COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER REGISTER REFERENCE XA 718		
1. LOCATION	Site 124A, Glenvara Park, Knocklyon, Dublin 16.		
2. PROPOSAL	Dwelling at side,		
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE Date Received (a) Requested (b) Received 1		
	P 14th April, 1982 2		
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Elmes & Gogarty, Address 29, The Drive, Woodpark, Dublin 16.		
5. APPLICANT	Name Mr. John Gogarty, Address 2, Hyde Park, Terenure, Dublin 6.		
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/1499/82 Notified 10th June, 1982 Date 10th June, 1982 Effect To refuse permission,		
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Notified Date Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified 15th July, 1982 Type 1st Party, DecisionPermission granted by An Bord Pleanala, Effect 20th Sept., 1982		
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of Decision application Effect		
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register		
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register		
12. PURCHASE NOTICE			
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT			
14. 15.			
Prepared by			

Future Print 475588

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: XA 718

APPEAL by John Gogarty of 2, Hyde Park, Terenure, Dublin against the decision made on the 16th day of June, 1982, by the Council of the County of Dublin deciding to refuse permission for the erection of a house on a site adjoining 124 Glenvara Park, Ballycullen Road, Firhouse, house on a site adjoining 124 Glenvara Park, Ballycullen Road, Firhouse, tounty Dublin, in accordance with plans and particulars lodged with the said Council:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 and 1976, it is hereby decided, for the reason set out in the First Schedule hereto, to grant normission for the erection of the said house in accordance with the said plans and particulars, subject to the condition specified in column 1 of the Second Schedule hereto, the reason for the imposition of the said condition being as set out in column 2 of the said Second Schedule and the said permission is hereby granted subject to the said condition.

FIRST SCHEDULE

It is considered that the site is adequate to accommodate the proposed house and that the proposed development would accordingly not be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area.

SECOND SCHEDULE

Column 1 - Condition

The developer shall pay a sum of money to the Dublin County Council as a contribution towards the provision of a public water supply and piped sewerage facilities in the area. The amount to be paid and the time and method of payment shall be agreed between the developer and the said Council before the development is commenced or, failing agreement, shall be as determined by An Bord Pleanala.

Column 2 - Reason for Condition

The provision of such services in the area by the Council will facilitate the proposed development. It is considered reasonable that the developer should contribute towards the cost of providing the services.

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 20 day of

1982

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

Telephone 724755 32/2**64**

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Block 2 Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street Dublin 1

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

PERMISSION: CHARLONE ASSOCIATION SHOWS

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

Co;	- ęr	
Elmes & Gogarty,	· ·	xa.718
29, The Drive,		12716/12343
Woodpark, Ballinteer,	Application Received	14/4/182
Dublin 16		
APPLICANT	Gogarty	
n pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned. Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/ A/14	d Acts the Dublin County Coun	cil, being the Planning Authority for th
decide to refuse:	PERMISSION	XXXXXXXXXXXX
For Proposed dwelling on site .124A, .G		
ior the following reasons: 1. The proposed development, on this reduced development, on this reduced development insufficient but the first result of the first resul	estricted site, within ilding line clearance ccordance with the pro	the curtilage of an existing to the existing and propose per planning and developmen
1. The proposed development, on this re	estricted site, within ilding line clearance coordance with the proure the amenities of t	the curtilage of an existing to the existing and proposed per planning and development
for the following reasons: 1. The proposed development, on this reduced dwelling house with insufficient but the second would not be in as	estricted site, within ilding line clearance ccordance with the pro	the curtilage of an existing to the existing and proposed per planning and development
for the following reasons: 1. The proposed development, on this reduced development, on this reduced development and the second development on the second development of the	estricted site, within ilding line clearance coordance with the proure the amenities of t	the curtilage of an existing to the existing and propose per planning and developmen
ior the following reasons: 1. The proposed development, on this reduced development, on this reduced development insufficient but the first result of the first resul	estricted site, within ilding line clearance coordance with the proure the amenities of t	the curtilage of an existing to the existing and proposed per planning and development
ior the following reasons: 1. The proposed development, on this reduced development, on this reduced development insufficient but the first result of the first resul	estricted site, within ilding line clearance coordance with the proure the amenities of t	the curtilage of an existing to the existing and proposed per planning and development
for the following reasons: 1. The proposed development, on this reduced dwelling house with insufficient but the first sould not be in as	estricted site, within ilding line clearance coordance with the proure the amenities of t	the curtilage of an existing to the existing and proposed per planning and development
for the following reasons: 1. The proposed development, on this reduced dwelling house with insufficient but the first sould not be in as	estricted site, within ilding line clearance coordance with the proure the amenities of t	the curtilage of an existing to the existing and proposed per planning and development
for the following reasons: 1. The proposed development, on this reduced development, on this reduced development insufficient but the first result and be in as	estricted site, within ilding line clearance coordance with the proure the amenities of t	the curtilage of an existing to the existing and proposed per planning and development
for the following reasons: 1. The proposed development, on this reduced dwelling house with insufficient but the first sould not be in as	estricted site, within ilding line clearance coordance with the proure the amenities of t	the curtilage of an existing to the existing and proposed per planning and development
for the following reasons: 1. The proposed development, on this reduced dwelling house with insufficient but the first section of the sectio	estricted site, within ilding line clearance coordance with the proure the amenities of t	the curtilage of an existing to the existing and proposed per planning and development

for PRINCIPAL OFFICER

Date 10th June, 1982.

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of g by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal e in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Holbrook House, Holles Street, Dublin 2 and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.