COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNIN DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & PLANNING REGISTER			1976	REGISTER REFERENC WA. 965
LOCATION	Watertown, Palmerstown Lower, Co. Dublin,				
2. PROPOSAL		6 detac	hed dwel	lings,	
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received	(a) Requ		urther Particulars (b) Received
	P 21/5/81		2	,42501014j401014141400	2
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name O'Malley & Bergin, Address 33 Fitzwilliam Pl., Dublin 2,				
5. APPLICANT	Name Liffey Sandpit Co., Address Waterstown, Palmerstown, Co. Dublin,				
6. DECISION	O.C.M Date	20th July,	1981	Notified Effect	20th July, 1981 To refuse o. permis
7. GRANT	O.C.N	1. No.		Notified Effect	
8. APPEAL	Notif Type		1981	Decision Effect	O. Permission refuse An Bord Pleanala, 18th March, 1982
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application			Decision Effect	
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register				
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register				
12. PURCHASE NOTICE					
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT					
14.					
15.					
Prepared by		D-4-			

Fingal Agencies - Dublin 3.

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: W.A. 965

APPEAL by Liffey Sandpit Company of Waterstown, Palmerston, County Dublin, against the decision made on the 20th day of July, 1981, by the Council of the County of Dublin, deciding to refuse an outline permission for the erection of six houses on a site at Waterstown, Palmerston, County Dublin:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development)

Acts, 1963 and 1976, outline permission is hereby refused for the erection of the said six houses for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

The site forms part of an area in the Liffey Valley which is zoned in the Development Plan as an area of high amenity. It is the planning authority's policy to preserve the area free from any development which would be at variance with the zoning and, in the longer term, to secure the development of the land for amenity and recreational purposes, following filling operations by way of waste disposal on part of it. The Board considers that the planning authority's policy in regard to the area is reasonable and that the proposed development would be in conflict with it.

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 18th day of Hach. 1982

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

elephone 724755 Ext. 262/264

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Block 2 Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street Dublin 1.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

OUTLINE PERMISSION: XECOURS OF

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

0115-17					
V.Malley & Bergin,	Register Reference No				
ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	Planning Control No. 10028				
The state of the s	Application Received 21 K R4				
APPI ICANT TARE	Additional Inf. Recd.				
APPLICANT Liffey Sandpit Company.					
County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P1/1617/81 decide to refuse:	dated 20th July, 1981.				
OO I LINE PERMISSION	EMENTAL				
For six detached dwellings at Waterstown,]	Palmersten Lr.				
or the following reasons:					
or the following reasons:					
The site of the proposed development is single Development Plan "to preserve an area of he would be in conflict with this objective a planning and development of the area and we of the area. The site of the proposed development is single.	and would be contrary to the proper ould seriously imjurious to the amenities				
planning and development of the area and we of the area. The site of the proposed development is site considering the acquisition in order to development. The development as proposed would objectives and would be acquisition.	and would be contrary to the proper ould seriously injurious to the amenities tuated in an area we which the Council is velop it as a tiphead and eventually as prejudice the realization of the serious as				
planning and development of the area and we of the area. The site of the proposed development is siconsidering the acquisition in order to development. The development as proposed would objectives and would be contrary to the proposed development is premature pending final.	and would be contrary to the proper ould seriously injurious to the amenities tusted in an area we which the Council is velop it as a tiphead and eventually as prejudice the realisation of these oper planning and development of the area.				
planning and development of the area and we of the area. The site of the proposed development is simple considering the acquisition in order to development. The development as proposed would objectives and would be contrary to the profile development is premature pending final. There are no sewerage facilities available. The proposed development would be premature in the prevision of severage facilities.	and would be contrary to the proper ould seriously injurious to the amenities tuated in an area we which the Council is velop it as a tiphead and eventually as prejudice the realisation of these oper planning and development of the area. Isation of the Council plans for the area. to serve the proposed development.				
planning and development of the area and we of the area. The site of the proposed development is siconsidering the acquisition in order to development as prepared would objectives and would be contrary to the protectives and would be contrary to the protectives and would be contrary to the protectives are no severage facilities available. There are no severage facilities available in the prevision of severage facilities and may reasonably be expected to be made good. Development of the previous of the previous and t	and would be contrary to the proper ould seriously injurious to the amenities tuated in an area we which the Council is velop it as a tiphead and eventually as prejudice the realisation of these oper planning and development of the area. Isation of the Council plans for the area. to serve the proposed development. by reason of the said existing deficiency the period within which such deficiency				
planning and development of the area and we of the area. The site of the proposed development is simple considering the acquisition in order to development as preposed would objectives and would be contrary to the profine development is premature pending finalist. There are no sewerage facilities available the proposed development would be premature in the provision of sewerage facilities and may reasonably be expected to be made good. Development of the number of houses proposed town Lane would be undesirable and would look National Primary Route. It is centrary to the policy of the Planning in areas such as this on the basis of septices.	and would be contrary to the proper could seriously injurious to the amenities tuated in an area we which the Council is velop it as a tiphead and eventually as prejudice the realisation of these oper planning and development of the area. Issation of the Council plans for the area. to serve the proposed development. The proposed development of the area. It is the proposed development. The proposed development of the area are area are area are area. It is a serve the proposed development. The proposed development of the period within which such deficiency are area at the proposed at the junction of the area are area. It is a serve the proposed development are traffic hazard at the junction of the area are area.				
planning and development of the area and we of the area. The site of the proposed development is simposed ring the acquisition in order to development as preposed would objectives and would be contrary to the profine development is premature pending finalist. There are no severage facilities available. The proposed development would be premature in the provision of sewerage facilities and may reasonably be expected to be made good. Development of the number of houses proposed town Lane would be undesirable and would less National Primary Route. It is centrary to the policy of the Planning in areas such as this on the basis of septices.	and would be contrary to the proper could seriously injurious to the amenities tuated in an area we which the Council is velop it as a tiphead and eventually as prejudice the realisation of these oper planning and development of the area. Issation of the Council plans for the area. to serve the proposed development. The proposed development of the area. It is the proposed development. The proposed development of the area are area are area are area are are				
planning and development of the area and we of the area. The site of the proposed development is siconsidering the acquisition in order to development as preposed would objectives and would be contrary to the protectives and would be contrary to the protectives and would be contrary to the protectives are no sewerage facilities available. There are no sewerage facilities available the proposed development would be premature in the prevision of sewerage facilities and may reasonably be expected to be made good. Development of the number of houses proposed town Lane would be undesirable and would less National Primary Route. It is centrary to the maliane and the second and the second area to the material and the second and the second area.	md would be contrary to the proper could seriously injurious to the amenities tusted in an area we which the Council is velop it as a tiphead and eventually as prejudice the realisation of these oper planning and development of the area. Is ation of the Council plans for the area. To serve the proposed development. The by reason of the said existing deficiency the period within which such deficiency is the period within which such deficiency and to traffic hazard at the junction of the gluthority to allow housing development thank drainage.				

ceipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.