

REGISTER

(Part I)

Folio

40801

O.S. No.

Grid Ref.

Robinhood Rd. Fox & Geese

Bungallow.

Type of Application	Application Date	Further Particulars Requested	Further Particulars Received
Permissi on	14-8-67	2	2

Name

Desmond McCarthy

Address

12 Cypress Grove Mt. Ten-plough

Name

Pat Gough

Address

Railway Jee. Inchione

O.C.M. & Date	Notified	Effect	S.26(2) (e)	S.26(2) (g)	S.26(2) (h)
P1063-9/10	10-10-67	Is grant Permissi on - 40's P.T.O.			
Date of Grant	Sent	Effect	S.26(2) (e)	S.26(2) (g)	S.26(2) (h)
28-11-67.	29-11-67.	Permissi on granted - 40's.			
Notification to Co. Council	DATE OF MINISTER'S DECISION	Effect of Decision of Minister	S.26(2) (e)	S.26(2) (g)	S.26(2) (h)

Effect of Decision of Minister

Ref. in Part II. (Compensation Register)

Ref. in Part III. (Enforcement Register)

Date of issue of copy

Registrar

Co. Accountant's Receipt No.

Annals of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences

- (1) That the design and be subject and not completed in their entirety with the plans and specifications before the application.
- (2) That the design arrangements be to the satisfaction of the appointing authority.
- (3) That nothing herein shall be subject to be obtained and any conditions of such approval shall be observed in the development.
- (4) That the building has not been a minimum distance of 30 feet from the existing boundary.

Planning Development at Worcester, Illinois

- (1) The proposed development is premature by reference to the unsatisfactory state of average facilities in the area and the period within which it is expected that such facilities would be provided.
- (2) The proposed development is in conflict with the anticipated provisions of the development plan as it is contrary to the future development area and as it is contrary to the existing rural character of the area and as it is contrary to the existing rural character of the area and as it is contrary to the existing rural character of the area.
- (3) The layout for the proposed development is not in accordance with good planning principles as it is not suitably integrated with the existing development and the open spaces are not suitably integrated by adding the same treatment.
- (4) The existing value would be seriously reduced by adding the same treatment to the existing value.
- (5) The layout of roads is unsatisfactory in that there is an excessive total length of roads.
- (6) There are too many junctions linking the roads with the existing County road network. Also, the existing unsatisfactory traffic layout is not improved by the proposed road layout.
- (7) There are numerous cross roads proposed on the estate which will give rise to numerous traffic accidents.
- (8) The layout of the houses, particularly in the north-eastern portion of the estate, shows houses are shown directly facing and too close to the backs of other houses, and the unsatisfactory proportion of the estate is unsatisfactory.