COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNI DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 8 PLANNING REGISTER	
1. LOCATION	Killeen Road, Dublin 10	S
2. PROPOSAL	Roads and ancillary services	
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION		Date Further Particulars (b) Received 4th July, 1981
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Collen Bros Ltd., Address East Wall Road, Dublin 3	
5. APPLICANT	Name Industrial Bevelopment Authority, Address Lansdowne House, Dublin 4.	
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/2467/81 Date 13th Oct., 1981	Notified 13th Oct., 1981 Effect To refuse permission,
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.	Notified Effect
8. APPEAL	Notified 12th Nov., 1981 APPEAL WIT	Decision HDRAWN Effect
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	Decision Effect
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register	
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register	
12. PURCHASE NOTICE		
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT		
14.		
15.		
Prepared by	54, 4, 5	Registra
Checked by		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

An Bord Pleanála PL 6/5/56678.

Floor 3, Blocks VI & VII,

Irish Life Centre,

Lower Abbey Strand Telephone (01) 728011.

> DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL PLANNING DEPT. RECEIVED

> > 19MAR1985

Lue See

Date /3/3/85

Your Ref WA 990

Appeal re: Proposed industrial development at

A Chara,

The above-mentioned appeal under the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1983, has been withdrawn.

Mise, le meas,

15. Sheely.

PA 30

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL



PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Block 2 Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street Dublin 1

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

To;	withwise a constraint of the second of the	
I-D-A-,	Register Reference No 出名 🕏	90
Landadowna .Houses	Planning Control No11524.	**************
DUBLIN .4	Application Received 25.5	
<u> </u>		
APPLICANT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	vianta de la compania della compani
In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned / County Health District of Dublin, did by order, PA/2457, decide to refuse: **CONNECTION OF THE PA/2457, decide to r	PERMISSION PERMISSION PERMISSION POPER to the Action Plan for the Via a local distributor resecting to the New Manger Read boundary of his site nor is the Read. The County Council de Read.	Planning Authority for the bar. 1981. BOXXXX Lienstown. Lienstow
evelopers who will benefit most from the remature pending construction of these r . The applicants resume of meetings and n accordawith the Roads Engineers summer	coads. The proposed developeds. agreements made with the Ros of events. The development	opment is considered de Engineer is not af this 70 eres
state onto Killsen Road is totally unacc re substandard. Killeen Road is a substa rom this estate would sither pass throug	aptable as the vision aplays : Adord road and industrial tre	onto Killimon Road
Iternatively have to menoeuvere the traction tally Cenel Bridge and the bed june of the above the development would cause afety by reason tof the shid traffic haz	ction at the County/City Bou a serious traffic hazard and	f Ballyfermot or tically and ndary. In view
iternatively have to menoeuvere the traction tally Cenel Bridge and the bad junt of the above the development would cause aftery by reason tof the shid traffic hazing. The site is affected by road reservations.	otion at the County/City Bou a scrious traffic hazard and c ard. Long shown on RPS 1834 and 18	f Ballyfermot or tically and ndary. In view andanger public
iternatively have to menoeuvere the traction tally Cenel Bridge and the bad junt of the above the development would name affectly by reason tof the shid traffic haz	otion at the County/City Bour a serious traffic hazard and c ard. Lone shown on RPS 1834 and 183	f Ballyfermot or tically and ndary. In view andanger public
Iternatively have to menosuvers the traction traction to be jung orizontally Cenel Bridge and the bad jung of the above the development would pause affectly by reason tof the shirl traffic hazing the site is affected by road reservations.	otion at the County/City Bou a scrious traffic hazard and c ard. Long shown on RPS 1834 and 18	f Ballyfermot or tically and ndary. In view andanger public 55. These have not ondt

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Floor 3, Blocks 6 & 7, Irish Life Centre, Lr. Abbey St., Dublin 1, and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.

Reg. Ref. No. WA 990.

- 4. The width of the carriageway of the spins road is shown as 24ft. whereas it should be 30ft. to cater with the large vehicular traffic proposed.
- 5. The proposal to have this as a private estate is tatally unacceptable to the inn-
- 6. The proposed development fails to provide satisfactory access to lands to the west fit and does not show how the existing right of way to the lands to the west Combe members.
 - 7. If this estate were to be developed in its present form, the lands to the west would be effectively landlocked and development of these lands would have to await the road crossing the canel.
 - 8. The proposed development would be prometure pending improvements to the existing Killeen road and the construction of a new bridge where Killeen Road crosses the canal. The County Council do not have any immediate proposals to carry out this work.
 - 9. Documentary evidence has not been submitted as to the Corporations willingness to allow a connection to their 675mm dismeter water.
 - to. It is the opinion of the Senitary Services Engineer that the outfell pipe must be culverted under Killeen Road and upsized to cater for existing flows. It is normal practice that this work be carried out by the developers. In this instance the applicant has indicated his unwillingness to carry out this work and has stated that the I.D.A. will make an equitable contribution towards the cost of such works. This would indicate that the I.D.A. do not consider that they should finance this crossing thereby involving the County Council in an expenditure of mency to carry out the works involved in crossing the road. The Council has not anticipated this expenditure of funds in its budgeting at this time.

fer Principal Officer.

The state of the s

O . .

and the state of t

en de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la c