COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976		REGISTER REFERENCE		
	PLANNING	REGISTER	·····	WA 1910.	
1. LOCATION	Crockau n adree n, Saggart.			S	
2. PROPOSAL	Bungalow.		·		
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE Date Received	(a) Requ	-	Further Particulars (b) Received	
	OP 23/9/1981	···· 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Mr. T. Masterson.				
	Address Kilteel, Co. Kildare,				
5. APPLICANT	Name As above. Address				
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/2463/81		Notified	16th Oct., 1981	
	Date 16th Oct., 1981		Effect	To refuse o. permissio	
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.		Notified		
	Date		Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified 19th Oct., 1981		Decision	0. Permission refused	
	Type 1st Party		Effect	An Bord Pleanala, 4th Feb., 1982	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of		Decision		
	application		Effect		
10, COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register				
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register			<u></u>	
12. PURCHASE NOTICE			<u></u>	<u> </u>	
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT					
14.			-		
15.				<u></u> ,	
Prepared by	Copy issued by			Regist	
Checked by	Date	******	*****		

PL 6/5/56770.

AN BORD PLEANALA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: W.A.1910

APPEAL by T. Masterson, of Kilteel, County Kildare, against the decision made on the 16th day of October, 1981, by the Council of the County of Dublin deciding to refuse an outline permission for the erection of a bungalow on a site at Crockaunadreenagh, Saggart County Dublin:

<u>DECISION</u>: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 and 1976, outline permission is hereby refused for the erection of the said bungalow for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

- 1. The site is located in the Dublin Mountain zone, an area designated in the development plan as one of high scenic and recreational amenity. It is the policy of the planning authority, as set out in the plan, that any development in this area not directly related to its amenity potential, or its use for agriculture, mountain or hill farming should be prohibited. This policy is considered reasonable and the proposed development would be in conflict with it and seriously injurious to the amenities of the area.
- 2. The proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the generation of additional traffic turning movements on the inadequate road network serving the area.

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board. Dated this 4th day of Jebruary 1982.



OUTLINE PERMI	N OF A DECISION TO REFU	XXXXX
To:	NNING & DEVELOPMENT) A	CTS, 1963 & 1976
Ar. T. Masterson,	Begister Deference a	WA 1910
Kiltesi,	Planning O	No
Kiltesl, Co. Kildare.	Application Received	d
PPLICANT T. Masterson.	Additional Inf. Recd	
pursuance of its functions under the above mentione punty Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/	t63/81 dated 16	cil, being the Planning Authority for the
OUTLINE PERMISSION Proposed bungalow at Crockeunadreen	XXXXXXXXXXXX	APPROVAL

1. The proposed development would contravene materially a condition of a previous permission, i.e. condition No. 1, of Order No. P/1578/79, dated 3/5/79, Reg. Ref. SA 348, and would not be in accordance with the proper planning and development of the area,

2. The site is located in an area zoned 'Q' "to preserve an area of high amenity" in the Development Plan. The residential development proposed in a rural area, where public pp designed to serve rural needs are not available, and where the existing road network manks objective, would not be in accordance with the proper planning and development of the area and would seriously injure the emenities of the area.

3. Public piped water supply or severage facilities are ndteavailable to serve the pro-

4. The proposed developmentwould be premature by reasons of the said existing deficiency in the provision of water and severage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.

5. The proposed development served by a sub-standard and hadardous road network, would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the generation of additional traffic turning movements on this inadequate road network.



Fingal Agencies - Dublin 3.