

COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH

File Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER	REGISTER REFERENCE SA.2130 S
1. LOCATION	Hillview, Bathcoole, Co. Dublin.	
2. PROPOSAL	Warehousing,	
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received
		Date Further Particulars (a) Requested (b) Received
	OP	14th Dec. 1979
		1. _____ 2. _____
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name G. F. Galvin Ltd., Address 30 Upper Drumcondra Road, Dublin 9.	
5. APPLICANT	Name Executive Construction Dublin Ltd., Address 30 Upper Drumcondra Road, Dublin 9.	
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/277/80	Notified 13th Feb. 1980
	Date 13th Feb. 1980	Effect To refuse permission(0)
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.	Notified
	Date	Effect
8. APPEAL	Notified 21/3/80 Type 1st Party	Decision 0. Permission refused by Effect An Bord Pleanala, 29th Nov., 1982
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	Decision Effect
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register	
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register	
12. PURCHASE NOTICE		
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT		
14.		
15.		
16.		

Prepared by	Copy issued by.....	Registrar.
Checked by	Date.....	
Grid Ref.	O.S. Sheet	Co. Accts. Receipt No.....

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1982

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: S.A. 2130

APPEAL by Executive Construction (Dublin) Limited of 30 Upper Drumcondra Road, Dublin, against the decision made on the 13th day of February, 1980, by the Council of the County of Dublin to refuse outline permission for warehousing development on a site at Hillview, Rathcoole, County Dublin:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1982, outline permission is hereby refused for the said warehousing development for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

1. The site is located in an area where the objective of the planning authority, as expressed in the Dublin County Development Plan, is to provide for the further development of agriculture. This objective is considered reasonable and the proposed development would be in conflict with it and would militate against the preservation of the rural environment.
2. The Board agrees with the view of the planning authority that it would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area to permit development of the type and scale proposed in an agricultural area where piped sewerage facilities are not available (and are not likely to be available) and where the public water supply is deficient.
3. The proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard and serious traffic congestion because of the considerable increase in vehicular traffic to which it would give rise on the nearby national primary road and on the adjoining Tay Lane.

Michael Cooke

Member of An Bord Pleanála duly
authorised to authenticate the
seal of the Board.

Dated this 29th day of November 1982.

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
Block 2
Irish Life Centre
Lower Abbey Street
Dublin 1

Phone 724755
EXT.: 262/264

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

OUTLINE PERMISSION : ~~PERMISSION~~ : ~~APPROVAL~~

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

To;

G.F. Galvin Limited, Register Reference No. **S.A. 2130**
30 Upper Drumcondra Road, Planning Control No. **11422/9410**
Dublin 9. Application Received **14/12/79**
..... Additional Inf. Recd.

APPLICANT **Executive Construction Dublin Limited.**

In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/ **A/277/80** dated **13th February, 1980** decide to refuse:

OUTLINE PERMISSION

~~PERMISSION~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

~~APPROVAL~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

For **proposed warehouse at Hillview, Rathcoole, Co. Dublin.**

for the following reasons:

1. It is an objective of the Planning Authority, as expressed in the Development Plan, that the area in which the site is located be reserved "to provide for the further development of agriculture". Development such as proposed would be incompatible with this zoning objective and militate against the preservation of the rural environment.
2. It is contrary to the policy of the County Council to permit such large scale commercial developments in agricultural areas and in such close proximity to the Dublin to Naas Road. This road is the main road to the south of Ireland and it is important that it be preserved free from this type of ribbon development.
3. The proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of ~~serious~~ traffic hazard due to the generation of additional traffic turning movements, particularly of large commercial vehicles associated with this type of development on the heavily trafficked National Primary Route.
4. The volumes of traffic generated by a development of this magnitude would create serious traffic congestion on the substandard and inadequate Tay Lane.
5. There are no public piped sewerage facilities available to serve the proposed development due to lack of dilution capacity in stream receiving effluent from treatment works in Rathcoole.
6. The proposed development would be premature by reason of the said existing deficiency in the provision of sewerage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.

Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council

Audrey Bolger
for PRINCIPAL OFFICER

Date.....**13th February, 1980**.....

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Holbrook House, Holles Street, Dublin 2 and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.

7. There is insufficient capacity in existing watermains to cater for this development.

AS

for Principal Officer.