# PR/0110/22

## Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Reg. Reference:SD21A/0321Application Date:22-Nov-2021Submission Type:New ApplicationRegistration Date:22-Nov-2021

**Correspondence Name and Address:** Aoife Tuomey Architects The Studio, 24, Raymond

Street, Dublin 8, D08 N8W7

**Proposed Development:** Construction of 2 storey, detached house (single

family dwelling on a corner/side garden site); new boundary walls to replace existing with 2 new pedestrian entrance gateways; associated site works, landscaping and connections to public services.

**Location:** 63, Glenbrook Park, Rathfarnham, Dublin 14.

**Applicant Name:** Sarah McDonald

**Application Type:** Permission

(CS)

# **Description of Site and Surroundings**

Site Area

Stated as 0.018ha

#### Site Description

The application site consists of a narrow curved strip of land in the corner side garden of an existing two-storey, semi-detached residential property with hipped roof located to the west of the subject site. The existing property (No. 63 Glenbrook Park) is the last property in the row of semi-detached houses.

#### **Proposal**

Permission is sought for the following:

- Construction of 2 storey, 3 bedroom detached dwelling on a corner/side garden site.
- New boundary treatment to replace existing.
- 2 pedestrian gateways. 1 gate to the front and 1 gate to the side.
- Proposed development measures c.110sq.m. as stated.

It is noted that there is no proposal to provide for on curtilage car parking.

#### Zoning

The subject site is subject to zoning objective 'RES' - 'To protect and/or improve Residential Amenity'.

# PR/0110/22

# Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

# **Consultations**

Roads – **Refusal** recommended.

Irish Water – no objection subject to **conditions** 

Surface Water Drainage – no objection subject to **conditions.** 

Parks Department – **conditions** recommended in the event of a grant.

Public Lighting – No response received to date.

# **SEA Sensitivity Screening**

No overlap indicated with SEA layers.

## **Submissions/Observations/Representations**

One. submission was received that has raised the following concerns:

- Out of character with other dwellings in the vicinity.
- Overdevelopment of a constrained site.
- Compromise the light and views to the south of the Dublin Mountains for neighbouring dwellings.

The issues raised in the third-party submission has been taken into account in the assessment of the proposal.

## **Relevant Planning History**

None recorded for subject site.

Adjacent sites

SD18B/0041: 54, Glenbrook Park, Rathfarnham, Dublin 14.

Single storey extension to rear with roof lights and all associated site works.

Decision: GRANT PERMISSION.

#### **SD05B/0452**: 54, Glenbrook Park, Dublin 14.

Demolition of an existing single storey side extension incorporating a garage, boiler and toilet and its replacement with a two storey extension to the side and a single storey extension to the front for domestic use as part of the dwelling with associated changes to the elevations and roofs (including a 'Velux' type roof window to the rear) Decision: **GRANT PERMISSION.** 

#### **Relevant Enforcement History**

None recorded.

## **Pre-Planning Consultation**

PP098/21

# PR/0110/22

# **Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order**

# Relevant Policy in South Dublin County Council Development Plan 2016-2022 2 Housing

Section 2.4.0: Residential Consolidation – Infill, Backland, Subdivision & Corner Sites

Policy H17 Residential Consolidation

Policy H17 Objective 3:

To favourably consider proposals for the development of corner or wide garden sites within the curtilage of existing houses in established residential areas, subject to appropriate safeguards and standards identified in Chapter 11 Implementation.

# 7 Infrastructure & Environmental Quality

Policy IE 1 Water & Wastewater

Policy IE 2 Surface Water & Groundwater

Policy IE 3 Flood Risk

Policy IE 7 Environmental Quality

#### **8 Green Infrastructure**

Policy G1 Overarching

Policy G5 Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems

## 9. Heritage, Conservation & Landscapes

Policy HCL2 Natura 2000 sites

## 11 Implementation

Section 11.2.7 Building Height

Section 11.3.1 Residential

Section 11.3.1 (iv) Dwelling Standards

Table 11.20: Minimum Space Standards for Houses

Section 11.3.1 (v) Privacy

Section 11.3.2 Residential Consolidation

Section 11.3.2 (i) Infill Sites

Section 11.3.2 (ii) Corner/Side Garden Sites

Section 11.4.2 Car Parking Standards

Table 11.24 Maximum Parking Rates (Residential Development)

Section 11.4.4 Car Parking Design and Layout

#### Section 11.6.0 Infrastructure and Environmental Quality

- (i) Flood Risk Assessment
- (ii) Surface Water
- (iii)SUDS

# PR/0110/22

## Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

- (iv)Groundwater
- (v) Rainwater Harvesting
- (vi)Water Services

Section 11.7.2 Energy Performance in New Buildings

Section 11.8.1 EIA

Section 11.8.2 Appropriate Assessment

#### **Relevant Government Guidelines**

Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas - Guidelines for Planning Authorities, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government (2008).

Quality Housing for Sustainable Communities-Best Practice Guidelines, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, (2007).

#### **Assessment**

The main issues for assessment are as follows:

- Zoning and Council policy
- Legal Interest
- Residential & Visual Amenity
- Parks & Landscaping
- Access and Parking
- Services and Drainage
- Screening for Appropriate Assessment (AA)
- Screening for Environmental Impact Assessment (EIAR)

## **Zoning and Council Policy**

The site is located in an area which is subject to zoning objective 'RES' 'to protect and/or improve residential amenity.' The development of a dwelling is permitted in principle subject to its accordance with the relevant provisions in the Development Plan with specific reference to Section 11.3.2 (i) which relates to Infill Sites and (ii) Corner/Side Garden Sites.

#### Legal Interest

The applicant has indicated in their application form that they are not the legal owner. However, the applicant has provided a letter of consent from the owner providing permission to make this planning application. The applicant is the daughter of the site owner. The site owner is also the owner of the adjoining site 63 Glenbrook Park.

# PR/0110/22

# Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

# Residential & Visual Amenity

Internal floor area

The planning drawings submitted show that the internal floor area for the **proposed detached two storey dwelling** with pitched roof measures 110sq.m. as stated. This would comply with the provisions of the South Dublin County Council Development Plan 2016-2022 which requires a minimum floor area of 92sq.m for a house with three bedrooms (Table 11.20: Minimum Space Standards for Houses).

#### Private open space

The planning drawings submitted show that the quantity of private open space for the existing dwelling (No. 68 Glenbrook Park) will be of a reasonable level post development (c.124sq.m).

The private open space for the **proposed house** with an internal floor area of 110sq.m is stated as 58sq.m and is slightly below the 60sq.m minimum standard for a three bedroom house. This 2sq.m shortfall is considered minor in nature and in this instance would broadly comply with the provisions of the South Dublin County Council Development Plan 2016-2022 Section, 11.3.1 Residential (iv) Dwelling Standards, (Table 11.20: Minimum Space Standards for Houses). However the Planning Authority has significant concerns that the width of the rear garden has not provided for satisfactory amenity space and is out of character with other rear gardens in the vicinity.

It is considered this may be addressed by way of **additional information.** If the applicant has ownership of No.63 Glenbrook Park and the existing dwelling can be included for in the red/blue line boundary the applicant is requested to submit revised appropriate drawings clearly showing for the widening/enlargement of the rear garden for the proposed dwelling.

Considering the limited level of private open space to be provided in the event of a grant of permission a **condition** should be attached removingt exempted development rights.

#### Rooms sizes

Bedroom 3 measures 10.3sq.m and is a double bedroom. This proposed bedroom size **does not comply** with Section 11.3.1 Residential (iv) Dwelling Standards regarding minimum internal floor areas for proposed bedrooms. Regarding Bedroom 3, a double bedroom must measure a minimum of 11.4sq.m. In this instance Bedroom 3 only measures 10.3sq.m and would not comply with the SDCC Development Plan. It is considered this can be addressed by way of a request for **additional information.** 

The proposed unobstructed living room widths requirements would comply with Quality Housing for Sustainable Communities, Best Practice Guidelines for Delivering Homes Sustaining Communities 2007.

# PR/0110/22

#### Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

# Overlooking & Separation Distance

At ground floor level the dwelling will be built to the eastern site boundary. The proposed dwelling will be built to the boundary with the existing dwelling. There will be a separation of c.1.2m from the existing dwelling (No.63 Glenbrook Park) with the proposed dwelling. There is a separation distance of 31.8 metres between House No.26 Glenbrook Park and the proposed dwelling. There is a separation of just over 22 metres between the proposed first floor windows on the eastern elevation and House No. 54 Glenbrook Park. Separation distances would comply with *Section 11.3.1 Residential (v) Privacy* of the SDCC Development Plan 2016-2022 whereby a separation distance of 22 metres should generally be provided between directly opposing above ground floor windows to maintain privacy. Therefore, there will be no undue overlooking.

#### Dual Frontage & Setback

The eastern(side) elevation will have a high level window inserted at ground floor level in the bathroom and kitchen area facing onto the public pathway which will provide for a limited element of passive surveillance. There will be 3 windows (home office, bedroom 2, landing) at first floor level on the eastern elevation facing onto the public realm providing for passive surveillance therefore adequate dual frontage will be achieved in this instance.

#### Storage

Drawings submitted show no dedicated storage will be provided for the proposed dwelling. This would not comply with the minimum storage area requirements as per the Quality Housing for Sustainable Communities, Best Practice Guidelines for Delivering Homes Sustaining Communities 2007. A minimum of 4sq.m of dedicated storage space should be provided for a 3 bed, 4 person, 2 storey house. Therefore, the level of storage to be provided **would not comply** with the above policy. It is considered this may be addressed by way of a request for **additional information**.

## Building lines & Overbearing impact

The proposed main front building line will be setback by c.1.35m from the main front building line of the existing dwelling (No.63 Glenbrook Park). However at ground floor level the proposal will align with the main front building line of the existing dwelling.

The site level will be lowered such that is approx.. 1m lower than the adjoining site 63 Glenbrook Park. The proposed ground floor main rear building line will project beyond the main rear building line of the existing dwelling by c.3.4m. at its nearest point to the existing dwelling. The proposed first floor rear projection would be some 2.8m beyond the rear building line of the existing dwelling. It is considered that the proposed rear building line would have an **overbearing** impact on the existing dwelling (No.63 Glenbrook Park). It is considered this may be addressed by way of **Additional Information** whereby the applicant is requested to reduce the depth of projection of the first floor element. The applicant could give consideration to pulling forward the first floor front building line in doing this.

# PR/0110/22

## Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

## **Boundary Treatment**

The 2.0 metre high front wall boundary treatment proposed would create issues with forward visibility for vehicles egressing the neighbouring property at No.63 Glenbrook Park. It is considered this may be addressed by way of **additional information.** This is addressed further in the Access & Parking section of the report.

# Roof Profile

The proposed dwelling will primarily have a pitched roof with a ridge height of c.8.5m and an eaves height of c.5.4m. The proposed ridge height will be set below the ridge height of the existing dwelling. At ground floor level the proposed dwelling will have a flat parapet roof with a parapet height of c.3.5m.

## Parks & Landscaping

The Parks Department has issued a report recommending **conditions** to be attached in the event of a grant. An extract taken from the Parks report states the following:

# 1.Landscape Plan

The site shall be landscaped in accordance with a comprehensive scheme of landscaping which includes boundary planting; details of which shall be submitted to the Public Realm Section for approval. CONDITION

REASON: In the interests of visual amenity and integrating the development into the landscape

It is considered appropriate to attach the above **conditions** in the event of a grant.

## Access and Parking

A report was received from the Roads Section recommending **Refusal.** An extract taken from the Roads report states the following:

#### Description:

Construction of 2 storey, detached house (single family dwelling on a corner/side garden site); new boundary walls to replace existing with 2 new pedestrian entrance gateways; associated site works, landscaping and connections to public services.

Car Parking: The proposed development does not provide for any on-curtilage car parking. As per SDCC CDP 2016-2022, the maximum parking provision for a 3+ bedroom in zone 1, is 2 spaces. In addition, the proposed development would reduce the on-curtilage parking area of the existing property at no.63.

Bicycle Parking: Bicycle parking is to be provided at the front of the property.

Boundary Wall: The front boundary wall is to increase in height from 850mm to 2000mm.

# PR/0110/22

# Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Roads recommends refusal on the grounds of:

- 1. Insufficient on-curtilage parking. The Roads Department's concern is that vehicles will be parked on public realm where they would endanger public safety by creating a traffic hazard.
- 2. The increase in the height of the front boundary wall to 2000mm would create issues with forward visibility for vehicles egressing the neighbouring property at no.63.

Notwithstanding the report from the Roads Department it is considered that the applicant be requested to address this by way of **additional information** whereby the applicant is requested to submit revised appropriate drawings demonstrating there is sufficient room to provide for a 6 metres long driveway to park a car with a vehicular entrance with a maximum width of 3.5m. The applicant is requested to submit appropriate drawings showing a reduction in proposed front boundary treatment wall from 2.0m to 0.9m and pillars reduced to 1.2m to allow for forward visibility for vehicles egressing the neighbouring property at No.63 Glenbrook Park.

Alternatively, a combined vehicular entrance with the adjoining site No. 63 could be considered, but the red line boundary would need to be extended to include No. 63.

# Services and Drainage

Both Irish Water and Surface Water Drainage have recommended no objections subject to **conditions**. An extract taken from the Irish Water report states the following:

#### 1 Water

Prior to the commencement of development the applicant or developer shall enter into water connection agreement(s) with Irish Water.

Reason: In the interest of public health and to ensure adequate water facilities.

#### 2 Foul

Prior to the commencement of development the applicant or developer shall enter into waste water connection agreement(s) with Irish Water.

*Reason: In the interest of public health and to ensure adequate waste water facilities.* 

It is considered appropriate to attach the above **conditions** in the event of a grant.

An extract taken from the Surface Water Drainage report states the following:

Surface Water Report: No objection subject to:

1.Include Water Butts in proposed development as part of SuDS (Sustainable urban Drainage).

Flood Risk No Objection

The Developer shall ensure that there is complete separation of the foul and surface water drainage for the proposed development.

# PR/0110/22

#### Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

All works for this development shall comply with the requirements of the Greater Dublin Regional Code of Practice for Drainage Works.

It is considered appropriate to attach the above **conditions** in the event of a grant.

# Screening for Appropriate Assessment (AA)

Having regard to the scale and nature of the development, connection to public services and the distance from Natura sites, it is not considered that the proposed development would be likely to have a significant effect individually or in combination with other plans or projects on a European site, therefore Stage 2 AA is not required.

#### Screening for Environmental Impact Assessment (EIAR)

Having regard to the modest nature of the proposed development, and the distance of the site from nearby sensitive receptors, there is no real likelihood of significant effects on the environment arising from the proposed development. The need for environmental impact assessment can, therefore, be excluded at preliminary examination and a screening determination is not required.

#### **Conclusion**

There are significant concerns with the proposal in relation to the creation of a traffic hazard, lack of on-site parking, overbearing impact on the existing dwelling, unsatisfactory geometry of rear private open space, insufficient bedroom sizes and insufficient storage provision. It is considered the above may be addressed by way of a request for **additional information.** 

#### Recommendation

I recommend that **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION** be requested from the applicant with regard to the following:

- 1. The applicant is requested to submit:
  - (i) revised appropriate drawings demonstrating there is enough room to provide for a 6 metres long driveway for a car to park with a vehicular entrance with a maximum width of 3.5m.
  - (ii) appropriate drawings showing a reduction in the proposed front boundary wall from 2.0m to 0.9m and pillars reduced to 1.2m to allow for forward visibility for vehicles egressing the neighbouring property at No.63 Glenbrook Park.
- 2. The Planning Authority has serious concerns that the level of projection of the main rear building line at first floor level at c2.8m would have a significant adverse overbearing impact on the immediate neighbour (No. 63 Glenbrook Park). The applicant is requested to significantly reduce the depth of projection of the first floor element. The applicant could give consideration to pulling forward the first floor front building line in an attempt to achieve this. Alternatively, a combined entrance with the adjacent dwelling No. 63

# PR/0110/22

# Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

could be considered but the red line boundary must therefore be extended to include the entiretly of No. 63.

- 3. The Planning Authority has significant concerns that the width of the rear garden has not provided for satisfactory amenity space and is out of character with other rear gardens in the vicinity. If the applicant has ownership of No.63 Glenbrook Park and the existing dwelling can be included for in the red/blue line boundary, the applicant is requested to submit revised appropriate drawings clearly showing for the widening/enlargement of the rear garden for the proposed dwelling such that there is a more equal division of the rear garden between the existing and proposed dwelling.
- 4. Drawings submitted show no dedicated storage will be provided for the proposed dwelling. This would not comply with the minimum storage area requirements as per the Quality Housing for Sustainable Communities, Best Practice Guidelines for Delivering Homes Sustaining Communities 2007. A minimum of 4sq.m of dedicated storage space should be provided for a 3 bed, 4 person, 2 storey house. The applicant is requested to submit revised appropriate drawings to clearly demonstrate the required minimum level of storage.
- 5. Bedroom 3 measures 10.3sq.m. and is a double bedroom. This proposed bedroom size does not comply with Section 11.3.1 Residential (iv) Dwelling Standards regarding minimum internal floor areas for proposed bedrooms. Regarding Bedroom 3, a double bedroom must measure a minimum of 11.4sq.m. In this instance Bedroom 3 only measures 10.3sq.m and would not comply with the SDCC Development Plan. The applicant is requested to submit revised appropriate drawings to clearly demonstrate the minimum internal floor area for a double bedroom is achieved.

# PR/0110/22

# Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

REG. REF. SD21A/0321 LOCATION: 63, Glenbrook Park, Rathfarnham, Dublin 14.

Sim Johnston.

**Senior Executive Planner** 

**ORDER:** 

I direct that **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION** be requested from the applicant as set out in the above report and that notice thereof be served on the applicant.

Date: 25/1/2

Eoin Burke, Senior Planner