COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

P. C. Reference	1	AL GOVERNMENT EVELOPMENT) AC PLANNING RI	REGISTER REFERENCE XA 187			
1. LOCATION	Saggart	Hill, Crooksl	S			
2. PROPOSAL.	Dwellin					
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received	(a) Requ		er Particulars (b) Received	
	P27t	h Jan., 1982	_	***************************************	2	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Michael Healy, Address 104, St. Maelruans Park, Tallaght, Co. Dublin.					
5. APPLICANT	Name John Egan, Address 9, Silver Birches, Dundrum, Dublin 14.					
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/752/82 Date 23rd March, 1982			Notified 28th March, 1982 Effect To refuse o. permission,		
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Date			Notified Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified Type			Decision Effect		
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application			Decision Effect		
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register					
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register					
12. PURCHASE NOTICE						
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT						
15.						
Prepared by					Registrar.	

Co. Accts. Receipt No

Future Print 475588

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

elephone 724755 Ext.: 262/264

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Block 2 Irish Life Centre Lower Abbev Street

Dublin 1

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

OUTLINE PERMISSION: LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

Michael Healy. Register Reference No. XA 187 104 St. Maelruens Park. Planning Control No. Tallaght. Application Received. 27/1/82 Co. Dublin. Additional Inf. Recd. Application Received. 27/1/82 County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/A/752/82. decide to refuse: OUTLINE PERMISSION FERMISSION OUTLINE PERMISSION FERMISSION FOR MELLING. AND SETTIC TANK AT SAGGART HILL. CROUSSLING. For the following reason: 1. The site is located in an area mened "to preserve an area of high amenity" in the development plan. The commercial development proposed in a rural area which public piped water or severage services are not swillable and where existing road network designed to serve rural needs, is inadequate, would contravene materially the above eljective, would seriously injure the semities of the area. 2. Public piped water supply or severage facilities are not available to serve the proposed development would be premaire by reason of the said existing deficiency may reasonable be expected to be made good. 3. The proposed development served by a sub-standard and hazardous road network would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the generation of additional traffic turning movements. 5. Evidence as to indicate the suitability of the seil for the disposal of septic tank effluent has not been submitted. 6. Evidence to indicate the availability of a potable and adequate water supply has not been submitted. 7. The applicant has not indicated compliance with the Council's distance requirements regarding the proposed well and an existing septic tank on the other side of the land from this location.	Го;			100
Tallaght, Co. Dublin. Additional Inf. Recod. APPLICANT	Michael Healy,	Register Reference No	XA 187	
pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/A/752/82	104 St. Maelruans Park,	Planning Control No		
pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/A/752/82 dated 23/3/82 decide to refuse: OUTLINE PERMISSION FRANCESIAN AT SAGGART HILD, CROUNSLING. For INVELLING AND SEPTIC TANK AT SAGGART HILD, CROUNSLING. for the following reasons: 1. The site is located in an area zoned "to preserve an area of high amenity" in the development plan. The commercial development proposed in a rural area which public piped water or severage services are not awailable and where existing road network designed to serve rural needs, is inadequate, would contravene asterially the above objective, would seriously injure the amenities of the area. 2. Public piped water sumply or severage facilities are not available to serve the proposed development would be premiure by reason of the said existing deficiency in the provision of water and severage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonable be expected to be made good. k. The proposed development served by a sub-standard and hazardous road network would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the generation of additional traffic turning movements. 5. Evidence at to indicate the suitability of the soil for the disposal of septic tank effluent has not been submitted. 6. Evidence to indicate the availability of a potable and adequate water supply has not been submitted. 7. The applicant has not indicated compliance with the Council's distance requirements regarding the proposed well and an existing septic tank on the other side of the land from this location.	Tallaght,	Application Received	27/1/82	
pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/A/752/82 decide to refuse: OUTLINE PERMISSION For. DWELLING AND SETTIC TANK AT SAGGART HILD, CROOKSLING. for the following reasons: 1. The site is located in an area zoned "to preserve an area of high amenity" in the development plan. The commercial development proposed in a rural area which public piped water or sewerage services are not available and where existing road network designed to serve rural needs, is imadequate, would contravene materially the above ebjective, would seriously injure the amenities of the area. 2. Public piped water supply or severage facilities are not available to serve the proposed development. 3. The proposed development. 3. The proposed development would be premoure by reason of the said existing deficiency in the prevision of water and sewerage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonable be expected to be made good. 4. The proposed development served by a sub-standard and hazardous road network would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the generation of additional traffic turning movements. 5. Evidence at to indicate the suitability of the soil for the disposal of septic tank effluent has not been submitted. 6. Bridence to indicate the swailability of a potable and adequate vater supply has not been submitted. 7. The applicant has not indicated compliance with the Council's distance requirements regarding the proposed well and an existing septic tank on the other side of the land from this location.	Co. Dublin.	Additional Inf. Recd		4 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1
pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/A/752/82				
For DWELLING AND SEPTIC TANK AT SAGGART HILE, CROOKSLING. 1. The site is located in an area zoned "to preserve an area of high amenity" in the development plan. The commercial development proposed in a rural area which public piped water or sewerage services are not available and where existing road network designed to serve rural needs, is inadequate, would contravene materially the above objective, would seriously injure the amenities of the area. 2. Public piped water supply or sewerage facilities are not available to serve the proposed development. 3. The proposed development would be premaine by reason of the said existing deficiency in the provision of water and sewerage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonable be expected to be made good. 4. The proposed development served by a sub-standard and hazardous road network would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the generation of additional traffic turning movements. 5. Evidence at to indicate the suitability of the seil for the disposal of septic tank effluent has not been submitted. 6. Evidence to indicate the availability of a potable and adequate water supply has not been submitted. 7. The applicant has not indicated compliance with the Council's distance requirements regarding the proposed well and an existing septic tank on the other side of the land from this location.	e pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts	the Dublin County Council, be	eing the Planning Auth	ority for the
for the following reasons: 1. The site is located in an area sound "to preserve an area of high amenity" in the development plan. The commercial development proposed in a rural area which public piped water or severage services are not available and where existing road network designed to serve rural meeds, is imadequate, would contravene materially the above objective, would seriously injure the amenities of the area. 2. Public piped water supply or severage facilities are not available to serve the proposed development. 3. The proposed development would be premaine by reason of the said existing deficiency in the provision of water and severage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonable be expected to be made good. 4. The proposed development served by a sub-standard and hazardous road network would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the generation of additional traffic turning movements. 5. Evidence as to indicate the suitability of the seil for the disposal of septic tank effluent has not been submitted. 6. Evidence to indicate the availability of a petable and adequate water supply has not been submitted. 7. The applicant has not indicated compliance with the Council's distance requirements regarding the proposed well and an existing septic tank on the other side of the land from this location.				•##••#################################
for the following reasons: 1. The site is located in an area sened "to preserve an area of high amenity" in the development plan. The commercial development proposed in a rural area which public piped water or sewerage services are not swallable and where existing read network designed to serve rural needs, is imadequate, would contravene materially the above objective, would seriously injure the amenities of the area. 2. Public piped water supply or sewerage facilities are not available to serve the proposed development. 3. The proposed development would be premaire by reason of the said existing deficiency in the provision of water and sewerage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonable be expected to be made good. 4. The proposed development served by a sub-standard and hazardous road network would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the generation of additional traffic turning movements. 5. Evidence at to indicate the suitability of the soil for the disposal of septic tank effluent has not been submitted. 6. Evidence to indicate the availability of a potable and adequate water supply has not been submitted. 7. The supplicant has not indicated compliance with the Council's distance requirements regarding the proposed well and an existing septic tank on the other side of the land from this location.	OUTLINE PERMISSION	REPAISSION	ARRESTAN	. : 2:4-
1. The site is located in an area sound "to preserve an area of high amenity" in the development plan. The commercial development proposed in a rural area which public piped water or severage services are not swillable and where existing road network designed to serve rural needs, is inadequate, would contravene materially the above ebjective, would seriously injure the amenities of the area. 2. Fublic piped water supply or severage facilities are not available to serve the proposed development. 3. The proposed development would be premaine by reason of the said existing deficiency in the provision of water and sewerage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonable be expected to be made good. 4. The proposed development served by a sub-standard and hazardous road network would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the generation of additional traffic turning movements. 5. Evidence as to indicate the suitability of the soil for the disposal of septic tank effluent has not been submitted. 6. Evidence to indicate the availability of a potable and adequate water supply has not been submitted. 7. The applicant has not indicated compliance with the Council's distance requirements regarding the proposed well and an existing septic tank on the other side of the land from this location.	For DWELLING AND SETTIC TANK AT SAGGART	HILL, CROOKSLING		
1. The site is located in an area sound "to preserve an area of high amenity" in the development plan. The commercial development proposed in a rural area which public piped water or severage services are not swallable and where existing road network designed to serve rural needs, is inadequate, would contravene materially the above ebjective, would seriously injure the amenities of the area. 2. Public piped water supply or severage facilities are not available to serve the proposed development. 3. The proposed development would be premaine by reason of the said existing deficiency in the prevision of water and sewerage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonable be expected to be made good. 4. The proposed development served by a sub-standard and hazardous road network would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the generation of additional traffic turning movements. 5. Evidence as to indicate the suitability of the soil for the disposal of septic tank effluent has not been submitted. 6. Evidence to indicate the availability of a potable and adequate water supply has not been submitted. 7. The applicant has not indicated compliance with the Council's distance requirements regarding the proposed well and an existing septic tank on the other side of the land from this location.	, k		•	
regarding the proposed well and an existing septic tank on the other side of the land from this location.	development plan. The commercial development piped water or severage services are not an designed to serve rural needs, is imadequately educative, would not be in accordance with area and would seriously injure the emenity	ent proposed in a run failable and where exi- te, would contravene a the proper planning a tes of the area.	al area which puisting road netw saterially the a and development	ork bove of the
Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council	development plan. The commercial development piped water or sewerage services are not an designed to serve rural needs, is imadequately injure the ween subjective, would not be injure the menitive. Public piped water supply or severage for proposed development. 3. The proposed development would be premained in the provision of water and sewerage fact deficiency may reasonable be expected to be a finitely injure the suitability effluent has not been submitted. 5. Evidence of to indicate the suitability effluent has not been submitted. 6. Evidence to indicate the availability expenses appoint the desired.	ent proposed in a runderilable and where exitie, would contravene at the proper planning a less of the area. Acilities are not available by reason of the allities and the periods and hazards hazard because of the of the soil for the contral and adequate and adequat	at area which pure isting road network and development liable to serve aid existing delivithin which some road network ne generation of lisposal of september water supply	ork bove of the the ficiency uch would ic tank has
Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Councilfor PRINCIPAL OFFICER	development plan. The commercial development piped water or sewerage services are not an designed to serve rural needs, is imadequate objective, would not be in accordance with area and would seriously injure the emenit: 2. Public piped water supply or severage for proposed development. 3. The proposed development would be premained in the provision of water and severage fact deficiency may reasonable be expected to be a finite for the proposed development served by a subsendanger public safety by reason of traffic additional traffic turning movements. 5. Evidence as to indicate the suitability effluent has not been submitted. 6. Evidence to indicate the availability on not been submitted. 7. The applicant has not indicated compliant regarding the proposed well and an existing regarding the proposed well and an existing	ent proposed in a rund whileble and where exi- te, would contravene a the proper planning a les of the area. acilities are not available by reason of the a ilities and the period made good. be made good. contandard and hazardo contandard and hazardo to the soil for the of a potable and adequa- ace with the Council's	at area which pure string road network and development lable to serve said existing delivithin which some road network ne generation of disposal of september supply distance required	ork bove of the the ficiency uch would ic tank kas rements
	development plan. The commercial development piped water or severage services are not an designed to serve rural needs, is imadequate objective, would not be in accordance with area and would seriously injure the amenitical proposed development. 3. The proposed development would be premained the provision of water and severage factoriciency may reasonable be expected to be a contained to the proposed development served by a subsendinger public safety by reason of traffic additional traffic turning movements. 5. Evidence as to indicate the suitability effluent has not been submitted. 6. Evidence to indicate the availability of not been submitted. 7. The applicant has not indicated compliant regarding the proposed well and an existing from this location.	ent proposed in a rund whileble and where exi- te, would contravene a the proper planning a les of the area. acilities are not available by reason of the a littles and the period made good. be made good. chazard because of the of the soil for the of a potable and adequa- nce with the Council's g septic tank on the o	at area which pure string road network and development lable to serve said existing delivithin which some road network ne generation of disposal of september supply distance required	ork bove of the the ficiency uch would ic tank kas rements the land

Date...... 1982.

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Floor 3, Blocks 6 & 7, Irish Life Centre, Lr. Abbey St., Dublin 1, and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.