## PR/0350/22

### **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

<b>Reg. Reference:</b>	SD22B/0031	<b>Application Date:</b>	27-Jan-2022
Submission Type:	New Application	<b>Registration Date:</b>	27-Jan-2022
Correspondence Name and Address:		Jeremy Kelly Unit 18, The Walk, Louisa Valley, Leixlip, Co. Kildare	
Proposed Development:		Single storey side and rear extension.	
Location:		The Green, Main Street, Rathcoole, Co. Dublin.	
Applicant Name:		Michael Melady	
Application Type:		Permission	

(AOCM)

### **Description of Site and Surroundings:**

Site Area: stated as 0.05025 hectares

Site Description:

The application site contains a single storey, detached house, located on the west end of Main Street, Rathcoole. The site contains a single storey four bay vernacular cottage dating from the late 18th or early 19th century, which has been altered over time with various additions including a front porch and rear extension. The surrounding area is a mix of residential and village centre uses.

Site visited: 10 March 2022

### **Proposal:**

Permission is sought for the following:

• Single storey side and rear extension (61sq.m)

### Zoning:

The site is subject to zoning objective 'RES' - 'To protect and/or improve residential amenity'.

### **Consultations:**

Surface Water Drainage – **Further information** recommended Irish Water – No objection, **conditions** recommended Conservation – No objection, **conditions** recommended

### **SEA Sensitivity Screening**

Indicates overlap with:

## PR/0350/22

## **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

- Record of Monuments and Places 2016
- Areas of Archaeological Potential 2016
- Architectural Conservation Areas 2016

### Submissions/Observations /Representations

Submission expiry date -02/03/2022

1 submission was received including as follows:

- Proposal does not include any boundary alterations/finishes
- No landscaping plans
- Application form does not state property is within an ACA and does not reference previous application SD18A/0115
- Application has not adhered to previous guidelines for future applications specified in SD18A/0115

### **Relevant Planning History**

**SD18A/0115**: Permission refused for the following: Demolish existing bungalow and construct fully serviced two storey dwelling, connect to public sewerage and all associated works. (The proposed development is located in an Architectural Conservation Area (ACA)). The decision was appealed to An Bord Pleanala who upheld the decision of SDCC.

### ABP reasons for refusal:

- 1. The proposed development would adversely affect an Architectural Conservation Area. The Rathcoole Architectural Conservation Area has been included in the current Development Plan for the area. Within the Plan, it is the policy of the Council, as set out at HCL4 Objective 1, HCL5 Objective 1, and HCL5 Objective 3, in particular, to avoid the removal of structures that positively contribute to the character of Architectural Conservation Areas, to retain existing houses (whilst not listed as Protected Structures) which are considered to contribute to the historic and local character within the county, and to encourage the retention, rehabilitation, renovation and reuse of older buildings where such buildings contribute to the collective interest or character of the surrounding area. Demolition of a habitable house, which is capable of sensitive of extension, would materially contravene the above-referenced policies of the Development Plan and would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.
- 2. The proposed development would set an undesirable precedent for other similar developments within Architectural Conservation Areas, which would, by themselves and cumulatively, be harmful to the visual amenities of the area, would adversely affect Architectural Conservation Areas, and would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

**SD08A/0204: Permission refused** for demolition of existing derelict dwelling and 2 no. sheds and construct a 2 and a half storey building consisting of 2 shop units (101.66sq.m) on ground floor& 2

## PR/0350/22

## **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

office units (203.32sq.m) on the other 2 floors, connection to public services, car parking to rear, loading bay to front and associated site works.

### **Relevant Enforcement History**

No recent relevant enforcement recorded for subject site.

### **Pre-Planning Consultation**

None recorded for subject site.

### **Relevant Policy in South Dublin County Council Development Plan 2016-2022**

Section 2.4.1 Residential Extensions Policy H18 Residential Extensions It is the policy of the Council to support the extension of existing dwellings subject to the protection of residential and visual amenities. Section 11.3.3 Additional Accommodation (i) Extensions The design of residential extensions should accord with the South Dublin County Council House Extension Design Guide (2010) or any superseding standards

HCL Policy 1 – Overarching

HCL Policy 4 Architectural Conservation Areas

HCL4 Objective 1: To avoid the removal of structures and distinctive features that positively contribute to the character of Architectural Conservation Areas including buildings, building features, shop fronts, boundary treatments, street furniture, landscaping and paving.

HCL4 Objective 2: To ensure that new development, including infill development, extensions and renovation works within or adjacent to an Architectural Conservation Area (ACA) preserves or enhances the special character and visual setting of the ACA including vistas, streetscapes and roofscapes.

HCL4 Objective 3: To address dereliction and promote appropriate and sensitive reuse and rehabilitation of buildings, building features and sites within Architectural Conservation Areas.

HCL Policy 5 - Older Buildings, Estates and Streetscapes

HCL5 Objective 1: To retain existing houses that, while not listed as Protected Structures, are considered to contribute to historic character, local character, visual setting, rural amenity or streetscape value within the County.

## PR/0350/22

## **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

HCL5 Objective 2: To ensure that the redevelopment of older buildings, including extensions and renovation works do not compromise or erode the architectural interest, character or visual setting of such buildings including surrounding housing estates or streetscapes.

HCL5 Objective 3: To encourage the retention, rehabilitation, renovation and re-use of older buildings and their original features where such buildings and features contribute to the visual setting, collective interest or character of the surrounding area.

11.5.3 – Architectural Conservation Areas

11.5.4 – Older Buildings, Estates and Streetscapes

### **Relevant Government Guidelines**

Project Ireland 2040 National Planning Framework, Government of Ireland (2018).

Regional, Spatial & Economic Strategy 2020-2032 (RSES), Eastern & Midlands Regional Assembly (2019)

**Rebuilding Ireland:** Action Plan for Housing and Homelessness, Government of Ireland (2016). Architectural Heritage Protection: Guidelines for Planning Authorities, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht (2011).

Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas - Guidelines for Planning Authorities, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government (2008).

Quality Housing for Sustainable Communities-Best Practice Guidelines, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, (2007).

*Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland – Guidance for Planning Authorities,* Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, (2009).

### **Assessment**

The main issues for assessment concern the following:

- Zoning and Council policy
- Residential and visual amenity
- Architectural Conservation
- Services, Drainage and the Environment
- Appropriate Assessment
- Environmental Impact Assessment

### **Zoning and Council Policy**

The site is subject to zoning objective 'RES' - 'To protect and/or improve residential amenity'. A residential extension is 'Permitted in Principle' under this zoning objective.

## PR/0350/22

## **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

Within the Development Plan, Sections 9.1.3 and 9.1.4 address Architectural Conservation Areas and development of older building stock. Of particular relevance are the following:

- HERITAGE, CONSERVATION AND LANDSCAPES (HCL) Policy 4 Architectural Conservation Areas states 'it is the policy of the Council to preserve and enhance the historic character and visual setting of Architectural Conservation Areas and to carefully consider any proposals for development that would affect the special value of such areas.'
- HCL5 Objective 2 states it is an objective of the Council 'to ensure that the redevelopment of older buildings, including extensions and renovation works do not compromise or erode the architectural interest, character or visual setting of such buildings including surrounding housing estates or streetscapes.'

### **Residential and Visual Amenity**

The proposal includes a single storey, pitched to flat roof side and rear extension (61sq.m). No other external alterations are noted from drawings.

The extension would provide two additional bedrooms, a bathroom, and large open plan kitchen and family room. The existing kitchen would become a utility room and a small open courtyard would be created in the space between the two existing extensions, and the proposed rear extension. A private terrace (20sq.m) would be provided at the front of the extension, along the side of the existing dwelling, and drawings indicate that approximately 200sq.m rear space would remain. It is noted that this appears to currently be hardstanding for car parking.

The extension would provide 61sq.m additional floor area to the dwelling, setback from the front building line appropriately to indicate a later addition to the house. The existing dwelling is 71sq.m, with the extension representing an 85% increase to the floor area of the dwelling. The extension would connect to the rear building line of the existing dwelling, absorbing existing ad hoc extensions to the rear. The depth of the extension would be 8.69m, while the original cottage depth (excluding later additions) is 5.15m. This represents an increase in depth of over 100%. While this could be considered excessive, the extension would not be greatly visible from the street, given mature planting along the site boundary, and would clearly represent a later addition to the property, distinguishing the original cottage from later additions. In this regard, the extension is considered acceptable.

The roof of the proposed extension would comprise asymmetrical pitched sides and a flat roof at the peak, reaching the same maximum height of the existing dwellings pitched roof, 4.755m. This is considered appropriate and ensures the original dwelling remains the main focal point when viewed from the street.

## PR/0350/22

## **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

The applicants have not provided any information in relation to boundary treatments or landscaping plans. As noted below, the SDCC Conservation Officer has recommended that the front boundary walls, gate and defined boundary ought to be retained, but has sought details of boundary treatments to the side and rear. Boundary treatment and landscape plans could be agreed by **condition**, but in combination with landscape plans and other issues on the site (see water services below), it is considered appropriate that **additional information** is sought on these items.

Based on the above, the proposed extension is considered acceptable in principle.

### Architectural Conservation

The SDCC Architectural Conservation Officer has reviewed the application and in email correspondence has stated the following:

It is considered that the proposed development which is located to the side (set-back) and rear will not directly impact on the character of the ACA. The proposed extension to the existing cottage allows the existing cottage to be adapted to modern family living and is simple in its design and form. It is considered that the front boundary walls, gate and defined boundary should be retained and that details of the side and rear boundary treatment should be submitted by way of condition.

The ACO recommends the following **conditions** in the event of a grant:

- The proposed materials for the new extension will match the existing roof finish and external rough cast render finish. It is proposed to insert new uPVC windows and rear sliding doors to the new addition. Although the existing windows of the cottage are also uPVC this is not an appropriate material or window type within an ACA. It is therefore recommended that the applicant be requested to insert timber windows and consider replacing the existing uPVC windows to the existing cottage in order to provide a cohesive window type in keeping with traditional features/materials within an ACA. A schedule of materials and finishes for the proposed development should be submitted for approval and agreement in addressing the above issue.
- The existing front boundary walls, gate and defined boundary are to be retained, which will ensure the features of the existing boundary to the Main Street are kept. Details of the side and rear boundary treatment and details of the private open space areas should be submitted by way of condition. This will ensure the new boundaries and private open space areas are designed to suit the location within an ACA.

The above **conditions** are considered appropriate. Although the windows to the front are not proposed for alterations under the application, the works comprise major changes to a structure in an ACA and it is reasonable to require such changes to protect and enhance the character of the ACA, as part of this development.

## PR/0350/22

### **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

### Services, Drainage and the Environment

Water Services have reviewed the application and have recommended **additional information** is sought as follows:

The applicant has not proposed any SuDS (Sustainable Drainage Systems) features for the proposed development. The applicant is required to submit a drawing in plan and cross sectional views clearly showing proposed Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) features for the development to manage excess surface water run off.

Note: Where a soakaway / infiltration system is proposed then the applicant is required to submit soil percolation test results, design calculations and dimensions to the Planning Authority to demonstrate that the proposed soakaway is feasible in accordance with BRE Digest 365 – Soakaway Design. The applicant is also required to submit a drawing showing plan & crosssectional views, dimensions, and location of proposed soakaway to the Planning Authority. Any proposed soakaway shall be located fully within the curtilage of the property and shall be:

- (i) At least 5m from any building, public sewer, road boundary or structure.
- (ii) Generally, not within 3m of the boundary of the adjoining property.
- (iii)Not in such a position that the ground below foundations is likely to be adversely affected.
- (iv) 10m from any sewage treatment percolation area and from any watercourse / floodplain.
- (v) Soakaways must include an overflow connection to the surface water drainage network.

Given the level of hardstanding at the site, and the scale of the new development, it is considered appropriate to request the **additional information** to ensure sufficient provision of sustainable surface water drainage.

Irish Water has reviewed the application and stated no objection. Their report recommends standard **conditions** in relation to compliance with Irish Water standards, codes and practices is attached in the event of a grant. It is considered appropriate to include these.

### **Screening for Appropriate Assessment**

The subject site is not located within nor within close proximity to a European site. The proposed development is located within an established residential area and comprises of a house extension.

Having regard to:

- the small scale and domestic nature of the development,
- the location of the development in a serviced urban area, and
- the consequent absence of a pathway to the European site,

it is considered that the proposed development would not be likely to have a significant effect individually, or in-combination with other plans and projects, on the Natura 2000 network and appropriate assessment is not therefore required.

## PR/0350/22

## **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

### **Environmental Impact Assessment**

Having regard to the modest nature of the proposed development, and the distance of the site from nearby sensitive receptors, there is no real likelihood of significant effects on the environment arising from the proposed development. The need for environmental impact assessment can, therefore, be excluded at preliminary examination and a screening determination is not required.

### **Conclusion**

The following **additional information** should be requested:

- a landscaping plan including boundary treatment details and SuDS measures
- a site plan clearly detailing proposed SuDS measures

### **Recommendation**

I recommend that **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION** be requested from the applicant with regard to the following:

- The applicant is requested to submit a landscaping plan for the site including, but not limited so, details on boundary treatments and the inclusion of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDS). The existing front boundary walls, gate and defined boundary shall clearly be shown as retained and the side and rear boundary should complement these.
- 2. The applicant has not proposed any SuDS (Sustainable Drainage Systems) features for the proposed development. The applicant is requested to submit a drawing in plan and cross sectional views clearly showing proposed Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) features for the development to manage excess surface water run off.

Note: Where a soakaway / infiltration system is proposed then the applicant is required to submit soil percolation test results, design calculations and dimensions to the Planning Authority to demonstrate that the proposed soakaway is feasible in accordance with BRE Digest 365 – Soakaway Design. The applicant is also required to submit a drawing showing plan & cross-sectional views, dimensions, and location of proposed soakaway to the Planning Authority. Any proposed soakaway shall be located fully within the curtilage of the property and shall be:

(i) At least 5m from any building, public sewer, road boundary or structure.

(ii) Generally, not within 3m of the boundary of the adjoining property.

(iii) Not in such a position that the ground below foundations is likely to be adversely affected.

(iv) 10m from any sewage treatment percolation area and from any watercourse / floodplain.

(v) Soakaways must include an overflow connection to the surface water drainage network.

### PR/0350/22

### Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

REG. REF. SD22B/0031 LOCATION: The Green, Main Street, Rathcoole, Co. Dublin.

Colm/Maguire, **Executive Planner** 

**ORDER:** I direct that **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION** be requested from the applicant as set out in the above report and that notice thereof be served on the applicant.

\$22 Date: 22

Eoin Burke, Senior Planner