		CORPORATION OF DUI	BLIN	
6	PLAN NO. 4382/91 (3817 <b>3</b> 81)	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANN DEVELOPMENT) ACTS 1963 PLANNING REGISTER (F	& 1976	LONA2972
	1. LOCATION	Rathfarnham Wood, Grange Road, Dublin 14.		O.S. NO. S- 3391-4 GRID REF. 1465-2856
	2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	in 2 blocks 12 flats <sub>A</sub> and 19 houses		PREPARED BY: MW CHECKED BY:
	3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION		Date Furth a) Requested	er Particulars: (b) Received 1 2 3
	4. SUBMITTED BY	NameLyon Developme Segrave House, 20 Earlsfort T Dublin 2.	1	ts,
	5. APPLICANT	Name Hickey Homes L Segrave House, Address 20 Earlsfort T Dublin 2.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	6. DECISION	O.C.MNo. & DATE P341 4.2.1982 Date NOTIFIED 4.2.1982	EFFECT TO REFUSE PE (SEE OPPOSIT	ERMISSION TE).
	7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. & DATE Date NOTIFIED	EFFECT	
	8. APPEAL	NOTIFICATION TO CORPORATION	Decision	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	Decision	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······

11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register	
12. PURCHASE NOTICE		
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT		
14.		DATE OF ISSUE OF COPY
15		CERTIFYING OFFICER
16.		FINANCE OFFICER AND TREASURER'S RECEIPT NO.

	CORPORATION OF DUB	LIN			
	Order of the Assistant City and Con	unty Manager			
	Local Government (Planning & Development) Acts 1963/76, Loca	al Government (Dublin)	Acts 1930-1955		-
Ŧ	·		-	2	
	ADVID ATTON: Deside a	DZ44	Dete	×4	1 7

1982

<b>RECYCLENDATION:</b> I here and dorse the recommendation of Development Control Assistant Grade 1	Decision Order No
TO REFUSE PERMISSION	in respect of the Application received on9:12.81
for4reasons.	for the development proposed in Plan No./Reg. No
by ApplicantHickeyHomesLt	dofSeagrave House, 20 Earlsfort Tee D.2
namely to:Erect.12.flats.	intwoblocksand19houses.at.Rathfarnham
	Dublin 14.
Signed:	Principal Officer. Date: 4/2
ORDER: In accordance with the recomment included in the Development Plan	ndation of the Principal Officer. I decide that having regard to the provisions which are the above proposal would be contrary to proper planning and development and I, <b>PERM I SSION</b> therefor nning and Development) Acts 1963/76 for the

REASONS

1. The proposed blocks of flats would overlook, overshadow and visually intrude upon surrounding housing development. This would seriously injure residential amenity of property in the vicinity.

2. The height and gable design of the block of flats would be out of character with surmounding two storey development and would be seriously injurious to visual amenity.

3. The proximity of the blocks to each other would cause serious injury to residential amenity and would result in substandard development.

4. The "Georgian" gabled design of the proposed terraced houses together with the lack of porch feature prominent in einder of the estate, would result in the development

A CUS-	· · · · ·	-
	 · ·	
	 Date	
Istant City and County Manager	 Date	