context.

 Supportive and additional information is required in order that a full and proper assessment can be made on the revised and modified design thereby providing a new addition that adds architectural interest and quality to the existing dwelling and overall site context.
- (d) Details should be submitted verifying the correct historically appropriate type of window to be reinstated to the front facade of the existing cottage. Details on the design, profile and materials should be provided based on the correct historical type.
- (e) There are concerns regarding the creation of a second entrance door. The applicant is requested to omit this and replace with a window.
- 2. The applicant has proposed to discharge surface water run off from the proposed development to the foul water drainage network which is generally not acceptable. The applicant is requested to submit a drawing showing existing and proposed surface water drainage layouts up to and including the point of connection to the public surface water sewer. The drawing shall include the location of all Aj's, manholes, pipe size, material type and direction of flow. The drawing shall clearly show that the foul and surface water systems are discharging to separate pipe networks. Maps of the mains foul and surface water drainage networks may be obtained, if available, for required locations in South Dublin County Council by emailing: servicemaps@sdublincoco.ie.
 - Note: South Dublin County Council records show that there is an existing 300mm surface water sewer in the road to the north of the site. The applicant is requested to investigate the potential to divert all surface water drainage from the site to this sewer. SuDS features shall be included to attenuate surface water run off to greenfield run off rates prior to discharge to this sewer.
- 3. The applicant is requested to submit a drawing in plan and cross sectional views clearly showing additional proposed Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) features for the development such as green roofs, water butts and rain planter boxes.
- 4. There is an existing 4" public watermain traversing the site to the south of existing dwelling according to Irish Water records. The applicant is requested to submit a drawing which shows the distance between this watermain and the proposed development. Irish Water Standard Details for water Infrastructure require 3m clear distance from a main of this size. The applicant shall engage with Irish Water's diversions section to assess feasibility of existing design if the 3m setback cannot be

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

achieved. The outcome of this engagement with Irish Water's diversions shall be submitted to the planning authority as a response to Request for Further Information

Assessment

The applicant has submitted a response, as requested, date stamped 12th September 2022. It is noted that the applicant sought informal consultation on the revised proposals prior to the final submission of the additional information.

Item 1 – Architectural Conservation

The Architectural Conservation Officer (ACO) has reviewed the additional information and notes the following:

The formal submission has now been submitted which reflects the changes and revisions show on the drawings and written statement as part of the informal RFI. In addition, details have now been provided on the proposed materials and finishes providing a Schedule as part of the drawings. The level of detail and the revisions submitted are acceptable and it is considered that the issues raised in relation to the overall design and architectural elements being proposed has now been addressed in a positive way. The proposed extension shown in the RFI provides an addition to the existing cottage and new development within an ACA which responds positively by providing good architectural quality.

The ACO has stated no objection to the revised scheme, recommending the following **conditions**:

- The proposed development should be carried out in accordance with particulars and drawings submitted as part of the formal request to further information.
- Protection measures should be put in place during the construction of the proposed extension to safeguard original architectural fabric and features of the existing cottage.
- Although a Schedule of Materials has been provided on the drawings submitted as RFI it is considered that images or samples should be submitted for agreement and approval ensuring the final materials and finishes correlate with those identified on the material schedule.

These **conditions** are considered appropriate to ensure the integrity of the existing dwelling and the construction of a high quality addition and should be agreed with the Planning Authority prior to the commencement of development.

Item 2 – Surface Water Drainage

The applicant has provided measures to separate surface water discharge at the front of the property, providing a soakaway and discharging to ground.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

To the rear, the applicant continues to propose discharging surface water to the foul sewer network, with measures including permeable paving reducing the surface water discharge to this network. The applicant investigated the potential to discharge surface water to the surface water sewer to the rear however, the cost and inconvenience to traffic was found to be prohibitive. The applicant has advised that Irish Water have been notified of the proposals, however, a response from Irish Water confirming feasibility has not been provided. A **condition** should be applied requiring the applicant to submit confirmation of feasibility from Irish Water to the Planning Authority for agreement prior to the commencement of development.

Item 3 – Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDS)

Drawings indicate that existing impermeable paving to the rear will be replaced with permeable paving. This is a welcome improvement. In addition, the applicant has also provided information regarding a rainwater harvesting system to be provided on the northwest elevation, indicated in plan only. A **condition** will be attached requiring the applicant to construct the development in accordance with the submitted plans, including the provision of the rain water harvesting system on this elevation.

A soakaway would be provided in the front garden and appears to comply with the standard requirements for the provision of a soakaway.

It is considered that the submission addresses the concerns raised in the initial additional information request and it is noted that consultation has taken place with Water Services to ensure these matters were adequately addressed.

Item 4 – Irish Water Watermain

The applicant has indicated on drainage plans that 5m is maintained between the existing Irish Water watermain and the proposed extension. This is acceptable.

South Dublin County Development Plan 2022 – 2028

A new Development Plan came into effect for South Dublin on 3rd August 2022. As a result, the development has been reassessed against the plans and policies of this new plan. With regard to house extensions and Architectural Conservation Areas, the development appears to be largely compliant with relevant policies and objectives. There is an increased focus on green infrastructure within the new plan and therefore an additional assessment of the application against these policies and objectives has been undertaken below.

Green Infrastructure

The site appears to be located within the Dun Laoghaire-Rathdown GI Corridor 2. From a site visit, and google earth, it appears that the area where the extension is proposed is already hardstanding and does not include any green infrastructure assets. In this regard, the development would not significantly impact green infrastructure at the site or the wider area.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Drawings indicate that the front lawn is to be maintained, along with the boundary hedgerow onto Taylor's Lane. This ensures that some green infrastructure is maintained on the site.

Conclusion

The development subject to conditions, is considered to be consistent with the zoning objective 'RES' – 'To protect and/or improve residential amenity', and the relevant provisions in the County Development Plan 2022-2028 and is considered acceptable.

Other Considerations

Development Contributions

Existing Dwelling (minus extension to be removed): 63.78 sq.m Residential Extension: 117.22 sq.m

Are any exemptions applicable?	Yes
1 11	
If yes, please specify:	The first 40 square metres of an
	extension to a house (including
	garages and conversion of attic to
	habitable areas) shall be exempt
	(subsequent extensions or extensions
	above 40 square metres to be charged
	at the residential rate per square
	metre). This exemption will not apply
	to development for which retention
	permission is sought.
Is development commercial or	Residential
residential?	
Standard rate applicable to	104.49
development:	
% reduction to rate, if applicable (0%	0
if N/A)	
Rate applicable	€104.49
Area of Development (m2)	117.22
Amount of Floor area, if any, exempt	40
(m2)	
Total area to which development	77.22
contribution applies (m2)	
Total development contribution due	€8,068.72

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

SEA Monitoring Information

Building Use Type Proposed: Residential extension

Floor Area: 117.22 sq.m

Land Type: Urban Consolidation

Site Area: 0.03 Hectares.

Conclusion

Having regard to the provisions of the South Dublin County Council Development Plan 2022-2028 and the overall design and scale of the development proposed it is considered that, subject to conditions set out below, the proposed development would not seriously injure the amenities of the area or of property in the vicinity and would, therefore, be in accordance with the proper planning and sustainable development of the area. It is considered that the proposal would be consistent with Council policy in relation to extensions to dwelling houses and architectural conservation areas.

Recommendation

I recommend that a decision be made pursuant to the Planning & Development Act 2000, as amended, for the reasons set out in the First Schedule hereto, to Grant Permission for the said development in accordance with the said plans and particulars, subject to the condition(s) specified in the Second Schedule hereto, the reasons for the imposition of the said condition(s) being as set out in the said Second Schedule.

FIRST SCHEDULE

It is considered that the proposed development accords with the policies and objectives of South Dublin County Council, as set out in the South Dublin County Council Development Plan 2022 - 2028 and subject to the conditions set out hereunder in the Second Schedule is hereby in accordance with the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

SECOND SCHEDULE

Conditions and Reasons

1. Development to be in accordance with submitted plans and details.

The development shall be carried out and completed in its entirety in accordance with the plans, particulars and specifications lodged with the application, and as amended by Further Information received on 12/09/2022, save as may be required by the other conditions attached hereto.

REASON: To ensure that the development shall be in accordance with the permission, and that effective control be maintained.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

2. (a) External Finishes.

All external finishes shall harmonise in colour or texture that is complementary to the house or its context.

REASON: In the interest of visual amenity.

(b) Restriction on Use.

The house and the proposed extension shall be jointly used as a single dwelling unit for residential purposes and shall not be sub-divided or used for any commercial purposes, and the extension shall not be sold, let (including short-term letting), leased or otherwise transferred or conveyed, by way of sale, letting or otherwise save as part of the single dwelling unit.

REASON: To prevent unauthorised development.

- (c) Drainage Irish Water.
- (i) The water supply and drainage infrastructure, shall comply with the requirements of Irish Water.
- (ii) There shall be complete separation of the foul and surface water drainage systems, both in respect of installation and use. All new precast surface water manholes shall have a minimum thickness surround of 150mm Concrete Class B.
- (iii) All drainage works for this development shall comply fully with the Greater Dublin Regional Code of Practice for Drainage Works.

REASON: In the interests of public health, the proper planning and sustainable development of the area and in order to ensure adequate water supply and drainage provision.

(d) Minimise Air Blown Dust.

During the construction and or demolition phase of the development, Best Practicable Means shall be employed to minimise air blown dust being emitted from the site. This shall include covering skips and slack-heaps, netting of scaffolding, daily washing down of pavements or other public areas, and any other precautions necessary to prevent dust nuisances. The applicant/developer shall comply with British Standard B.S. 5228 Noise Control on Construction and Open sites and British Standard B.S. 6187 Code of Practice for demolition.

REASON: In the interest of public health and to uphold the Council's policies set out in the South Dublin County Council Development Plan.

(e) Construction Noise and Hours.

To control, limit and prevent the generation of unacceptable levels of Environmental Noise Pollution from occurring during construction activity, Equipment or Machinery (to include pneumatic drills, on-site construction vehicles, generators, etc.) that could give rise to unacceptable levels of noise pollution as set out generally for evening and night-time in S.I. No. 140/2006 - Environmental Noise Regulations 2006 shall only be operated on the site between 7.00 hours and 19.00 hours weekdays and between 9.00 hours and 13.00 hours on Saturdays. No works shall take place at any time on Sundays, Bank Holidays or Public Holidays.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Any construction work outside these hours that could give rise to unacceptable levels of noise pollution shall only be permitted following a written request to the Planning Authority and the subsequent receipt of the written consent of the Planning Authority, having regard to the reasonable justification and circumstances and a commitment to minimise as far as practicable any unacceptable noise outside the hours stated above. In this respect, the applicant or developer shall also comply with BS 5228:2009 Noise and Vibration Control on Construction and Open Sites, and have regard to the World Health Organisation (WHO) – Guidelines for Community Noise (1999).

The applicant or developer shall also endeavour to engage in local consultation in respect of any noise sensitive location within 30 metres of the development as approved prior to construction activity commencing on site. Such noise sensitive locations should be provided with the following:

- Schedule of works to include approximate timeframes
- Name and contact details of contractor responsible for managing noise complaints
- Hours of operation- including any scheduled times for the use of equipment likely to be the source of significant noise.

REASON: In the interest of public health by the prevention of unacceptable levels of noise pollution which could interfere with normal sleep and rest patterns and/or when people could reasonably expect a level of quietness, the proper planning and sustainable development of the area and to uphold the Council's amenity policies set out in the South Dublin County Council Development Plan.

3. Architectural Conservation

Prior to the commencement of development, the applicant shall submit the following for the written approval of the Planning Authority:

- A) Protection measures shall be put in place during the construction of the proposed extension to safeguard original architectural fabric and features of the existing cottage.
- B) A Schedule of Materials, including images or samples, shall be submitted ensuring the final materials and finishes correlate with those identified on the material schedule. REASON: In the interest of protecting architectural heritage

4. Surface Water Drainage

The applicant has proposed to discharge surface water run off from the proposed development to the foul water drainage network which is generally not acceptable. The applicant has indicated the reasons for discharging surface water to the foul network however, a confirmation of feasibility from Irish Water confirming the acceptance of this arrangement has not been submitted. Prior to the commencement of development, the applicant shall submit a confirmation of feasibility from Irish Water in relation to the proposed surface water discharge proposals.

REASON: In the interest of compliance with Irish Water Standards codes and practices and to ensure public health.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

5. Financial Contribution.

The developer shall pay to the Planning Authority a financial contribution of €8,068.72 (eight thousand and sixty eight euros and seventy two cents), in respect of public infrastructure and facilities benefiting development within the area of the Planning Authority, that is provided, or intended to be provided by or on behalf of the authority, in accordance with the terms of the Development Contribution Scheme 2021 - 2025, made under Section 48 of the Planning and Development Acts 2000-2011 (as amended). The contributions under the Scheme shall be payable prior to commencement of development or as otherwise agreed in writing by the Council. Contributions due in respect of permission for retention will become payable immediately on issue of the final grant of permission. Contributions shall be payable at the index adjusted rate pertaining to the year in which implementation of the planning permission is commenced. REASON: The provision of such facilities will facilitate the proposed development. It is considered reasonable that the payment of a contribution be required, in respect of public infrastructure and facilities benefiting development in the area of the Planning Authority and that is provided, or that is intended will be provided, by or on behalf of the Local Authority.

NOTE RE: CONDITION - Please note that with effect from 1st January 2014, Irish Water is now the statutory body responsible for water services. Further details/clarification can be obtained from Irish Water at Tel. 01 6021000 or by emailing customerservice@water.ie.

NOTE: The applicant is advised that under the provisions of Section 34 (13) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) a person shall not be entitled solely by reason of a permission to carry out any development.

NOTE: The applicant or developer should ensure that all necessary measures shall be taken by the contractor to prevent the spillage or deposit of clay, rubble or other debris on adjoining roads during the course of the works and to ensure that any such instances arising are remedied immediately.

NOTE: Waste, arising from the site, must be kept to a minimum, segregated where appropriate, and disposed in accordance with the Waste Management Regulations 2007, as amended. Transport of such waste, must be by an authorised waste permit holder. Waste disposal records must be maintained and made available, for inspection by Authorised Persons appointed under the Waste Management Act 1996, as amended. A Waste Transfer Form shall accompany the transportation of all hazardous waste arising from the construction works.

PR/1267/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

REG. REF. SD22B/0082 LOCATION: 657, Whitechurch Road, Dublin 16

Jin Johnston, Senior Executive Planner

ORDER:

A decision pursuant to Section 34(1) of the Planning & Development Act 2000, as amended, to Grant Permission for the reasons set out in the First Schedule above, in accordance with the said plans and particulars, subject to the condition(s) specified in the Second Schedule above, the reasons for the imposition of the said condition(s) being as set out in the said Second Schedule is hereby made.

Date: 10/10/22

Gormla O'Corrain,
Senior Planner