COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH S

P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANN DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 PLANNING REGISTER	& 1976 VA/1558
1. LOCATION	Commons Lower, Baldnmel, Co.	Dublin.
2. PROPOSAL	Replacement bungalow and sept:	ic tank
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE Date Received (a) Re	Date Further Particulars quested (b) Received
	OP 27th Sept., 1983	1
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Meehan Levins Delaney & Assocs., Address Drewsboro Buildings, Newbridge, Co. Kildare.	
5. APPLICANT	Name Seamus Toland, Address C/o. Meehan, Levins	Delaney & Assocs.,
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/2472/83 Date 25th Nov., 1983	Notified 25th Nov., 1983 Effect To refuse o. permission
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Date	Notified Effect
8. APPEAL	Notified 6th Jan., 1984 Type 1st Party,	Decision O. Permission refused by An Bord Pleanala Effect 9th Oct., 1984
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	Decision Effect
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register	
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register	
12. PURCHASE NOTICE	-	
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT		
14. 15.		
Prepared by	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Co. Accts. Receipt No

Future Print 475588

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 to 1983

COUNTY DUBLIN

Planning Register Reference Number: YA 1558

APPEAL by Seamus Toland, care of Meehan, Levins, Delaney and Associates of Drewsboro Building, Newbridge, County Kildare, against the decision made on the 25th day of November, 1983, by the Council of the County of Dublin to refuse an outline permission for the erection of a replacement bungalow and septic tank on a site at Commons Lower, Baldonnell, County Dublin.

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1983, outline permission is hereby refused for the erection of the said bungalow and septic tank for the reasons set out in the schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

- 1. It is an objective of the planning authority, as expressed in the Dublin County Development Plan to preserve the area in which the site is located for the further development of agriculture. This objective is considered reasonable and the proposed development would be in conflict with it.
- 2. The proposed development would be undesirable because of its location in the approach area to runway 29 at Casement Aerodrome and within 2,700 feet of the threshold of that runway. The occupants of the proposed development and the occupants of aircraft would be exposed to risk in the event of aircraft accidently touching down in the approach area.

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this gaday of Orlober 1984.

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

rel. 724755 (ext. 262/264)

PLANNING DEPARTMENT, BLOCK 2, IRISH LIFE CENTRE, LR. ABBEY STREET, DUBLIN 1.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE: OUTLINE PERMISSION: PERMISSION.X APPROVALXX

LOCAL COVERNMENT (FLAMMING)	AMD DETERMINE.
	AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963-83'
Meehan Levins Delaney & Associates.	Register Reference No
Drewsboro Buildings,	
******	No. of the second secon
Co. Kildere	Application Received Additional Information Received
oplicant	Planning Authority for
In pursuance of its functions under the above-mentioned	Acts, the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority 1. 472/83, dated 25/11/83
-sidad to refuse:	TO THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF
Proposed replacement bungalow and septi	ic tank for an existing cottage at Commons Lowe
of	
Baldonnell.	1 日本
or the following reasons:	hority as expressed in the Development Plan the preserved for the further development of d be contrary to the proper planning and
levelopment of the area as 10 would be	preserved for the further and the contrary to the proper planning and conflict with the above objective and would rural environment of the area.
levelopment of the area as it would be illitate against the preservation of the relitate against the preservation of the resultate against the preservation of the resultate against the preservation of the resultate against the acceptage again	rural environment of the area. able to the Sanitary Authority as the site is to tank drainage system. Additionally, no evider ility of the soil for septic tank drainage.
levelopment of the area as it would be illitate against the preservation of the relitate against the preservation of the relitate against the preservation of the relitate proposed development is not acceptable and to accommodate a satisfactory seption as been submitted to indicate the suitable relitation and republic nined sewerage factors.	rural environment of the area. able to the Sanitary Authority as the site is to tank drainage system. Additionally, no evider ility of the soil for septic tank drainage. ilities available to serve the proposed develop
levelopment of the area as it would be allitate against the preservation of the realitate against the preservation of the realitate against the preservation of the result to accommodate a satisfactory seption has been submitted to indicate the suitable. There are no public piped severage facture. 4. The proposed development is premature provision of sewerage facilities and the provision of sewerage fac	able to the Sanitary Authority as the site is to tank drainage system. Additionally, no evider ility of the soil for septic tank drainage. ilities available to serve the proposed developed by reason of the said existing deficiency in the period within which such deficiency may reason
evelopment of the area as it would be illitate against the preservation of the rillitate against the preservation of the rillitate against the preservation of the rillitate accommodate a satisfactory septically been submitted to indicate the suitable. There are no public piped sewerage factors. The proposed development is premature provision of sewerage facilities and the provision of the sewerage facilities and	able to the Sanitary Authority as the site is to tank drainage system. Additionally, no evidentiaty of the soil for septic tank drainage. ilities available to serve the proposed develop by reason of the said existing deficiency in the period within which such deficiency may reason at the site is in the approach area to Runway. The threshold of that runway. Occupants of the slight risk and occupants of aircraft to increasing the approach area.
evelopment of the area as it would be illitate against the preservation of the relative against the preservation of the relative against the preservation of the relative accommodate a satisfactory seption as been submitted to indicate the suitable. There are no public piped sewerage factors. The proposed development is premature provision of sewerage facilities and the provision of the sewerage facilities and the provision of the sewerage facilities and the sexposed to the sexposed	able to the Sanitary Authority as the site is to tank drainage system. Additionally, no evidentiaty of the soil for septic tank drainage. ilities available to serve the proposed develop by reason of the said existing deficiency in the period within which such deficiency may reason at the site is in the approach area to Runway. The threshold of that runway. Occupants of the slight risk and occupants of aircraft to increasing the approach area.
evelopment of the area as it would be illitate against the preservation of the rillitate against the preservation of the rillitate against the preservation of the rillitate accommodate a satisfactory septically been submitted to indicate the suitable. There are no public piped sewerage factors. The proposed development is premature provision of sewerage facilities and the provision of the sewerage facilities and	able to the Sanitary Authority as the site is to tank drainage system. Additionally, no evidentity of the soil for septic tank drainage. ilities available to serve the proposed development of the said existing deficiency in the period within which such deficiency may reason at the site is in the approach area to Runway. It is the threshold of that runway. Occupants of the slight risk and occupants of aircraft to increase to the site is in the approach area.

NOTE: (1) An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and of the addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Irish Life Centre, Lower Abbey Street, Dublin 1. An Appeal lodged by an applicant or gent with An Bord Pleanala will be invalid unless accompanied by a fee of £30 (Thirty Pounds). (2) A party to an appeal making a request to An Bord Pleanala for an oral Hearing of an appeal must, in addition to (1) above, pay to An Bord Pleanala in of £30 (Thirty Pounds). (3) A person who is not a party to an appeal must pay a fee of £10 (Ten Pounds) to An Bord Pleanala in relation to an appeal. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn, An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.