· · ·		CORPORATION OF DUB	LIN	かった。 水田 小田 小田 小田 小田 小田 小田 小田 小田 小田 小
	PLAN NO. 4598/81	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 PLANNING REGISTER (Part I)		REGISTER REFERENCE
	1. LOCATION	Ashfield, Brookvale, Rathfarnham Dublin 14.		GRID REF. 1432-2947
	2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	69 Terraced Houses.		PREPARED BY: CM CHECKED BY: PB
	3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE APPLICATION DATE (a) P & B 24/12/81 1. 2. 3.	Date Furth Requested	ner Particulars: (b) Received 1. 2. 3.
	4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Randal McDonnell, B. Arch, Address "Cara", Ballinteer Road, Dublin 14.		
	5. APPLICANT	Name Whitbay Ltd., Bective House, Dawson Street, Dublin 2. Address		
	*** 6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. & DATE P614. 4th March, 1982. Date NOTIFIED 4th March, 1982.	EFFECT PERMISSION REFUSED. (SEE OPPOSITE).	
	7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. & DATE Date NOTIFIED	EFFECT	
	8. APPEAL	NOTIFICATION TO Lond apell, 1982 CORPORATION BORD PLEANALAS DECISION:-	(SEE OPPOS	MISSION REFUSED. SITE).
	9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of 23rd March, 1983.	Decision	
	10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register		
	11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register		
	12. PURCHASE NOTICE	· · · · · · ·		
	13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT			
	***IN ACCORT 14. OF THE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT)	ACT 1976 AMENDING SECTI	DN	SSUE OF COPY
	26(4) OF THE AND DEVELOPM 15. AUTHORITY HA	ENT) ACT, 1963 THE PLANN	Lana	NG OFFICER
	DECISION ON 16.	THIS APPLICATION.	FINANCE C TREASURE	DEFICER AND R'S RECEIPT NO.
-1				

ş

AN BORD PLEANALA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1982

PL 29/5/58676

Dublin County Borough

Planning Register Reference Number: 4598/81

APPEAL by Whitbay Limited, of Bective House, Dawson Street, Dublin, against the decision made on the 4th day of March, 1982, by the Right Honourable the Lord Mayor, Aldermen and Burgesses of Dublin to refuse permission for the erection of 69 terraced houses at Ashfield, Brookvale, Rathfarnham, Dublin:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1982, permission is hereby refused for the erection of the said houses for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

1. The proposed development would be in conflict with the development plan zoning for the area as it would involve an encroachment of development onto land having a zoning objective "F" i.e. "to preserve recreational amenity including open space". This zoning objective is considered reasonable.

2. The proposed development would be seriously injurious to the visual amenities of the area because some of the houses, e.g. 17 to 26, would be located in an obtrusive elevated position at the top of a steep escarpment overlooking the Dodder Valley amenity area and not adequately set back to allow for retention of existing trees and the provision of further necessary screening. In addition, the proposal to have houses 58-59 backing onto the public open space close to the Rathfarnham Road - Dodder Valley Parkway junction would be injurious to visual amenity also.

3. The proposed development would give rise to injury to the residential amenities and reduction in the value of nearby properties due in particular to the proposed house type and the proposal to locate the access road alongside the rear garden walls of houses on Rathfarnham Road. In addition, the location of house number 69 in particular would result in serious overlooking and overshadowing of adjoining properties.

Anthony J. Lambert

Member of An Bord Pleanála duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 25 day of March 1983.

· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CORPORATION OF DUBLIN		A CONTRACTOR OF
	rder of the Assistant City and County Mana	-	
Local Government (Plannin	ng & Development) Acts 1963/76, Local Governme	ent (Dublin) Acts	1930-195
	· · · · · ·		
OMMENDATION:	Decision Order No		Date
Development Control Assistant Gr	tion of the rade 1/Planning Assistant Grade 1:		Date
	in respect of the Applicatior	•	
for6reasons,	for the development proposed in Plar	n No./Reg. No	4598/81
by Applicant * Whitbay.		Dawson St.	Dublin 2
namely to:	loedhousesat.AshfieldBroo	kvale Rati	ifarnham Dubl
T. T			
······································			
······································			
\ 			
······			·····
Signed:	,		
	RB Si Princ	cipal Officer. D	ate: 4/3/82
Signed: ORDER: In accordance with the reco	Princ pmmendation of the Principal Officer, I decide that	cipal Officer. Data	ate:
Signed: ORDER: In accordance with the reconnected in the Development	Princ pommendation of the Principal Officer, I decide that at Plan, the above proposal would be contrary to	cipal Officer. Data t having regard to proper planning a	ate:
Signed: ORDER: In accordance with the recordincluded in the Development therefore, decide TO REFUS	Princ pmmendation of the Principal Officer, I decide that	cipal Officer. Date to proper planning a	ate: 7/3/82 the provisions which ar and development and 1

1. The encroachment of houses, (particularly sites 53 to 57) onto the floor of the Dodder Valley would spoil its unique open characters and would seriously injure the amenity of the area and would encroach on lands zoned objective F in the Dublin Development Plan i.e. to preserve recreational amenity and open space.

2. The backing of houses (particularly sites 58 to 69) onto the open space would be injurious to usual and residential emenity.

5. The provision of a linear open space at the maks of houses on a steeply sloping bank would be unsatisfactory in terms of both amonity and maintenance and would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area.

houses 4. The predominance of narrow frontage, high roofed design/in the proposed development is out of character with surrounding development is

the area.

5. The applicant has failed to provide adequately detailed landscaped proposals and a tree survey.

6. The proximity of the rere of houses no. 18 to 25 to the steep embankment would be seriously injurious to visual amenity and these houses would encroach on hands zoned objective F in the Development Pla i.e. to preserve recreational amenity and open spaces.

Assistant City and County Manager

to whom the appropriate powers have been delegated by Order of the City and County Manager dated......

Date.....