

# Rowan



## Construction Environmental Management Plan / Landscape Ecological Management Plan

### Inspection Report

This page is intentionally blank

## Report Sign Off

REVISION	DATE	ORIGNATOR	REVIEWER
FOR ISSUE	28/07/22	JAMES MASSEY	ELAINE GIBSON

## Environmental Inspection Checklist

Date: 28/07/2022

Person: James Massey

Site: Hinch Site and River Carmac

Weather condition (precipitation, sun, wind- speed and direction)
20 C, Sunny, Light cloud with some light rain late pm (3mm in 24 hrs) Wind 14 km/h westerly 58% humidity (7 C dew point) Visibility 14km

Environmental Inspection Checklist	Comments	Corrective Actions Needed (Y/N)
<b>GENERAL</b>		
Site clean and tidy – no litter, good housekeeping?	<b>General site condition was good. One or two litter items collected and disposed of from surrounding area during walk over. No other litter or plastic seen onsite</b>	<b>N</b>
Are there any leaks or mechanical issues with plant and equipment on-site?	<b>No plant on the Hinch site</b>	<b>N</b>
Do any corrective action records remain open?	<b>Fencing around trees and silt fence are in place. New marker paint for site boundary may need touch up in some places</b>	<b>N</b>
<b>DUST EMISSIONS</b>		
Are site activities sprayed to minimise dust generation?	<b>No activities on the inspection day</b>	<b>N</b>
Are dusty sections of the site sprayed with water?	<b>No activities on the inspection day Silt screen in place nor sediment noted in Carmac</b>	<b>N</b>
Are speed control measures being complied with	<b>No plant active on inspection day</b>	<b>N</b>
<b>WATER ENVIRONMENT</b>		
Are emission values at the monitoring points being met?	<b>Not monitored on inspection day. River was clear, no activities near water course or drains</b>	<b>N</b>
Are vehicles cleaned before leaving the main site?	<b>Not monitored on inspection day. River was clear, no activities near water course or drains. Dust management and wheel wash in</b>	<b>N</b>

COFFEY CONSTRUCTION LTD – SAGGART HINCH  
CEMP/LEMP INSPECTION REPORT

<b>Environmental Inspection Checklist</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Corrective Actions Needed (Y/N)</b>
	<b>place on main site, no evidence of material tracked offsite</b>	
Are wheel washing facilities at the main site properly maintained	<b>Present, not seen in operation as no activities</b>	<b>N</b>
Is sand and silt in the wheel washing bay regularly removed?	<b>Present, not seen in operation as no activities</b>	<b>N</b>
Is the site entrance and surrounding public road kept clean and free of mud?	<b>Clear road and access</b>	<b>N</b>
Is wastewater regularly removed off-site	<b>Present, not seen in operation as no activities</b>	<b>N</b>
Is water recycled where possible for dust suppression/ wheelwash etc?	<b>Present, not seen in operation as no activities</b>	<b>N</b>
<b>NOISE</b>		
Is the site operating within the agreed working hours?	<b>Site closed on day of inspection, no activities</b>	<b>N</b>
Is idle equipment turned off?	<b>Site closed on day of inspection, no activities</b>	<b>N</b>
Any noise mitigation measures adopted?	<b>Site closed on day of inspection, no activities</b>	<b>N</b>
<b>WASTE MANAGEMENT</b>		
Are wastes regularly removed off-site for recycling/ appropriate disposal?	<b>Segregated waste noted onsite, all waste uplifted before site closure</b>	<b>N</b>
Are all wastes collected and disposed of by licensed contractors	<b>all waste uplifted before site closure</b>	<b>N</b>
Are waste containers appropriately & clearly labelled?	<b>Segregated waste noted onsite, all waste uplifted before site closure</b>	<b>N</b>
<b>GENERAL OBSERVATIONS</b>		
Are there any observations or opportunities for improvement	<b>Renew the marker paint for exclusion area and RPA. Additional no entry signs recommended</b>	<b>N</b>
Are there any ecological observations on the site?	<b>No observations, some nesting birds outside the site across the Carmac river (<i>Passer domesticus</i> and <i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>) One <i>Turdus philomelos</i> seen near the site boundary up river of site boundary</b>	<b>N</b>

Environmental Inspection Checklist	Comments	Corrective Actions Needed (Y/N)
	<p><b><i>Vulpes vulpes</i> (fox) activity near Carmac river (print and scat)</b></p> <p><b>Otter walkover complete and no activity noted within 300m of the site boundary up and downstream.</b></p>	

### Notes

Site closed due to holidays. Site had been left in clean and tidy state with suitable caretaking.

#### Site Inspection – Tree Fencing and Root Protection Area (RPA) demarcation.

Tree fencing inspected and present at the site entrance area, the access side and the site side of the tree line. The site boundaries on all sides were demarked with pegs. No entry sign present on two areas of fencing at points on the site exterior tree fencing. Additional signage was recommended. The site boundary paint margining was suggested to be refreshed on a regular basis so clear to all.

RPA Demarcation is through paint and coloured pegs around the entire site. Boundaries were checked around the site and clearly marked. The spray paint has been requested to be refreshed and maybe required regularly. Site Environmental manager will check boundaries and pegs on a regular basis, as well as fencing and replace as required. Suggested some additional no entry signage added within the site.

#### Site Inspection – Silt Fencing.

Silt fence has been correctly installed along the agreed length of the site from the boundary (including protection through the disused drain at the site boundary, to the extent of the proposed infilling activities. Inspection of geotextile and construction is compliant with EIAR mitigation and site conditions.

#### Ecologist Walkover – River Carmac

Ecologist walkover was conducted along the river Carmac within the site and a buffer area up and downstream. No otter activity was recorded. A separate survey note will be produced for this survey

#### Site Inspection – LEMP Mitigation

**The site inspection confirmed that all required mitigation is in place in advance of the proposed infilling activities.**

Site Inspection: Dr James Massey



Ecologist (if required) : Dr James Massey

Signature:



Date: 28/07/2022

COFFEY CONSTRUCTION LTD – SAGGART HINCH  
CEMP/LEMP INSPECTION REPORT

Photo	Note
1	 <p data-bbox="312 1077 1382 1137">Site entrance, Fully enclosed as site closed. Fencing throughout – separate segregated footpath outside tree and hedgerow area.</p>
2	 <p data-bbox="312 1935 1382 2000">Tree protection fencing at the entrance. Free standing and braced (site side)</p>

3



Tree protection fencing at site entrance (site side)

4



Site fencing and No Entry signage Site side



5



Fencing along length of hedgerow at access side.

6



RPA and site boundary markers.

7



Silt fencing installed at a set back from the river. Trench and gravel correctly laid as per the specification



Silt fencing, continuous and complete to the site boundary and including the field edge drain,



Silt fencing installation



Silt fencing viewed from river



Silt fence from the river showing correct set backs.