## Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

## PR/0730/21

**Reg. Reference:** SD21A/0076 **Application Date:** 08-Apr-2021 **Submission Type:** New Application **Registration Date:** 08-Apr-2021

Correspondence Name and Address: Jong Kim, AKM Design Unit 4, 2009 Orchard

Business Centre, Orchard Avenue, Citywest Business

Campus, Dublin 24

**Proposed Development:** Reduction of single storey rear extension; construction

of detached 2 storey house in side garden with new access and parking arrangement in front garden and

associated works.

**Location:** 56, St. Johns Close, Clondalkin, Dublin 22

**Applicant Name:** Gary Povey **Application Type:** Permission

(DF)

# **Description of Site and Surroundings**

Site Visit: 23/04/2021
Site Area: 0.068 Hectares.

Site Description:

The proposed development is located at the end of a cul de sac in St. Johns Close in the side garden of No. 56. The surrounding properties are terraced bungalows on the eastern side of the street and predominantly two storey terraced house, with an end detached unit, on the western side of the street. All with rear gardens.

#### **Proposal:**

The proposed development comprises:

- Reduction of single storey rear extension;
- construction of detached 2 storey house in side garden with new access and parking arrangement in front garden and associated works.

# Zoning:

The subject site is subject to zoning objective 'RES' - 'To protect and/or improve residential amenity'.

### **Consultations:**

Water Services- Further Information requested Irish Water – No objection subject to standard conditions Roads Section – Additional Information requested

## Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

# **SEA Sensitivity Screening**

No overlap with layers.

### **Submissions/Observations/Representations**

None received. Final date for submissions 13/5/21.

# **Relevant Planning History**

Subject Site

SD13B/0134. Retention of single storey front and rear/side extension, detached single storey play room and 2m boundary wall to enclose a privately owned area of open space to St. John's Grove and associated works.

Grant permission for retention

## Adjacent Site

None recorded for adjacent site.

### **Relevant Enforcement History**

None recorded for subject site

## **Pre-Planning Consultation**

None recorded.

## Relevant Policy in South Dublin County Council Development Plan 2016-2022

Section 2.4.0: Residential Consolidation – Infill, Backland, Subdivision & Corner Sites

Policy H17 Residential Consolidation

Policy H17 Objective 3:

To favourably consider proposals for the development of corner or wide garden sites within the curtilage of existing houses in established residential areas, subject to appropriate safeguards and standards identified in Chapter 11 Implementation.

Section 11.2.7 Building Height

Section 11.3.1 Residential

Section 11.3.1 (iv) Dwelling Standards

Table 11.20: Minimum Space Standards for Houses

Section 11.3.1 (v) Privacy

Section 11.3.2 Residential Consolidation

Section 11.3.2 (i) Infill Sites

Development on Infill sites should meet the following criteria:

- Be guided by the Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas Guidelines for Planning Authorities DEHLG, 2009 and the companion Urban Design Manual.
- A site analysis that addresses the scale, siting and layout of new development taking account of the local context should accompany all proposals for infill development. On smaller sites of approximately 0.5 hectares or less a degree of architectural integration with the

### Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

surrounding built form will be required, through density, features such as roof forms, fenestration patterns and materials and finishes. Larger sites will have more flexibility to define an independent character.

- Significant site features, such as boundary treatments, pillars, gateways and vegetation should be retained, in so far as possible, but not to the detriment of providing an active interface with the street.
- Where the proposed height is greater than that of the surrounding area a transition should be provided (see Section 11.2.7 Building Height).

### Section 11.3.2 (ii) Corner/Side Garden Sites

Development on corner and/or side garden sites should meet the criteria for infill development in addition to the following criteria:

- The site should be of sufficient size to accommodate an additional dwelling(s) and an appropriate set back should be maintained from adjacent dwellings,
- The dwelling(s) should generally be designed and sited to match the building line and respond to the roof profile of adjoining dwellings,
- The architectural language of the development (including boundary treatments) should respond to the character of adjacent dwellings and create a sense of harmony. Contemporary and innovative proposals that respond to the local context are encouraged, particularly on larger sites which can accommodate multiple dwellings,
- Where proposed buildings project forward of the prevailing building line or height, transitional elements should be incorporated into the design to promote a sense of integration with adjoining buildings and
- Corner development should provide a dual frontage in order to avoid blank facades and maximise surveillance of the public domain.

### Policy H13 Private and Semi-Private Open Space

It is the policy of the Council to ensure that all dwellings have access to high quality private open space (incl. semi-private open space for duplex and apartment units) and that private open space is carefully integrated into the design of new residential developments.

#### Policy H14 Internal Residential Accommodation

It is the policy of the Council to ensure that all new housing provides a high standard of accommodation that is flexible and adaptable, to meet the long-term needs of a variety of household types and sizes.

#### Policy H15 Privacy and Security

It is the policy of the Council to promote a high standard of privacy and security for existing and proposed dwellings through the design and layout of housing.

Section 6.4.4 Car Parking

## Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Policy TM7 Car Parking

Section 11.4.2 Car Parking Standards

Table 11.24: Maximum Parking Rates (Residential Development)

Section 11.4.4 Car Parking Design and Layout

Section 7.1.0 Water Supply & Wastewater

Policy IE1 Water & Wastewater

It is the policy of the Council to work in conjunction with Irish Water to protect existing water and drainage infrastructure and to promote investment in the water and drainage network to support environmental protection and facilitate the sustainable growth of the County.

Section 7.2.0 Surface Water & Groundwater

Policy IE2 Surface Water & Groundwater

It is the policy of the Council to manage surface water and to protect and enhance ground and surface water quality to meet the requirements of the EU Water Framework Directive.

Section 7.3.0 Flood Risk Management

Policy IE3 Flood Risk

It is the policy of the Council to continue to incorporate Flood Risk Management into the spatial planning of the County, to meet the requirements of the EU Floods Directive and the EU Water Framework Directive.

Section 8.0 Green Infrastructure

Policy G1 Overarching

Policy G1 Green Infrastructure Network

Policy G3 Watercourses Network

Policy G4 Public Open Space and Landscape Setting

Policy G5 Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems

Policy G6 New Development in Urban Areas

Section 9.3.1 Natura 2000 Sites

Policy HCL12 Natura 2000 Sites

Section 11.6.1 (i) Flood Risk Assessment

Section 11.6.1 (ii) Surface Water

Section 11.6.1 (iii) Sustainable Urban Drainage System (SUDS)

Section 11.6.1 (iv) Groundwater

Section 11.6.1 (v) Rainwater Harvesting

Section 11.6.1 (vi) Water Services

Section 11.7.2 Energy Performance in New Buildings

Section 11.8.1 Environmental Impact Assessment

Section 11.8.2 Appropriate Assessment

## **Relevant Government Guidelines**

Project Ireland 2040 National Planning Framework, Government of Ireland, 2018

## Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

Regional, Spatial & Economic Strategy 2019 - 2031, Eastern & Midlands Regional Assembly, 2019.

Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas - Guidelines for Planning Authorities, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government (2008).

*Urban Design Manual: A Best Practice Guide*, A Companion Document to the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, (2008).

Quality Housing for Sustainable Communities-Best Practice Guidelines, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, (2007).

*Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets*, Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government and Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport, (2013).

Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland – Guidance for Planning Authorities, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, (2009)

*The Planning System and Flood Risk Management - Guidelines for Planning Authorities,* Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government & OPW, (2009).

*Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight:* A Guide to Good Practice, Building Research Establishment, (1991).

## **Assessment**

The main issues for assessment are:

- Zoning
- Redline boundary
- Residential Amenity
- Visual Amenity
- Roads and Transport
- Water Services
- Screening for Appropriate Assessment
- Environmental Impact Assessment

# **Zoning**

The site is subject to the land-use zoning objective, 'RES' – 'To protect and improve residential amenity'. Residential development is permitted in principle under this zoning objective.

# Redline boundary

The applicant has indicated that the proposed dwelling would be accessed via a new entrance to the north of the existing entrance. However, this would mean that vehicles would have to travel over an area that is currently grassed and that is outside of the redline boundary and the applicant's control. The Roads Department has also raised this as an issue. There are concerns that the permission may

#### Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

not be able to be implemented as land that is outside of the applicant's control is required to facilitate the proposal.

#### Residential Amenity

The proposed dwelling would be located in the side garden of the existing property with a portion of an existing extension removed to facilitate the development.

With regard to the existing property, the front and rear building line of the proposed dwelling would be level with the existing dwelling once the existing extension is removed. The proposal would reduce the amount of private amenity space to 66sq.m which is just over the minimum amount required for a two-bed house. However, internally the amount of space left would only be 67sq.m which is significantly below the minimum 80sq.m required as per Table 11.20 of the CDP. There are concerns with this aspect of the proposal.

The applicant has provided a site layout plan which shows that the distance between the proposed first floor windows and the property to the east would only be 18m. As per section 11.3.1 (v) of the CDP, separation distances of 22m are required to ensure privacy. There are concerns with this aspect of the proposal.

### Standard of accommodation

The proposed dwelling would exceed the minimum internal size for a four-bedroom dwelling as per table 11.20 of the CDP in terms of internal and external space. The dwelling would also provide adequate storage. The applicant has labelled a room at first-floor level on the eastern side of the dwelling as a 'bathroom' but has shown two beds in the room. The room does not have any windows and therefore would result in a poor standard of accommodation. However, if windows were put in there would be issues of overlooking to the rear which highlights the constraints of the site.

#### Conclusion

Overall, there are significant concerns with the impact on residential amenity for existing, proposed and neighbouring residents.

### Visual Amenity

The surrounding area is varied in nature in terms of the style, design and heights of the existing residential properties. The eastern side of the street, where the applicant site is located, consists of bungalow style properties whilst the western side of the street consists of two storey semi-detached properties and one detached property. The proposal would see the erection of a two-storey detached dwelling with a full gabled roof, front projection and front dormer window.

Section 11.3.2 (ii) of the CDP sets out a number of criteria in relation to residential development within corner/side garden sites that include:

- the size of the site and its ability to accommodate a dwelling,
- the design and building line,

#### Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

- the architectural language,
- the height,
- and the inclusion of dual frontage

With regard to the size of the site, the garden has an average width of approximately 18m which is considered capable of accommodating some form of development.

With regard to the design, the scale, mass and overall design of the roof would starkly contrast with the bungalows along the eastern part of the street. The scale of the roof would appear awkward and the varying heights proposed would make the dwelling stand out negatively rather than assimilating into the street scene. The building line would largely reflect that of the existing property in terms of the front and rear but based on the width and height proposed the dwelling would appear overly dominant in the context of the site and surrounding area.

In terms of the architectural language the proposal is not considered to respond positively to the street scene.

With regard to the height, as previously mentioned there are concerns with the roof form proposed and how dominant it would appear.

Consideration has been given to the dwelling that was granted permission at appear to the west of the site. However, the design of that dwelling fits in well with the row of semi-detached properties based on its scale, design and siting and therefore is a much different context of the application site. Overall, the proposed design, form, mass, layout and scale of the development are considered to be poor.

#### Roads and Transport

The Roads Department has recommended a request for additional information regarding confirmation on the ownership of the grass verge; curtilage parking for two cars; moving a light pole; and revisions to the site plan and elevation drawings. Generally, information such as this could be clarified through a request for additional information.

#### **Water Services**

Water Services has requested further information regarding surface water drainage and Flood Risk. Generally, this information would be sought as additional information.

#### Screening for Environmental Impact Assessment

Having regard to the modest nature of the proposed development, and the distance of the site from nearby sensitive receptors, there is no likelihood of significant effects on the environment arising from the proposed development. The need for environmental impact assessment can, therefore, be excluded at preliminary examination and a screening determination is not required.

## Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

### Screening for Appropriate Assessment

The applicant has not provided information to assist the screening for Appropriate Assessment. Having regard to the nature of the development, connection to public services and the distance from the Natura 2000 sites the proposed development would not require a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.

#### **Conclusion**

There are significant concerns with the potential impact on neighbouring, existing and proposed residents and the visual impact of the proposal. There are also concerns about whether the proposal could be implemented properly and safely given the fact that the applicant is relying on land outside of their control. There are also concerns regarding the lack of information in relation to parking and access, surface water and flooding.

Additional information is recommended.

#### Recommendation

I recommend that **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION** be requested from the applicant with regard to the following:

- 1. The Planning Authority has concerns that the proposed development is not in compliance with County Development Plan policy regarding the design of dwellings at corner sites. In this regard, the applicant is requested to:
  - (1) Redesign the northern elevation to ensure that it is provided with a strong façade to include habitable room windows at both ground and first floor. Both the northern and western elevations should be designed to provide passive surveillance. Revised elevational drawings and floor plans should be submitted.
  - (2) The applicant is advised that the proposed dwelling, by reason of the proximity of directly facing habitable room windows to the property to the east, the failure of the existing dwelling to meet minimum internal space standards following the reduction of the existing extension and the lack of windows for the first-floor bedroom, would result in unacceptable overlooking and loss of privacy, and an unacceptable standard of accommodation, to the detriment of the amenity of neighbouring, existing and prospective residents. The applicant is advised to address the foregoing issues by way of further information.
  - (3) The adjacent property is a bungalow, which is the built form along this side of the street. However, the properties on the opposite side of the street are two storey dwellings. It is felt in this instance that a two storey dwelling is acceptable due to the end of cul de sac corner site position. However, the current roof pitch shall be reduced to be more sympathetic to the adjacent property of No. 56. A revised design is requested to address this.
- 2. There are no SuDS (Sustainable Drainage Systems) proposed for the development. The applicant is requested to submit a report and drawing showing what SuDS are proposed. Examples of SuDS include, permeable paving, filter drains, tree pits and other such SuDS.

## Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

- 3. The applicant is requested to confirm on a drawing the width of the entrances to both No. 56 and No. 58 and outline how they will both connect to St. Johns Close.
- 4. The building line is behind that of the porch of the adjacent dwelling and appears to align to the building line of the extended properties along the street. The applicant is requested to confirm on a drawing if the building line of the proposed dwelling is the same as that of the extended street.
- 5. The applicant is requested to submit details on who owns the section of land between the road edge and applicant's front boundary wall for the proposed development, and establish and submit evidence of sufficient legal interest/written consent from the relevant stakeholders to access the site.
- 6. The applicant is requested to submit a proposal for the relocation of the existing public street lighting pole in the verge adjacent to the proposed vehicular access at the applicant's expense. Written agreement must be obtained from the South Dublin County Council Lighting Department and submitted as additional information.
- 7. The applicant is requested to submit the following revised drawings as Additional Information:
  - -A revised plan of scale of 1:100 showing the dimensions of the boundary wall, access widths and set back dimensions. The plan shall include a dimensioned layout for two on curtilage parking spaces.
  - -A revised elevation drawing of a scale of 1:100 showing the dimensions and heights of any gates, pillars and boundary walls for the proposed development. The boundary wall should not exceed 900mm in height and the pillars should not exceed 1200mm in height.
- 8. The proposed development is in an area at risk of 1 in 1,000 year flood event as per OPW flood risk maps. The applicant is requested to submit a report to show what risk of flooding exists for the development and what mitigation measures are proposed for the development. All floor levels shall be a minimum of 500mm above the highest known flood level of proposed site.

# Record of Executive Business and Chief Executive's Order

REG. REF. SD21A/0076 LOCATION: 56, St. Johns Close, Clondalkin, Dublin 22

8 Henn

Barry Henn,

**Executive Planner** 

ORDER:

I direct that **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION** be requested from the applicant as set out in the above report and that notice thereof be served on the applicant.

Date:

Eoin Burke, A/Senior Planner