COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

		HAIRLE CHON	IAE /	ATHA CLIAT	, H		
P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER			REGISTER REFERENCE			
1. LOCATION		60 Marian Crescent, Rathfarnham, Dublin 14					
2. PROPOSAL	Extension						
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received	(a) Re	Date Furthe equested	er Particulars (b) Received		
	P.	23.11.81	1		1.		
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Mr. T. McDaid, Address Lus Mor Taobh na Coille , Sandyford						
5. APPLICANT	Name Address						
6. DECISION	O.C.M. Date	. No. PB/65/82 22nd Jan., 198	82		d Jan., 1982		
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.			Notified Effect			
8. APPEAL	Notified Type	1st Party 1st March, 198	32	DecisionPermission refused by An Bord Pleanala, Effect 22nd July, 1982			
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of			Decision Effect			
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in C	Compensation Register					
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register						
12. PURCHASE NOTICE							
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
14.							
15.			····				
Prepared by	İ				Registrar.		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Bá pá pao da'apongo a so ve sae da pá spe a cára s			
gal Agencies - Dublin 3.		Co. Accts. Receipt No	**********	********************************	-		

Fingal Agencies - Dublin 3.

P 6/5/58090

An BORD PLEANALA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

Planning Register Reference Number: W.B. 1659

County Dublin

APPEAL by Sean Kiernan of 60 Marian Crescent, Rathfarnham, County
Dublin against the decision made on the 22nd day of January, 1982,
by the Council of the County of Dublin deciding to refuse permission
for the erection of an extension to the house at 60, Marian Crescent,
Rathfarnham, County Dublin.

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development)

Acts, 1963 and 1976, permission is hereby refused for the erection of the said extension for the reason set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

The erection of the proposed extension would, by reason of overshadowing, be seriously injurious to the residential amenities of the adjoining perty.

ADenis Bringle

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 22 - day of July 1

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

phone 724755 : 262/264 PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Block 2
Irish Life Centre
Lower Abbey Street
Dublin 1

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

BEACHERRIAGION:

PERMISSION: APPROXIME

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

	9.0° 70. * * *	- -		No WB 1659	• • •
T.	McDaid,				
Lu	s Mor,			0	
	· -			ed23.11.81	
Sa	mdyford, Co. D	oblin.	Additional Inf. Rec	:d	
ICANT	S. Kier	man			
			9	The second of th	
. —	t tea tomations onder :		waka Duklin County Co	fillett' being the training.	
ursuance of	Tits functions under District of Dublic di	id by order P/B/65/82.	dated	22/1/82	4001011
de to refuse	:	HISTOR	PERMISSION	XPERIOWAL-	
			60 Marian Crases	ent, Rathfarnham	
	roposed extensi	re. Bultremp, 62, 461	JOHDAN, MBAMMA, VV. V		
					٠.
		located in an area	a soned "to prese:	rve and improve residential	<u>.</u> 1
1.	. The site is			rve and improve residential proposed, in close prexima	
a	. The site is imenity" in the	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1 a t	. The site is imenity" in the	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1. a t a d	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially th	he
1. a t a d	. The site is imenity" in the	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1. a t a d	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1. a t a d	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1 a t a đ	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1. a t a đ	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1 a t a đ	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1 a t a đ	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1 a t a đ	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1 a t a đ	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1 a t a đ	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1 a t a đ	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1 a t a đ	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1 a t a đ	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1 a t a đ	. The site is imenity" in the to the adjoining the bove objective levelopment of	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou	ld contravene materially the	he
1 a t	menity* in the to the adjoining the adjoining the adjoining the adjoining the sective development of residential projections.	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro , would not be in the area and would perty.	perty No. 62, wou accordance with d seriously injur	the preper planning and the amenities of the adjusted the amenities of the adjusted	he
1 at a d	menity* in the to the adjoining the adjoining the adjoining the adjoining the sective development of residential projections.	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro , would not be in the area and would perty.	perty No. 62, wou accordance with d seriously injur	the preper planning and the adjuster the amenities of the adjuster that the adjuster the adjuster that	he
1 at a d	menity* in the to the adjoining the adjoining the adjoining the adjoining the sective development of residential projections.	Bevelopment Plan g residential pro- , would not be in the area and woul	perty No. 62, wou accordance with d seriously injur	the preper planning and the adjuster the amenities of the adjuster that the adjuster the adjuster that	he

Date. 22nd January, 1982.

Date. 22nd January, 1

accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.