COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

P.C. Poference			<u> </u>	
P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND REGISTER REFERENCE DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976			
	PLANNING REGISTER		WA 1440	
1. LOCATION	Laraghon, Clonee Road, Lucan, Co. Dublin.			
2. PROPOSAL	Shed to garage lorries,			
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE Date Received	Date Furthe (a) Requested	er Particulars (b) Received	
	P 20th July, 1981	1 17th Sept., 1981	2	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Design Build, Address 2, Cherbury Park Road, Lucan, Co. Dullin.			
5. APPLICANT	Name Mr. Martin Boyle, Address 3, Brompton Grove, Castleknock, Co. Dublin.			
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No.	Notified		
	Date	Effect	Effect	
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.	Notified	Notified	
	Date	Effect	Effect	
8. APPEAL	Notified	Decision		
	Туре	Effect	Effect	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of	Decision	Decision	
	application Effect			
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register			
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register			
12. PURCHASE NOTICE				
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT				
14.				
15.				
Prepared by	Copy issued by	,	Registrar.	
Checked by				
	Co. Accts. Receipt No.	Δ.		

Fingal Agencies - Dublin 3.

2183

WA 1440

17th September, 1981.

Design Build, 2 Charbury Park Road, Lucan, Co. Dublin.

RE: Proposed shed to garage lorries, and septic tank in Laraghon, Lucan, for M. Boyle.

Bear Sirs,

With reference to your planning application received here on 20th July, 1981 in connection with the above, I wish to inform you that before the application can be considered under the Local Government (Planning and Osvetopment) Antequal@SiendwillSthehebevelowing additional information must be submitted in quadruplicates-

- 1. The site of the proposed development is located in an area soned to provide for the further development of agriculture. Applicant should indicate how the proposed development could be cansidered to be compatible with the above soning objective.
- 2. Applicant should submit written acceptance of the proposed development from the occupant of the existing dwelling whethe site.
- 3. Clarification to be submitted of the number of lorries which would be using the site and whether any maintenance or repairs are to be carried out on the site.
- 4. The proposed development is unacceptable to the Chief Medical Officer for the following reasons:

a. No evidence has been given as to the suitability of the soil for the treatment of septic tank effluent.

b. The layout of the proposed percolation area does not comply with IIRS Standards SR6 1975 for septif tanks.

c. The location and layout of proposed seserve percolation area is not shown.

d, The site of the proposed septic tank and proposed percolation area do not comply with County Council distance requirements.

Applicant should indicate how he proposed to comply with the requirements of the Chief Médical Officer with regard to the above.

Yeursefmath your reply "Additional Information" and quote the Reg. Ref. No. given above.

yours faithfully,

for Principal Officer.