COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

File Reference	LOCA	L GOVERNMENT (PLANNIN DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 PLANNING REGISTER	G AND & 1976		REGISTER REFERENCE TA.133	
I. LOCATION	11 Greenhills Road, Walkinstown				9.	
2. PROPOSAL	Retention of ground floor office use and change of uppe floor to office use					
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE P.	Date Received	Date equested		Particulars (b) Received 1.	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Francis Ford, Architect, Address 15 Cabinteely Cres., Dublin 13					
5. APPLICANT	Name Dr. F. Whelan, Address					
6. DECISION	O.C.M. N	o. PA/854/81	Notified	4th May, 1981		
	Date	4th May, 1981	Effect	To 1	refuse permission,	
7. GRANT	O.C.M. N	lo.	Notified Effect			
8. APPEAL	Notified Type	9th June, 1981 1st Party,	Decision Effect	An	mission refused by Bord Pleanala, th Dec., 1981	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	on -	Decision Effect			
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in C	ompensation Register				
II. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in E	nforcement Register				
12. PURCHASE NOTICE						
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT						
14.						
15.				- 		
16.						
Prepared by		Copy issued by			Registr	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	O.S. Sheet	Co. Accts. Receipt No				

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: TA 133

APPEAL by Fergal Whelan care of Francis Forde, Architect,
15, Cabinteely Crescent, Dublin, against the decision made on the
4th day of May, 1981, by the Council of the County of Dublin, deciding
to refuse permission for development comprising the continuance of
use as an office of the ground floor and the conversion of the upper
floor to office use at 11, Greenhills Road, Walkinstown:

<u>DECISION:</u> Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 and 1976, <u>permission is hereby refused</u> for the said development for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

- 1. The proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the generation of roadside parking and additional traffic turning movements on the adjoining heavily-trafficked Greenhills Road in close proximity to Walkinstown Roundabout.
- 2. The proposed development by reason of increased pedestrian and vehicular movements and the proposal to convert part of the front garden into car-parking spaces would seriously injure the amenities of and devalue adjoining residential properties.

Member of Ar Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this/5 day of Decabe/ 1981

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

Telephone 724755 Ext. 262/264

made to them in the first instance.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Block 2 Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street Dublin 1.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

PUT LIE REBNISSION PERMISSION: ARREGUAL

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

Franics Ford Esq.	Register Reference No.	TA133	*****
ETABLES PULL DAGE	- 1 0		
Architect,	Planning Control No		
15 Cabinteely Crescent,	Application Received	23.1.50 rm. 6.4.81	· 東 = 1 日 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中 中
Dublin 18.	Additional Inf. Heca	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Dr. F. Whelan	n a d d a d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d	************	*************************
pursuance of its functions under the above ment	tioned Acts the Dublin County Counci	I, being the Planni	ng Authority for the
pursuance of its functions under the above ment unty Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/	/854/81 dated3-Wit.	Stage AZHA	2 = 0 0 4 = 1 4 7 9 = 0 P 2 = 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
cide to refuse:	PERMISSION	XXXXXXXX	K
<u> </u>			
retention of ground floor e		bo Maria y la que per de la persona de la colo nia no la compositiona de la colonia de la c no la colonia de la colonia	The second secon
at 11, Greenhills Road, Walkin	stem.	金子 化甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	
1. The proposed development we trafficked Greenhills Road in thereby endangering public said. 2. The proposed development we said the proposed development which is the proposed development which the proposed development we said the proposed development which is the proposed dev	uld generate roadside part close proximity to the Wa lety by reason of traffic l ould generate additional to	king on the hikinstewn Rou hazard. raffic turning	meavily meabout, ag movements Valkinstown
1. The proposed development we trafficked Greenhills Road in thereby endangering public sai	end generate roadside part close proximity to the Wa lety by reason of traffic l ould generate additional to onhills Road in close prex ng public safety by reason	king on the hikinstewn Rouhasard. raffic turning imity to the of traffic l	meavily meabout, ag movements Valkinstown masard.
1. The proposed development we trafficked Greenhills Road in thereby endangering public said the proposed development we on the heavily trafficked Green Roundabout, thereby endangering said the proposed dar parking species.	elose proximity to the Wallety by reason of traffic lould generate additional trahills Read in close presing public safety by reason paces would not comply without seriously injure the	king on the hikinstewn Rouhasard. raffic turning inity to the of traffic limits to the menities of the sand webi	meavily meabout, ag movements Valkinstown hazard. ements of residential cular movement
1. The proposed development we trafficked Greenhills Road in thereby endangering public said. 2. The proposed development we on the heavily trafficked Green Roundabout, thereby endangering 5. The proposed dar parking space the Development Plan. 4. The proposed development we property in the vicinity by reand that noise arising therefore houses.	close proximity to the Walter by reason of traffic lould generate ddditional translils Read in close prexing public safety by reason paces would not comply without seriously injure the eason of increased pedestrom. This would, in turn,	king on the hikinstewn Rouhasard. raffic turning inity to the of traffic limits of traffic limits of traffic limits and websites and w	meavily meabout, g movements Walkinstown hazard. ements of residential cular movement value of the
1. The proposed development we trafficked Greenhills Road in thereby endangering public said. 2. The proposed development we on the heavily trafficked Green Roundabout, thereby endangering the Development Plan. 4. The proposed development we property in the vicinity by reand that noise arising therefore.	close proximity to the Walter by reason of traffic lould generate ddditional translils Read in close prexing public safety by reason paces would not comply without seriously injure the eason of increased pedestrom. This would, in turn,	king on the hikinstewn Rouhasard. raffic turning inity to the of traffic limits of traffic limits of traffic limits and websites and w	meavily meabout, g movements Walkinstown hazard. ements of residential cular movement value of the

shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord canala, Floor 3, Blocks 6 & 7, Irish Life Centre, Lr. Abbey St., Dublin 1, and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been Fingal Agencies - Dublin 3.