COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

C

	File Reference	LOCA	OCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER			REGISTER REFERENCE TA 1194	
	1. LOCATION	rear	The Mall, Main St.	J			
f	2. PROPOSAL	Two storey office building,					
	3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received	(a) Requ 1	Date Fur	ther Particulars (b) Received 1. 2.	
	4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Morris McCollough & Assocs., Address Iveagh Court, Harcourt Road,					
•	5. APPLICANT	Name Niall Hannon, Address 16, Berkeley Road,				25th August 1980	
	6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/1775/80 Date 25th August 1980			Notified Effect	25th Auguse 19 To refuse 0. Permiss	
	7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Date			Notified Effect Desision Permission refused (0		
	8. APPEAL		otified 3rd Oct., ype 1st Party,		Effect	by An Bord Pleanard, 13th May, 1981	
	9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3	Date of			Decision Effect		
	10. COMPENSATIO		Ref. in Compensation Register				
	and the second		Register				



AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

PL. 6/5/51166

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: T.A. 1194

APPEAL by Niall Hannon, of 16, Berkeley Road, Dublin, against the decision made on the 25th day of August, 1980, by the Council of the County of Dublin, deciding to refuse to grant an outline permission for the erection of a two-storey office building on a site at the rear of the Mall, Main Street, Lucan:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 and 1976, outline permission is hereby refused for the erection of the said two-storey office building for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

There are no foul sewerage facilities available to serve the proposed development and the proposal would accordingly be 1. premature by reference to this deficiency and the period within which such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.

- The proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the generation of traffic along an inadequate laneway and through a sub-standard junction with the · 2. adjoining Lucan Main Street.
 - The proposed development by reason of an inadequate site area and insufficient on-site car-parking facilities would constitute 3. overdevelopment of the site, and this would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area.

Member of Any Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board. Dated this , 3 day of her. 1981.

	DUBLIN COUN	NTY CO	UNCIL					
.			PLANNING DEPARTMENT					
	724755		Dirate 1					
Ext.: 262/	264		BIOCK 2 Irish Life Centre					
			Lower Abbey Street					
	NOTIFICATION OF A D	DECISION TO REFUS						
	OUTLINE PERMISSION :		HOMAX.					
	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING 8	DEVELOPMENT) A	CTS, 1963 & 1976					
To;								
M	orris, McCullough and Associates,		T.A. 1194 No					
A :	rchitects,	Planning Control No						
I	veagh Court,	Application Receiv	ed					
<u></u> Ħ	arcourt Road, Dublin 2.	Additional Inf. Re	:d					
APPLICA	NT Niall Hannon.							
In pursu County	ance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/ 4/1775/8	the Dublin County Co 30						
decide to	orefuse:	ANE OD SAMON	AREBOXAL					
For	oposed two-storey office building at a	rear of the Mall	, Main Street, Lucan,					
	• Dublin.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
	ollowing reasons:	- the presented	dowal appent.					
ir ms	e proposed development would be premate the provision of foul sewer facilities y reasonably be expected to be made go	es and the perio ood.	od Within Which Wuch deficiency					
be Ta	. The proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard, because it would generate traffic on the narrow and inadequate laneway leading to the Lucan Main Street. The situation is further worsened due to the junction of the laneway with Lucan Main Street being substandard, having inadequate kerb radii.							

4. Sufficient car parking spaces have not been provided, due mainly to the area of the site being too small. This would lead to roadside parking on both the laneway and Lucan Main Street, which would endager public safety by reason of a traffic hazard.

- 5. The site of the proposed development is located in an area zoned "to provide for shopping and commercial development". The application is ambiguous in that the advertisement and form of application refer to a "two-storey office development", whereas the lodged plans refer to a light industrial building. In wither event, the proposed development represents overdevelopment of this small site and would be seriously injurious to the amenities of adjoining residential properties.
- 6. No evidence has been submitted as to the access to the site or the applicant's interest in the laneway adjoining the site.

Date. 25th August, 1980.

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord to the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord and the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord and the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord and the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord and the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord and the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord and the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord and the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord and the appeal and the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord and the appeal and the appeal and accompanies by a deposit of E10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.