COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

File Reference	DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976	1886	
I. LOCATION	Corballis, Jobstown, Tallaght,		
2. PROPOSAL	Warehouses,		
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE Date Received P 15th Oct., 1980 Date Further Particular (a) Requested (b) R and incl. 12/12/80 2. 2.	lars	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Bacon Group Design, Address Warwick House, Appian Way, Dublin 6.		
5. APPLICANT	Name Mr. Rory Carmody, Address Ashleaf House, Crosschapel, Blessington,		
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/578/81 Notified 31st Marc Date 31st March, 1981 Effect To refuse	h, 1981 permission,	
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Notified Date Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified 29th April, 1981 Decision Permission An Bord P. Type 1st Party, Effect 9th Dec.,	•	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of Decision application Effect		
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register		
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register		
12. PURCHASE NOTICE			
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT			
14.			
15.			
16.			
Prepared by	Date	-unipadpapar toladi.	
Grid Ref.	O.S. Sheet Co. Accts. Receipt No.	ners era page in the grind in the institute did it is in the constitute of the const	

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: T.A. 1886

APPEAL by Rory Carmody of Ashleaf House, Crosschapel, Blessington, County Wicklow against the decision made on the 31st day of March, 1981, by the Council of the County of Dublin deciding to refuse permission for a warehouse office development at Corbally Blessington Road, Tallaght, County Dublin:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 and 1976, permission is hereby refused for the said development for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

- 1. The site is located in an area for which an objective of the planning authority, as expressed in the Dublin County Development Plan, is to provide for the further development of agriculture. This objective is considered reasonable and the proposed warehouse/office development would conflict therewith and thereby be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area.
- 2. The proposed development would be premature by reason of the existing deficiency in the provision of piped sewerage facilities available to serve it and the period within which such deficiency might reasonably be expected to be made good.
- 3. The proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because access to the site is by way of a national secondary road in an area where the maximum speed limit applies and the traffic movements generated by the development would interfere with the safety and free flow of traffic on the road.

ABenes Pringle

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 9th day of Buenter 1981.

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Block 2 Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street

Dublin 1

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

OUT ME PERMISSION: APPROVAL

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

;	Daniston Dafaurra M	lo PA .1886
Bacon Group Design Associates		
Warwick House,		7857
Appian Way,	MARKET TO MAKE THE PARTY.	d 15th October, 1980
Table 6	Additional Inf. Reco	d
_		The state of the s
	To a set of the Control Control	weil being the Planning Authority for the
pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts	the Dublin County Col	31st March 1981
ounty Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/ A/578/81.	dated	
ecide to refuse:	PERMISSION	Spiness-
with offices at Co	rhallis, Blessin	gton Road, Tallaght
or Warehouse structure with ollices ac .w.	-	
or. Warehouse structure when we		******
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
agriculture, in the Development Items area where no public piped sewerage are and where the existing road network, d	nd only very limited designed to serving from essentially	urban character, would
agriculture, in the Development of area where no public piped sewerage are and where the existing road network, do not cater for industrial development of contravene materially the above object proper planning and development of the fit the area. 2. Public piped sewerage facilities at 3. The proposed development would be deficiency in the provision of sewerat deficiency may reasonably be expected 4. Satisfactory evidence of soil suit together with all necessary percolations submitted. 5. Proposed development for industrial submitted. 6. Proposed development for industrial Blessington Road National Secondary Financial because of the generation has a several because of the generation.	designed to served an essentially tive and would not available premature by read to be made good shility for the lon and reserve plants of heavy vehicles of heavy vehicles of the good of the g	ited water supply 13 available ice rural needs, is inadequate urban character, would of be in accordance with the seriously injure the amenities to serve the proposed developme ason of the said existing d of the period within which such disposal of septic tank effluent percolation areas have not been poses with direct access to nger public safety by reason of icular traffic turning movements
agriculture, in the Development of area where no public piped sewerage are and where the existing road network, of to cater for industrial development of contravene materially the above object proper planning and development of the fit the area. 2. Public piped sewerage facilities at 3. The proposed development would be deficiency in the provision of seweral deficiency may reasonably be expected 4. Satisfactory evidence of soil suit together with all necessary percolations submitted.	designed to served an essentially tive and would not available premature by read to be made good shility for the lon and reserve plants of heavy vehicles of heavy vehicles of the good of the g	ited water supply 13 available ice rural needs, is inadequate urban character, would of be in accordance with the seriously injure the amenities to serve the proposed developments as of the said existing d and the period within which such disposal of septic tank effluent percolation areas have not been poses with direct access to nger public safety by reason of icular traffic turning movements
agriculture, in the Bevelopment reachers where no public piped sewerage and where the existing road network, to cater for industrial development of contravene materially the above object proper planning and development of the fit the area. 2. Public piped sewerage facilities at 3. The proposed development would be deficiency in the provision of seweral deficiency may reasonably be expected 4. Satisfactory evidence of soil suit together with all necessary percolati submitted. 5. Proposed development for industrial Blessington Road National Secondary Firaffic hazard because of the generation and from the site on this heavily 6. The site frontage is affected by Boad improvement scheme.	designed to serve from essentially tive and would not available premature by resident to be made good ability for the ion and reserve particles with trafficked main the Council's reserved.	ited water supply 18 available ice rural needs, is inadequate urban character, would of be in accordance with the seriously injure the amenities to serve the proposed developments as of the said existing d and the period within which such disposal of septic tank effluent percolation areas have not been poses with direct access to nger public safety by reason of icular traffic turning movements
agriculture, in the Development of area where no public piped sewerage and where the existing road network, to cater for industrial development of contravene materially the above object proper planning and development of the fit the area. 2. Public piped sewerage facilities at 3. The proposed development would be deficiency in the provision of seweral deficiency may reasonably be expected 4. Satisfactory evidence of soil suit together with all necessary percolati submitted. 5. Proposed development for industrial Blessington Road National Secondary Firaffic hazard because of the generation and from the site on this heavily 6. The site frontage is affected by	designed to serve from essentially tive and would not available premature by resident to be made good ability for the ion and reserve particles with trafficked main the Council's reserved.	ited water supply is available ice rural needs, is inadequate urban character, would to be in accordance with the seriously injure the amenities to serve the proposed developme ason of the said existing d and the period within which such disposal of septic tank effluent percolation areas have not been poses with direct access to nger public safety by reason of icular traffic turning movements road. and reservations for the Blessing
agriculture, in the Development reach area where no public piped sewerage and where the existing road network, to cater for industrial development of contravene materially the above object proper planning and development of the fit the area. 2. Public piped sewerage facilities at 3. The proposed development would be deficiency in the provision of sewera deficiency may reasonably be expected 4. Satisfactory evidence of soil suit together with all necessary percolati submitted. 5. Proposed development for industrial Blessington Road National Secondary Firaffic hazard because of the generation and from the site on this heavily 6. The site frontage is affected by Boad improvement scheme.	designed to serve for PRINCIPAL OFF	ited water supply is available ice rural needs, is inadequate urban character, would to be in accordance with the seriously injure the amenities to serve the proposed developments ason of the said existing d and the period within which such disposal of septic tank effluent percolation areas have not been poses with direct access to ager public safety by reason of icular traffic turning movements road. and reservations for the Blessing

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and the grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Block 6 & 7, Irish Life Centre, Lr. Abbey Street, Dublin 1 and accompanied be a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to the first instance.

TA1886

Mr. Rory Carmedy,
Ashleaf House,
Crosschopes, And Mondford Hall
Blessington, And Mondford Hall
Co. Wicklow.

12th December, 1980.

re/ Proposed warehouse with offices on site at Corballis, Tallaght, Co. Dublin for R. Carmody.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your planning application received here on 15th October, 1980 (letter for extension period dated 12th December, 1980) in connection with the above, I wish to inform you that :-

In accordance with Section 26(4A) of the Local Government (Planning and Development) Act, 1963 as amended by Section 39(f) of the Local Government (Planning and Development) Act, 1966 the period for considering this application within the meaning of subsection (4A) of Section 26 has been extended up to and including the 31st March, 1981.

Yours faithfully,

for Principal Officer