COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER XA.986.			
. LOCATION	Whitehall, Garranstown, Clondalkin			
2. PROPOSAL	Office development.			
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE Date Received (a) Requested (b) Received			
	P 21.May, 1982. 1			
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Keenan, FitzGerald & Associates. Address 4, Herbert Place, D.2.			
5. APPLICANT	Name John McDonnell. Address Ballymount House, Garranstown, Clondalkan.			
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/1827/82 Notified 20th July, 1982 Date 20th July, 1982 Effect To refuse permis	sion,		
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Date Notified Effect			
8. APPEAL	Notified 31st Aug., 1982 Type 1st Party, Decision Permission ref An Bord Pleans Effect 19th July, 198	ala		
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of Decision application Effect			
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register			
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register			
12. PURCHASE NOTICE		·		
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT				
14.				
15.		Poo		
Prepared by				

Future Print 475588

AN BORD PLEANALA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1983

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: X.A. 986

APPEAL by John McDonnell, of Ballymount House, Clondalkin, County Dublin, against the decision made on the 20th day of July, 1982, by the Council of the County of Dublin to refuse permission for office development at Whitehall, Garranstown, Clondalkin, County Dublin:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1983, permission is hereby refused for the said office development for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

- 1. The site is located in an area zoned to protect and provide for the development of agriculture and to protect and improve high amenity areas in the Dublin County Development Plan. The main purpose of the planning authority's zoning policy for the area is to secure that there will be an open green belt between the new towns of Tallaght and Clondalkin. This policy is considered reasonable and the proposed development would be in conflict with it.
- 2. The proposed development would be premature by reason of the existing deficiency in the provision of piped sewerage facilities in the area and the period within which such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.
- 3. The proposed development would be premature pending the completion of the major road network in this area.
- 4. The proposed development would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area because its implementation would severely inhibit the establishment / viability of the planned office area in Tallaght Town Centre as designated in the County Development Plan. The Board considers the provisions of the plan reasonable in this case.

John Stayer

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 19 day of July

1984.

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL



in the first instance.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
Block 2
Irish Life Centre
Lower Abbey Street

Dublin 1

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

BUTTHEFERMISSION:

PERMISSION:

APPROVACE

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

То;		5 S	
Keens	m, Fitzgerald & Assocs.,	Register Reference	No XA.986
4, He	erbert Place,	Planning Control N	No
Dub1:	in 2.	Application Receiv	21/5/*82
	*******	Additional Inf. Re	cd
	Mr. J.	McDonnell	
In Assance o	of its functions under the above mentioned Acts the District of Dublin, did by order, P/ A/1827/82.	e Dublin County Co	ouncil, being the Planning Authority for the 20/7/*82
decide to refuse		ERMISSION	XXXXXXXXX
For. Proj	posed office development at Whiteha	11. Garransto	wn, Clondalkin.
agriculture development accordance injurious 2. Public 3. The proint the proint the proint the proint are proint are proint are due turning mode. Details systems, h 7. The plan	e is located in an area moned "to pe" and "to preserve open space ament proposed would contravene material with the proper planning and development area. piped services are not available to posed development would be premature vision of sewerage and water facility may reasonably be expected to be apposed development is premature pynemature by the case of industrial development would to the generation of additional versents on the existing inadequate of water supply and drainage, both are not been submitted. In a submitted do not indicate that sublic road network.	ity"in the Devily these objectionment of the propert of the complete the commerce of the propert of the commerce of the propert of the propert of the commerce of the property of the commerce of the property of the commerce of the property of t	velopment Plan. The commercial actives, would not be in area and would be seriously posal. of the said existing deficiency period within which such etion of the major road network ic safety by reason of traffic ial and industrial traffic and face water, including disposal
		i	
Signed on beh	half of the Dublin County Council for	PRINCIPAL OFFIC	ER
_	_ Da	te 20th July,	1982.

E: An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Irish Life Centre, Dublin 1, and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn, An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them