COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER			REGISTER REFERENCE
1. LOCATION	Ballymorefinn, Glenasmole, Co. Dublin.			
2. PROPOSAL	Bungalow and septic t	ank	·	
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE Date Received	(a) Rec		r Particulars (b) Received
	P 22nd April,1982	2		2
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Gerard O'Brien, Address 183, Corrib Road, Terenure, Dublin 6.			
5. APPLICANT	Name Gerard Goodwin , Address Ballymorefinn , G			
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/1565/82 Date 18th June, 19	82		June, 1982
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.	: 2= 11	Notified Effect	
8. APPEAL	Notified 30th July, 19 Type 1st Party,	82	An E	dission refused by Bord Pleanala, Nov., 1982
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	::	Decision Effect	:
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register			
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register	:		
12. PURCHASE NOTICE				
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT				
14				
15.			·······	
 Prepared by	Date	**************	***************************************	

AN BORD PLEANALA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1982

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: X.A. 789

APPEAL by Gerard Goodwin of Ballymorefinn, Glenasmole, County Dublin, against the decision made on the 18th day of June, 1982, by the Council of the County of Dublin to refuse permission for the erection of a bungalow on a site at Ballymorefinn, Glenasmole, County Dublin:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development)
Acts, 1963 to 1982, permission is hereby refused for the erection of the said bungalow for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

- 1. The site is in the Dublin Mountain zone in an area designated in the county development plan as one of high scenic amenity. It is the policy of the planning authority that any development in this area not directly related to its amenity potential or its use for agriculture, mountain or hill farming should be prohibited. This policy is considered reasonable and the proposed development would be in conflict with it and seriously injurious to the visual amenities of the area.
- 2. The site is in an area served by an extremely substandard road network and the generation of additional traffic-turning movements by the proposed development on this substandard road network would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard.
- 3. The proposed development would result in a build up of development in an unserviced area on the slopes leading down to the Glenasmole Reservoir. Such development would add to the risk of pollution of the water in the reservoir and it would accordingly be prejudicial to public health.

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this & day of November 1982

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

Telephone 724755

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Block 2 Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street Dublin 1

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

Mr. G. Goodwin,		
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Dallymorefine.	Register Reference No.	XA 789
Glenasmole,	Planning Control No	
Co. Dublin.	Application Received	22/4/82
APPLICANT Mrs. C. Ct. T. A.	· Additional Int. Dane	
APPLICANT Mr. G. Goodwin.	Additional IIII. Mecg	
APPLICANT Mr. G. Goodwin.		in the second
In pursuance of its formation		
m paradance of its junctions under the above manifest a		_
Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/A/1565/82 decide to refuse:	dated18/	6/82.
CINETULA RECEDIAN ANGLOGISTS		
Proposed bungalow and senting tout	ERMISSION	XXXXXXXXXX
Proposed bungalow and septic tank at Bally	morefinn, Glenasmo	ole.
****	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
for the following reasons:		
2. The site is located in an area zoned "high The residential development proposed in a rural vices are not available and where the existing	Toad persons deal	hiped Marel of sewerage ser-
inadequate and would contravene materially the the proper planning and development of the area the area.	road network, desi above objective, v and would serious?	igned to serve rural needs is would not be in accordance with
inadequate and would contravene materially the the proper planning and development of the area the area. 3. Public piped water supply or sewerage facilities of the area few powers.	road network, desi above objective, v and would serious? ities are not evai	igned to serve rural needs is sould not be in accordance with injure the amenities of
inadequate and would contravene materially the the proper planning and development of the area the area. Public piped water supply or sewerage facilities of the proposed development would be premature in the provision of water and sewerage facilities uch deficiency may reasonably be expected.	road network, desi above objective, v and would serious! ities are not avail by reason of the	igned to serve rural needs is sould not be in accordance willy injure the amenities of lable to serve the proposed said existing deficiency within which such
inadequate and would contravene materially the the proper planning and development of the area the area. Public piped water supply or sewerage facilities the proposed development would be premature in the provision of water and sewerage facilities uch deficiency may reasonably be expected to be the proposed development.	road network, designative, we above objective, we and would serious? Ities are not evailable by reason of the sea and the period is made good.	igned to serve rural needs is sould not be in accordance wi y injure the amenities of lable to serve the proposed said existing deficiency winths within which such
inadequate and would contravene materially the the proper planning and development of the area the area. Public piped water supply or sewerage facilities opment. The proposed development would be premature uch deficiency may reasonably be expected to be the proposed development served by a substantial safety by reason of traffic hazard because urning movements on this inadequate road network. The proposed development which envisages additionally the proposed development which envisages additionally the proposed development which envisages additionally the Dublin Corporation's water works the public water supply and would be prefudice.	road network, designative, and would serious! Ities are not evailable and the period and the period and hazardous of the generations, itional septic tanks. Ities are not evailable and hazardous and hazardous and hazardous are of the generations. Itional septic tanks are and the likely to incress the likely to incress a catchment area.	igned to serve rural needs is sould not be in accordance willy injure the amenities of lable to serve the proposed said existing deficiency which within which such on of additional traffic at drainage within the ease the level of toxic which would be detrimental
inadequate and would contravene materially the the proper planning and development of the area. Bublic piped water supply or sewerage facilities opposed development would be premature in the provision of water and sewerage facilities uch deficiency may reasonably be expected to be the proposed development served by a substantial safety by reason of traffic hazard because urning movements on this inadequate road network. The proposed development which envisages additionally the proposed development which envisages additionally the Dublin Corporation's water work the public water supply and would be prejudicing signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council	road network, designative, was above objective, wand would serious! ities are not evail by reason of the sea and the period and the period and hazardous of the generations, itional septic tank, itional septic tank alikely to incress Catchment area, ial to public heal	igned to serve rural needs is sould not be in accordance willy injure the amenities of lable to serve the proposed said existing deficiency which within which such on of additional traffic at drainage within the ease the level of toxic which would be detrimental
inadequate and would contravene materially the the proper planning and development of the area. Bublic piped water supply or sewerage facilities opened. The proposed development would be premature in the provision of water and sewerage facilities uch deficiency may reasonably be expected to be the proposed development served by a substantial safety by reason of traffic hazard because urning movements on this inadequate road network. The proposed development which envisages additionally the proposed development which envisages additionally the Dublin Corporation's water work of the public water supply and would be prejudic.	road network, designative, and would serious! Ities are not evailable and the period and the period and hazardous of the generations, itional septic tanks. Ities are not evailable and hazardous and hazardous and hazardous are of the generations. Itional septic tanks are and the likely to incress the likely to incress a catchment area.	igned to serve rural needs is sould not be in accordance will y injure the amenities of lable to serve the proposed said existing deficiency which within which such on of additional traffic ak drainage within the
inadequate and would contravene materially the the proper planning and development of the area the area. 3. Public piped water supply or sewerage facilities opposed development would be prematured in the provision of water and sewerage facilities uch deficiency may reasonably be expected to be a proposed development served by a substantial safety by reason of traffic hazard because training movements on this inadequate road network. The proposed development which envisages additionally the proposed development which envisages additution in the Dublin Corporation's Water Work the public water supply and would be prejudic figured on behalf of the Dublin County Council	road network, designative, and would serious? ities are not evailable and the period and the period and hazardous of the generations, itional septic tanks, itional septic tanks alikely to incress Catchment area, ial to public heal	igned to serve rural needs is sould not be in accordance will y injure the amenities of lable to serve the proposed said existing deficiency which within which such so of additional traffic on of additional traffic which would be detrimental th.

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of the property by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala will be addressed to An Bord has not been withdrawn An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.