COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH THEAS

PR/0673/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Orders

Register Reference:	SD22B/0195	App. Date:	06-May-2022
Correspondence Name and Address:	Mark Duffy An Tigin, Kirikee, Glenmalure, Co. Wicklow		
Development:	Demolition of the existing double garage to the side and replacement with new double garage with pitched roof and 2 dormer windows to the front elevation and 4 'Velux' windows to the rear elevation.		
Location:	22, Woodstown Parade, Knocklyon, Dublin 16		
Applicant:	Caroline & Richard Hurley		
App. Type:	Permission		

INVALID PLANNING APPLICATION

An application for Permission for the development described above was received on 06-May-2022.

However, the application did not comply with Part IV of the Planning and Development Regulations, 2001(as amended) for the following reason(s):-

- Article 18(1)(d):- The Notice in the Newspaper does not state the nature and extent of the development.
 The description of the development does not adequately describe the proposed works. For example no mention of 2nd floor playroom.
- Article 19(1)(a):- The Site Notice does not adequately state the nature and extent of the development.
 Nature of development not detailed enough.
- Article 22(4)(b)(ii):- Site or layout plans and drawings of floor plans, elevations and sections do not describe the works (or retention of works) to which the application relates sufficiently. No elevations of existing shed given. Need to display difference of proposed and existing shed.
- 4. Article 23(1)(d):- Elevations of the proposed structure do not show the main features of any buildings which would be contiguous to the proposed structure whether within the site or in the vicinity, at a scale not less than 1:200 and where the development would involve work to a protected structure or proposed protected structure, shall show the main features of any buildings within the curtilage of the structure which would be materially affected by the proposed development. All elevations(not just front) must show main features of contiguous buildings

COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH THEAS

PR/0673/22

Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Orders

No elevations of existing shed given.

5. Article 23(1)(e):- The plans relating to reconstruction, alteration or extension of structure are not marked or coloured to distinguish between the existing structure and the works proposed. Need to display difference between existing shed and proposed.

Accordingly, I recommend that:-

- (a) The applicant be advised in accordance with Part IV Article 26 (5) (a) of the Planning & Development Regulations 2001(as amended), that the application is INVALID and cannot be considered by the Planning Authority.
- (b) All particulars including plans, drawings and maps which accompanied this application be returned to the applicant in accordance with Part IV Article 26 (5) (b) of the Planning & Development Regulations 2001 (as amended).
- (c) The planning fee that accompanied this application also be returned to the applicant in accordance with Part IV Article 26 (6) of the Planning & Development Regulations 2001(as amended).
- (d) The applicant be advised that details of the INVALID application are entered in the register in accordance with Part IV Article 26 (5) (c) of the Planning & Development Regulations 2001(as amended).

Fiona Campbell Fiona Campbell,

Fiona Campbell, Administrative Officer

ORDER: That the planning application be hereby declared invalid and the planning application and fee in the sum of $\in 34$ which accompanied the application for Permission, be returned to the applicant in accordance with the Planning & Development Regulations 2001 (as amended).

Date: <u>31/5/22</u>

Eoin Burke, Senior Planner