### PR/0576/22

### **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

Reg. Reference: Submission Type:	SD22B/0104 New Application	Application Date: Registration Date:	10-Mar-2022 10-Mar-2022
Correspondence Name and Address:		Vincent Brady, Banrach Consulting Engineer Unit 14, Block D, M4 Interchange Business Park, Celbridge, Co. Kildare	
Proposed Development:		Removal of existing main roof and construction of new roof with increased height to facilitate attic conversion; dormer window to rear; construction of first floor to existing rear extension with enclosed small terrace; internal alterations and all associated ancillary site works.	
Location:		16, Butterfield Avenue, Rathfarnham, Dublin 14, D14H3K5	
Applicant Name:		Peter O'Byrne	
Application Type:		Permission	

(SW)

#### **Description of Site and Surroundings:**

#### Site Description:

The site contains a detached, two-story dwelling with a hipped roof. The area is characterised by a mix of properties (detached and semi-detached) with varying roof types. It is noted that the eaves and ridge heights of the properties adjacent to the dwellings are uniform. On the opposite side of the street, there are some bungalows, otherwise the eaves height of the dwellings appear uniform. There is some variation in ridge height.

Site Area: 0.0561 Hectares.

#### Proposal:

The proposed development will consist of:

• Removal of existing main roof and construction of new roof with increased height to facilitate attic conversion; dormer window to rear; construction of first floor to existing rear extension with enclosed small terrace; internal alterations and all associated ancillary site works.

#### Zoning:

The subject site is subject to land-use zoning objective 'RES' - 'To protect and/or improve Residential Amenity'.

## PR/0576/22

### **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

#### **Consultations:**

Surface Water Drainage: No objection, subject to **condition.** Roads: No objections.

### Submissions/Observations /Representations

None received.

#### **Relevant Planning History**

Application Site: None.

Adjacent Sites: None

### **Relevant Enforcement History**

None recorded for subject site

#### **Pre-Planning Consultation**

None recorded for subject site.

#### Relevant Policy in South Dublin County Council Development Plan 2016-2022

Section 2.4.1 Residential Extension Policy H18 Residential Extension Policy H18 Objective 1:

"To favourably consider proposals to extend existing dwellings subject to the protection of residential and visual amenities and compliance with the standards set out in Chapter 11 Implementation and the guidance set out in the South Dublin County Council House Extension Design Guide, 2010 (or any superseding guidelines)."

Section 11.2.7 Building Height Section 11.3.1 Residential Section 11.3.1 (iv) Dwelling Standards Section 11.3.1 (v) Privacy Section 11.3.3 Additional Accommodation Section 11.3.3 (i) Extensions Section 11.7.2 Energy Performance in New Buildings Section 11.8.0 Environmental Assessment

## PR/0576/22

### **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

#### South Dublin County Council House Extension Design Guide, 2010

The House Extension design guide contains the following guidance on house extensions, including in particular:

- *Respect the appearance and character of the area;*
- Provide comfortable internal space;
- Do not overlook, overshadow or have an overbearing impact on neighbouring properties;
- Conserve water and save energy where possible;

For attic conversions and dormer windows:

- Use materials to match the existing wall of roof materials of the main house;
- Locate dormer windows below the ridge of the roof, even if the roof has a shallow pitch;
- Locate dormer windows as far back as possible from the eaves line (at least 3 tile courses);
- Relate dormer windows to the windows below in alignment, proportion and character;
- In the case of a dormer window extension to a hipped roof, ensure it sits below the ridgelines of the existing rood and matches the materials used in the main house.

#### **Relevant Government Guidelines**

**Project Ireland 2040 National Planning Framework**, Government of Ireland, 2018.

Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas: Guidelines for Planning Authorities, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government (2009).

*Urban Design Manual: A Best Practice Guide*, A Companion Document to the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, (2009).

*Quality Housing for Sustainable Communities: Best Practice Guidelines*, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, (2007).

#### Assessment

The main issues to consider are:

- Zoning and Council Policy;
- Residential & Visual Amenity;
- Services and Drainage;
- Screening for Appropriate Assessment (AA);
- Screening for Environmental Impact Assessment (EIAR).

#### Zoning and Council Policy

The proposed extensions are consistent with zoning objective 'RES', in which extensions to existing dwellings are permitted in principle subject to its design being in accordance with the

## PR/0576/22

### **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

relevant provisions in the Development Plan regarding Residential Extensions, and the guidance set out in the South Dublin County Council House Extension Design Guide, 2010.

#### Residential & Visual Amenity

Removal of existing main roof and construction of new roof with increased height to facilitate attic conversion

The applicant is proposing to increase the existing roof height, through the provision of an increase in the height of the eaves from 5.515m to approx. 6.8m. The ridge height of the roof would also increase from 8.175m to 9.475m. The style of the roof would not change.

It is noted that the eaves and ridge heights of the properties adjacent to the dwellings are uniform. On the opposite side of the street there are some bungalows, otherwise the eaves height of the dwellings appear uniform. There is some variation in ridge height.

Visually, the Planning Authority has concerns that the increase in the height of both the eaves and the ridge of the roof would have an unacceptable impact and the resultant dwelling would dominate the streetscene in this area. The Planning Authority is particularly concerned with the elevated eaves height. It is noted that the applicant is seeking the increased height to facilitate an attic conversion, however, the Planning Authority is of the opinion that the design, as presented, is not acceptable. It is noted that, in addition to the rear dormer proposed, there are a number of different alterations that could be made to the roof to facilitate the proposal, for example:

- A half / dutch hipped roof could be proposed
- The ridge height could be raised slightly

As stated, in this instance the proposed alterations are not considered acceptable. However, the applicant should be requested to provide **additional information**, setting out a revised roof design that omits the raised eaves, reduces the overall height increase and potentially includes other roof alterations, such as the addition of a half hip.

The applicant should note that an internal height of 2.4m is required for the rooms to be considered habitable.

It is noted that bedrooms 2 and 3 meet the floorspace for a double bedroom and master bedroom respectively.

#### Dormer window to rear;

The description of the development states that there is a dormer window proposed to the rear.

The House Extension Design Guide recommends that dormers are set at least 100mm from the ridge of the roof and at least 3 tile courses from the eaves.

## PR/0576/22

### **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

The proposed floorplans and elevations appear to indicate a door to an outdoor amenity area at roof level and not a dormer.

The structure would be approx. 16m. from the rear boundary and, subject to suitable privacy screens for the outdoor space, it is considered the impact on visual and residential amenity of this element would be acceptable.

Construction of the first floor to existing rear extension with enclosed small terrace;

The enclosed small terrace is at second floor/attic level and, subject to a condition requiring privacy screens (timber/obscure glazed) to the sides, this element of the proposal is considered acceptable.

The applicant is also proposing to construct a first floor to the current extension over the existing single storey extension. This extension would be approx. 6m high and would have a flat roof. It would be approx. 9m long and 4.5m wide. The existing single storey extension has an eaves height of 2.691m and ridge height of 3.9m.

It is noted that the proposed rear contiguous elevation states that it is not possible to measure additional contiguous information for this site in relation to 14 Butterfield Avenue. It is apparent that there may be a single-story extension to this property, but this does not meet the boundary with the application site and it is situated centrally on the dwelling.

The proposed rear extension in its current form would have an unacceptable impact on the amenity of No14. The applicant is, therefore, requested to:

- Reduce the rearwards projection at the first floor
- Reduce the height, by either creating a monopitch roof or a roof with lower eaves/ridge height.

It is noted that these changes may impact the balcony/doors at attic level, which should also be amended where relevant.

The proposed bedroom would meet the floor space requirement for a master bedroom at present.

Internal alterations and all associated ancillary site works.

There are no other significant works, rear amenity space and driveway space would be as existing.

#### **Other Matters**

It is noted that the applicant has provided one side elevation and one section. The dwelling is detached and the applicant is requested to provide both side elevations. The applicant is also requested to provide full details in the contiguous elevations to enable assessment of the impact on the adjacent properties.

## P<u>R/0576/22</u>

### **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

#### Services and Drainage

Water Services has stated no objections, subject to conditions.

#### Screening for Appropriate Assessment

On the basis of the information on file, which is considered adequate to undertake a screening determination and having regard to:

- the nature and scale of the proposed development,
- the intervening land uses and distance from European sites, •
- the lack of direct connections with regard to the Source-Pathway-Receptor model, ٠

it is concluded that the proposed development, individually or in-combination with other plans or projects, would not be likely to have a significant effect on the above listed European sites or any other European site, in view of the said sites' conservation objectives.

An appropriate assessment is not, therefore, required.

#### Screening for Environmental Impact Assessment

Having regard to the modest nature of the application seeking retention and permission, and the distance of the site from nearby sensitive receptors, there is no real likelihood of significant effects on the environment arising from the development. The need for environmental impact assessment can, therefore, be excluded at preliminary examination and a screening determination is not required.

#### **Other Considerations**

#### **Development** Contributions

- Attic conversion habitable and First floor extension 97.6sq.m
- Previous rear extension 38sq.m
- 40sq.m exemption
- Assessable area is 95.6sq.m.

#### Monitoring for Strategic Environmental Assessment

Building Use Type Proposed Residential extension and family flat Floor Area (sq. m) 97.6 Land Type Brownfield/Urban Consolidation Site Area (ha) 0.0561

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, the principle of an extension is acceptable, however, additional information is required regarding the roof design of the proposal, to ensure the proposed development would accord with the 'RES' land-use zoning and would not seriously injure the amenities of the area, and would accord with the South Dublin County Development Plan 2016 - 2022 and the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

## PR/0576/22

### **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

#### **Recommendation**

I recommend that **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION** be requested from the applicant with regard to the following:

- 1. The Planning Authority has concerns that the increase in the height of both the eaves and the ridge of the roof would have an unacceptable impact and the resultant dwelling would dominate the streetscene in this area. The Planning Authority is particularly concerned with the elevated eaves height. The applicant is requested to provide submit plans and elevations indicating a revised roof design which omits the raised eaves, reduces the overall height increase and potentially includes other roof alterations, such as the addition of a half hip(s).
- 2. The proposed first floor rear extension in its current form would have an unacceptable impact on the amenity of No. 14. The applicant is therefore, requested to:
  - Reduce the rearwards projection at first floor.
  - Reduce the height, by either creating a monopitch roof or a roof with lower eaves/ridge height.

It is noted that these changes my impact the balcony/doors at attic level, which should also be amended where relevant.

3. It is noted that the applicant has provided one side elevation and one section. The dwelling is detached and the applicant is requested to provide both side elevations. The applicant is also requested to provide full details in the contiguous elevations to enable assessment of impact on the adjacent properties.

#### PR/0576/22

#### **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

REG. REF. SD22B/0104 LOCATION: 16, Butterfield Avenue, Rathfarnham, Dublin 14, D14H3K5

Caitlin O'Shea.

Executive Planner

**ORDER:** I direct that **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION** be requested from the applicant as set out in the above report and that notice thereof be served on the applicant.

Date: 04/05/2022

Colm Harte,

Senior Executive Planner