COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER		REGISTER REFERENCE YB.282
1. LOCATION	16 St. Aongus Cresent Tallaght	t, Tymon North	Estate,
2. PROPOSAL	Single storey extensi	ion to front	
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	j		er Particulars (b) Received
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Mr. M. Brennan, Address 24 Jacob Street Dul	14- 2	2
5. APPLICANT	Name J. Hogan, Address 16 St. Aongus Cresent, Tallæght		
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PB/479/83 Date 13th April, 1983		April, 1983 efuse permission
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.	Notified Effect	
8. APPEAL	Notified Type	Decision Effect	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	Decision Effect	
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register		
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register		
12. PURCHASE NOTICE			
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT	·		
14.	·		
15.			
Prepared by	Copy Issued by Ittimining	***************************************	
Future Print 475588	Co. Accts. Receipt No		***************************************

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

hone 724755 262/264

MANAGEM ...

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Block 2

Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street Dublin 1

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

EXAMPLE AND MARKETON PERMISSION:

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963-1982

To;		YB 282
James Hogara	Register Reference I	No
16 St. Aougus Crescent,		
Tallaght,		3/4/83
	Application Receive	d
Co. Dublim.	Additional Inf. Reco	i
APPLICANT James Hogan.		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned A County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/	Acts the Dublin County Cou	incil, being the Planning Authority for the
decide to refuse:		
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	PERMISSION	**************************************
Proposed single storey extension	n to the front of n	o. 16 At. Aongus Crescent,
LUBRIC CENTRES AND		
for the following reasons: The proposed development to the front the existing building line and detract tousing area.	of hm/existing dwell from the asethetic	ling house would infringe and visual character of this
for the following reasons: The proposed development to the front the existing building line and detract to the great the existing building line and detract to the front area. The proposed architectural treatment architectural freatment of existing dwell	of he/existing dwell from the asethetic	ling house would infringe and visual character of this ould be incongrous with the
for the following reasons: The proposed development to the front the existing building line and detract to the front detract to the fr	of he/existing dwell from the asethetic of the extension we ings in the vicinit	ling house would infringe and visual character of this ould be incongrous with the y and thus would be seriously ing house to indicate the
for the following reasons: The proposed development to the front the existing building line and detract sousing area. The proposed architectural treatment architectural freatment of existing dwell injurious to the amenities of the area. In the absence of evidence from the original consent to a specific intention to bustoposed development would be seriously in	of he/existing dwell from the asethetic of the extension we ings in the vicinit	ing house would infringe and visual character of this ould be incongrous with the y and thus would be seriously ing house to indicate the a similar extension; the emities of this house by reason
for the following reasons: The proposed development to the front the existing building line and detract sousing area. The proposed architectural treatment architectural freatment of existing dwell injurious to the amenities of the area. In the absence of evidence from the original consent to a specific intention to bustoposed development would be seriously in	of he/existing dwell from the asethetic of the extension we ings in the vicinit	ing house would infringe and visual character of this ould be incongrous with the y and thus would be seriously ing house to indicate the a similar extension; the emities of this house by reason
for the following reasons: The proposed development to the front the existing building line and detract sousing area. The proposed architectural treatment architectural freatment of existing dwell injurious to the amenities of the area. In the absence of evidence from the original consent to a specific intention to bus proposed development would be seriously in	of he/existing dwell from the asethetic of the extension we ings in the vicinit	ing house would infringe and visual character of this ould be incongrous with the y and thus would be seriously ing house to indicate the a similar extension; the emities of this house by reason
for the following reasons: The proposed development to the front the existing building line and detract sousing area. The proposed architectural treatment architectural freatment of existing dwell injurious to the amenities of the area. In the absence of evidence from the objections of development would be seriously interposed development would be seriously in the seriously	of he/existing dwell from the asethetic of the extension we ings in the vicinit	ing house would infringe and visual character of this ould be incongrous with the y and thus would be seriously ing house to indicate the a similar extension; the emities of this house by reason
for the following reasons: The proposed development to the front the existing building line and detract sousing area. The proposed architectural treatment architectural freatment of existing dwell injurious to the amenities of the area. In the absence of evidence from the objections of development would be seriously interposed development would be seriously in the seriously	of he/existing dwell from the asethetic of the extension we ings in the vicinit	ing house would infringe and visual character of this ould be incongrous with the y and thus would be seriously ing house to indicate the a similar extension; the emities of this house by reason
for the following reasons: The proposed development to the front the existing building line and detract sousing area. The proposed architectural treatment architectural freatment of existing dwell injurious to the amenities of the area. In the absence of evidence from the objections of development would be seriously interposed development would be seriously in the seriously	of he/existing dwell from the extension wo ings in the vicinit where of the adjoint wild simultaneously injurious to the ame	ling house would infringe and visual character of this ould be incongrous with the ty and thus would be seriously ing house to indicate akk a similar extension; the enities of this house by reason
for the following reasons: The proposed development to the front the existing building line and detract sousing area. The proposed architectural treatment architectural freatment of existing dwell injurious to the amenities of the area. In the absence of evidence from the objections of development would be seriously interposed development would be seriously in the seriously	of he/existing dwell from the extension wo ings in the vicinit where of the adjoint wild simultaneously injurious to the ame	ling house would infringe and visual character of this ould be incongrous with the ty and thus would be seriously ing house to indicate akk a similar extension; the enities of this house by reason
for the following reasons: The proposed development to the front the existing building line and detract sousing area. The proposed architectural treatment architectural freatment of existing dwell injurious to the amenities of the area. In the absence of evidence from the objections of development would be seriously interposed development would be seriously in the seriously	of he/existing dwell from the asethetic of the extension wo ings in the vicinit where of the adjoint wild simultaneously by a purious to the ame	ing house would infringe and visual character of this ould be incongrous with the y and thus would be seriously ing house to indicate the a similar extension; the emities of this house by reason

13th # APRIL, 1983. Date..,....

TE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of eipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Irish Life Centre, Dublin 1, and accompanied by a deposit of 210. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn, An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.

FUTURE PRINT