

PLAN NO. (3703/80)(1168/75) 476/81		LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER (Part I)		REGISTER REFERENCE WA 2684T	
1. LOCATION		23/24 Main Street, Rathfarnham, Dublin 14.		O.S. NO. S-3391-3 GRID REF. 1428-289	
2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT		revised entrance to factory at rear.		PREPARED BY: MW CHECKED BY: MW	
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION		TYPE O.P.	APPLICATION DATE 13.2.1981	Date Further Particulars: (a) Requested 1. 2. 3. (b) Received 1. 2. 3.	
4. SUBMITTED BY		Name Kevin Murnane & Associates, Cranmer Lodge, Address Cranmer Lane, Dublin 4.			
5. APPLICANT		Name John C. Walsh, Esq., Address "Chilham", 1D Butterfield Avenue, Dublin 14			
6. DECISION		O.C.M. No. & DATE P837. 10th April, 1981. Date NOTIFIED 10th April, 1981.		EFFECT TO REFUSE OUTLINE PERMISSION. (SEE OPPOSITE).	
7. GRANT		O.C.M. No. & DATE Date NOTIFIED		EFFECT	
8. APPEAL		NOTIFICATION TO 14th MAY, 1981 CORPORATION		Decision OUTLINE PERMISSION GRANTED. (SEE OPPOSITE).	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)		SA. DATE OF AN BOARD PLEA ANALAS DECISION:- Date of 7th September, 1981. application		Decision	
10. COMPENSATION		Ref. in Compensation Register			
11. ENFORCEMENT		Ref. in Enforcement Register			
12. PURCHASE NOTICE					
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT					
14.					
15.					
16.					
DATE OF ISSUE OF COPY CERTIFYING OFFICER FINANCE OFFICER AND TREASURER'S RECEIPT NO.					

P837

10 APR 1981

RECOMMENDATION:

Decision Order No. Date

I hereby endorse the recommendation of the

Development Control Assistant Grade 1/Planning Assistant Grade 1: JC/GK Date 9.2.81

outline permission

TO REFUSE in respect of the Application received on 13.2.81

for reasons, for the development proposed in Plan No./Reg. No. 476/81

John C. Walsh,

"Chilham", 10 Butterfield Ave., Dublin 14.

by Applicant of

Revise entrance to factory at rear of 23/24 Main St., Rathfarnham, Dublin 14.

namely to:

Signed: Principal Officer. Date: 10/4

ORDER: In accordance with the recommendation of the Principal Officer, I decide that having regard to the provisions which are included in the Development Plan, the above proposal would be contrary to proper planning and development and I, therefore, decide TO REFUSE therefor under the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts 1963/76 for the reasons stated below.

REASONS

1. The revised access road indicated red to the proposed factory premises is not of a uniform 20ft. in width nor has it a 6' wide footpath. Visibility to the north of the junction of access road with Butterfield Avenue/Rathfarnham Road, is restricted. This proposed revised access road will result in a traffic hazard and would be inconsistent with the proper planning and development of the area.

WR
10/4

Assistant City and County Manager

to whom the appropriate powers have been delegated by Order of the City and County Manager dated

day of 19

AN BORD PLEANÁLALOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976Dublin County BoroughPlanning Register Reference Number: 476/81

APPEAL by John C. Walsh of "Chilham", 1D, Butterfield Avenue, Dublin against the decision made on the 10th day of April, 1981, by the Right Honourable the Lord Mayor, Aldermen and Burgesses of Dublin deciding to refuse to grant an outline permission for a revised entrance to a factory at rear of 23/24 Main Street, Rathfarnham, Dublin in accordance with plans and particulars lodged with Dublin Corporation.

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 and 1976, it is hereby decided, for the reason set out in the First Schedule hereto, to grant outline permission for the said entrance in accordance with the said plans and particulars, subject to the conditions specified in column 1 of the Second Schedule hereto the reasons for the imposition of the said conditions being as set out in column 2 of the said Second Schedule and the said outline permission is hereby granted subject to the said conditions.

FIRST SCHEDULE

Provided the conditions specified in the Second Schedule hereto are complied with, it is considered that the proposed development would not give rise to an undue traffic hazard or otherwise be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area.

SECOND SCHEDULE

<u>Column 1 - Conditions</u>	<u>Column 2 - Reasons for Conditions</u>
1. The proposed access way shall be a minimum of 20 feet in width. 2. The junction of the proposed access way with the public road shall be splayed and any obstructions to visibility to the north shall be removed.	1. & 2. In the interest of public safety.

Member of An Bord Pleanála duly
authorised to authenticate the
seal of the Board.

Dated this day of 1981