COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

File Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER		REGISTER REFERENCE TA.88	
i. LOCATION	Roseville, Whitechurch Road, Rathfarnham, Dublin 14			
2. PROPOSAL	Private Dwelling			
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TIPE Date Received	Date Furthe Requested	er Particulars (b) Received	
			2	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name M. Ridge, Address 7 Redesdale Crescent, Mount Meriion			
5. APPLICANT	Name E. McDonald, Address Roseville, Whatechurch Road, R thfarnham			
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/518/80		3/80	
7. GRANT	Date 19/3/80 O.C.M. No. Date	Effect To refu Notified Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified 29th April 1980 Type 1st Party	An Bo	ission granted by ord Pleanala, Nov., 1980	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	Decision Effect		
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register			
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register			
12. PURCHASE NOTICE				
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT	·			
14.				
15.				
16.		——————————————————————————————————————		
Prepared by	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Registrar	
Grid Ref. C		TÉ		

PL. 6/5/49441

AN BORD PLEANALA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: T.A. 88

APPEAL by Edward McDonald, of Roseville, Whitechurch Road, Rathfarnham, Dublin against the decision made on the 19th day of March, 1980, by the Council of the Council of Dublin deciding to refuse an outline permission for the erection of a house on a site at Roseville, Whitechurch Road, Rathfarnham in accordance with plans and particulars lodged with the said Council:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 and 1976, it is hereby decided, for the reason set out in the First Schedule hereto, to grant outline permission for the erection of the said house in accordance with the said plans and particulars, subject to the conditions specified in column 1 of the Second Schedule hereto, the reasons for the imposition of the said conditions being as set out in column 2 of the said Second Schedule and the said outline permission is hereby granted subject to the said conditions.

FIRST SCHEDULE

Provided the first condition set out in the Second Schedule hereto is complied with, it is considered that the proposed development would be consistent with the proper planning and development of the area.

SECOND SCHEDULE

Column 1 - Conditions

Column 2 - Reasons for Conditions

- l. The detailed plans and particulars to be submitted to the Planning Authority for approval shall provide, inter alia, for the reservation free from development of the part of the site that may be required in connection with the construction of a proposed new road. The exact reservation line shall be agreed on the site in consultation with the Planning Authority.
- a sum of money to the Dublin County Council as a contribution towards the provision of a public water supply and piped sewerage facilities in the area. The amount to be paid and the time and method of payment shall be agreed between the developer and the said Council before the development is commenced or, failing agreement, shall be as determined by An Bord Pleanala.

1. To provide for possible future road works in the area.

2. The provision of such services in the area by the Council will facilitate the proposed development. It is considered reasonable that the developer should contribute towards the cost of providing the services.

BRENDAN O'DONOGHUE

L. S.

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this Ath day of November 1980.



DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Block 2 Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street Dublin 1

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

OUTLINE PERMISSION: RERMISSION:

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

То;			
E.	McDone I d		
	McDonald,	Register Reference I	No. T.A. 88
Ro	seville,	Planning Control No	3419
Wh	itechurch Road,		
tver (ritardian.		d 21/1/80
·· Dui	olin 14. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Additional Inf. Recd	·
APPLIC	E. McDonald		
in pursi	Jance of its functions under the above were		
County decide t	uance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts the Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/A/518/80, o refuse:	the Dublin County Cour	ncil, being the Planning Authority for the 19/3/80,
accide (OUTLINE DEPARTMENT	rrnission	KRPROXXXX
For. p	roposed dwelling at Roseville. Whitech	urch Road. Rathfa	rnhom Dublin 14
1.	The proposed development served by a network, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turni	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects.	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects.	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects.	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects.	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects.	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects.	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects.	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects.	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects.	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects.	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects.	substandard and h by reason of tra	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects Barton Road extension.	substandard and h by reason of tra ing movements on ed by the road re	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the
1.	The proposed development served by a senetwork, would endanger public safety generation of additional traffic turns. The proposed site is seriously affects.	substandard and h by reason of tra ing movements on ed by the road re	eavily trafficked road ffic hazard because of the

Date 20th March, 1980.

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of pt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal e in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord i satiala, Holbrook House, Holles Street, Dublin 2 and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first