-	South Dublin County Co	アール・グルム (一) アード・アー・オー・デー・アー・ルー・アンド・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・
	Local Government (Planning & Development) S99A/0070	
	(Planning & Develop Acts 1963 to 199	
	Planning Register (P	```
	Pranning Regiscer (F	arc and
Location	11 Lugnaquilla Avenue, Green	npark, Walkinstown, Dublin 12.
2. Development	To convert the existing Gymuself contained residential	nasium and Health Club into 2 no. dwelling units.
3. Date of	09/02/99	Date Further Particulars
Application		(a) Requested (b) Received
3a. Type of	Permission	1.
Application		
		2.
Submitted by	Name: Edward Fitzgerald Selby Architects,	
	Address: Nemetos, Rockbro	
. Applicant	Name: June Gunning-Kerslake, Lynn Kerslake,	
	Address:	
	1 ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	ke,11 Lugnaquilla Avenue, Greenpar
	Walkinstown, Dub	Lin 12.
	The state of the s	
6. Decision	O.C.M. No. 0661	Effect
		and the state of t
		RP REFUSE PERMISSION
	Date 07/04/1999	RP REFUSE PERMISSION
	Date 07/04/1999	
7. Grant	Date 07/04/1999 O.C.M. No.	Effect
7. Grant		
7. Grant	O.C.M. No. Date	Effect
7. Grant 3. Appeal	O.C.M. No. Date	Effect
	O.C.M. No.	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION
3. Appeal	O.C.M. No. Date	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION
3. Appeal Lodged	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations
3. Appeal Lodged 3. Appeal	O.C.M. No. Date	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION
3. Appeal Lodged	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations
Appeal Lodged Appeal Decision	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations
Appeal Lodged Appeal Decision	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations
Appeal Lodged Appeal Decision Material Contra	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999 vention	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations Refuse Permission
Appeal Lodged Appeal Decision Material Contra	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations
3. Appeal Lodged 9. Appeal Decision 10. Material Contra	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999 vention	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations Refuse Permission
Appeal Lodged Appeal Decision Material Contra 11. Enforcement	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999 vention Compensation	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations Refuse Permission Purchase Notice
Appeal Lodged Appeal Decision Material Contra	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999 vention Compensation	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations Refuse Permission Purchase Notice
8. Appeal Lodged 9. Appeal Decision 10. Material Contra	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999 vention Compensation	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations Refuse Permission Purchase Notice
Appeal Lodged Appeal Decision Material Contra 11. Enforcement Revocation or A	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999 vention Compensation	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations Refuse Permission Purchase Notice
Appeal Lodged Appeal Decision Material Contra In Enforcement	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999 vention Compensation	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations Refuse Permission Purchase Notice
Appeal Lodged Appeal Decision Material Contra Enforcement Revocation or A	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999 vention Compensation	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations Refuse Permission Purchase Notice
Appeal Lodged Appeal Decision Material Contra Enforcement Revocation or A Section 13. E.I.S. Requeste	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999 vention Compensation mendment d E.I.S. Received	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations Refuse Permission Purchase Notice E.I.S. Appeal
8. Appeal Lodged 9. Appeal Decision 10. Material Contra 11. Enforcement 12. Revocation or A	O.C.M. No. Date 29/04/1999 02/11/1999 vention Compensation	Effect RP REFUSE PERMISSION Written Representations Refuse Permission Purchase Notice

. .

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1999

County South Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: S99A/0070

APPEAL by June Gunning-Kerslake, Lynn and Marie Kerslake care of Edmund Fitzgerald Selby of Nemetos, Rockbrook, Dublin against the decision made on the 7th day of April, 1999 by the Council of the County of South Dublin to refuse permission for development comprising the conversion of existing gymnasium and health club into two self-contained residential units at 11 Lugnaquilla Avenue, Greenpark, Walskinstown:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1999, permission is hereby refused for the said development for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

It is considered that the proposed development would constitute overdevelopment of this restricted site by reason of inadequate provision of private amenity space. The proposed development would also have a poor relationship with the adjoining residential property in terms of the protection of residential amenity and would be out of character both in form and design terms with surrounding residential property. The proposed development would seriously injure the amenities and depreciate the value of property in the vicinity and would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area.

Member of An Bord Pleanála duly authorised to authenticate

the seal of the Board.

Dated this Lid day of November 1999.

SOUTH DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH THEAS

Bosca 4122, Lár an Bhaile, Tamhlacht, Baile Átha Cliath 24.

Telefon: 01-414 9000 Facs: 01-414 9104



PLANNING DEPARTMENT

P.O. Box 4122, Town Centre, Tallaght, Dublin 24.

Telephone: 01-414 9000

Fax: 01-414 9104

NOTIFICATION OF DECISION TO REFUSE PERMISSION LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1993

Decision Order Number 0661	Date of Decision 07/04/1999
	IA
Register Reference S99A/0070	Date 9th February 1999

Applicant

June Gunning-Kerslake, Lynn Kerslake,

Development

To convert the existing Gymnasium and Health Club into 2 no.

self contained residential dwelling units.

Location

11 Lugnaquilla Avenue, Greenpark, Walkinstown, Dublin 12.

Floor Area

Sq Metres

Time extension(s) up to and including

Additional Information Requested/Received

In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts, the South Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by Order dated as above make a decision to REFUSE PERMISSION in respect of the above proposal.

for the (3) Reasons on the attached Numbered Pages.

Signed on behalf of the South Dublin County Council

..........

07/04/1999

for SENIOR ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Edward Fitzgerald Selby Architects, Nemetos, Rockbrook, Dublin 16.

SOUTH DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH THEAS

Bosca 4122, Lár an Bhaile, Tamhlacht, Baile Átha Cliath 24.

Telefon: 01-414 9000 Facs: 01-414 9104



PLANNING
DEPARTMENT
P.O. Box 4122,
Town Centre, Tallaght,
Dublin 24.

Telephone: 01-414 9000 Fax: 01-414 9104

REG REF. S99A/0070

Reasons

- It is considered that the proposed development constitutes overdevelopment of this restricted site by reason of inadequate provision of private amenity space, the proposed development is also considered to have a poor relationship with the adjoining residential property in terms of the protection of residential amenity, in addition the proposed development is considered to be out of character both in form and design terms with surrounding residential property which is suburban in nature. As such it is considered that the proposed development would seriously injure the amenities and depreciate the value of property in the vicinity.
- The proposed development materially contravenes the Development Plan zoning objective for the area, i.e. zoning objective 'A' 'to protect and improve residential amenity' and as such is not consistent with the proper planning and development of the area.
- It is considered that the proposed development would set an undesirable precedent for other similar developments which would in themselves and cumulatively result in a reduction in residential amenity and as such would not be in the interests of the proper planning and development of the area.