			** ***		
		South Dublin County Co Local Government (Planning & Develop)		Pla	n Register No S99A/0493
		Acts 1963 to 1993 Planning Register (Part 1)			
;	 		<u> </u>		
1.	Location	Athgoe South.			
·			· /		
2.	Development	Dormer bungalow and Bio-cycl	e waste	water treatm	ment system.
`					
3.	Date of Application	20/07/99		e Further Pa Requested	erticulars (b) Received
Ba.	Type of	Permission	1.		1.
	Application		2.		2.
					
	Submitted by	Name: Tony Colbert Dip. Address: 169 Forest Hills,			
.	Applicant	Name: Cyril Mangan, Address:			
		59 Steeple, Chape	lizod, Ľ	ublin 12.	
5.	Decision	O.C.M. No. 2017	Effect RP RE	FUSE PERMIS	SION
		Date 16/09/1999			
7.	Grant	O.C.M. No. Date	Effect RP RE	FUSE PERMIS	SION
- ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `					
3.	Appeal Lodged				
).	Appeal				
	Decision				
LO.	Material Contra	vention			
L1.	Enforcement	Compensation	į, Pi	ırchase Noti	Ce.
12.	Revocation or A	mendment			
	E.I.S. Requeste	d E.I.S. Received	E .	I.S. Appeal	
13.	Tararar Magazare		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

 γ_{ij}^{-1}

SOUTH DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH THEAS

Bosca 4122, Lár an Bhaile, Tamhlacht, Baile Átha Cliath 24.

Telefon: 01-414 9000 Facs: 01-414 9104



PLANNING
DEPARTMENT
P.O. Box 4122,
Town Centre, Tallaght,
Dublin 24.

Telephone: 01-414 9000

Fax: 01-414 9104

NOTIFICATION OF DECISION TO REFUSE PERMISSION LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1993

Decision Order Number 2017	Date of Decision 16/09/1999
	M
Register Reference S99A/0493	Date 20/07/99 •

Applicant

Cyril Mangan,

Development

Dormer bungalow and Bio-cycle waste water treatment system.

Location

Athgoe South.

Floor Area

Sq Metres

Time extension(s) up to and including

Additional Information Requested/Received

In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts, the South Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by Order dated as above make a decision to REFUSE PERMISSION in respect of the above proposal.

for the (4) Reasons on the attached Numbered Pages.

Signed on behalf of the South Dublin County Council

for SENIOR ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

16/09/99

Tony Colbert Dip. Arch. Tech., 169 Forest Hills, Rathcoole, Co. Dublin.

SOUTH DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH THEAS

Bosca 4122, Lár an Bhaile, Tamhlacht, Baile Átha Cliath 24.

Telefon: 01-414 9000 Facs: 01-414 9104



PLANNING
DEPARTMENT
P.O. Box 4122,
Town Centre, Tallaght,
Dublin 24.

Telephone: 01-414 9000 Fax: 01-414 9104

REG REF. S99A/0493

Reasons

- The proposed development would contravene materially a development objective indicated in the South Dublin County Development Plan, 1998, for the use solely or primarily of particular areas for particular purposes i.e. zoning objective 'B' "To protect and improve rural amenity and to provide for the development of agriculture". The proposed development would thus be contrary to the provisions of the South Dublin County Development Plan, 1998, and the proper planning and development of the area.
- The proposed development would contravene the policies of the South Dublin County Development Plan, 1998, regarding dwellings in rural areas as set out in Paragraph 2.3.1(ii) in that the applicant has not established a genuine need to reside in proximity to employment which is related to the rural community nor reasons of close established family ties with the rural community. The proposed development would thus be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area.
- The proposed development, by reason of the generation of additional traffic movements, on a substandard narrow rural lane where there are no footpaths and public lighting and which is inadequate in width, capacity and surfacing in addition to deficient vision splays, would endanger public safety by reasons of traffic hazard and obstruction to road users. The proposed development would therefore be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area.
- The proposed development would constitute undesirable ribbon development on a substandard rural road network which would be likely to lead to uneconomic demands for the provision of services and would set an undesirable precedent for further similar development in the area. The proposed development would thus be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area.

Page 2 of 2