CORPORATION OF DUBLIN

ĺ	
44	
- 11	
3.4	140
- 2	*
3.7.1	8
7/5	
500	- 200
*200	
2	
· · · · ·	
	12
- 3	~
uit.di	
(8) A	
Vr. 💆	
1 2	
13	
16	
8 6	
115	
E (X	
a	
4	
7 4 8	
9ŧ	
) <u>-</u> 1	
[] w \$8	
1	

	PLAN NO.	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLAN DEVELOPMENT) ACTS 196	3-1983 85/4/18267
	1027/85	PLANNING REGISTER (Parit 1)
	1. LOCATION	62 Crannagh Park, Dublin 14.	S GRID REF.
	2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	dwelling at rear	PREPARED BY: ML CHECKED BY: K.S
	3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYRE	Date Further Particulars: a) Requested (b) Received
1		1	2
	4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Lynwood House, Ballinteer Road, Dublin 16.	, tbn.,
	5. APPLICANT	Name J. O'Kane, 9 Greenville Road Address Blackrock, Co. Dublin.	1,
	6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. & DATE P. 2032. 19th August, 1985. Date NOTIFIED 19th August, 1985.	(SEE OPPOSITE).
	7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. & DATE Date NOTIFIED	EFFECT
	8. APPEAL	NOTIFICATION TO CORPORATION	Decision
	9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	Decision
	10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register	
	11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register	
1)	12. PURCHASE NOTICE		
	13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT		
	14.		DATE OF ISSUE OF COPY
	15.		CERTIFYING OFFICER
	16.	no name (FINANCE OFFICER AND TREASURER'S RECEIPT NO

CORPORATION OF DUBLIN

Order of the Assistant City and County Manager

Local Government (Planning & Development) Acts 1963/82, Local Government (Dublin) Acts 1930-1955

& & Alla from

	7 3 Aire
RECOMMENDATION:	Decision Order No
hereby endorse the recommendation	n of the all/lace 16/8/4
Development Control Assistant Grau	21/6/83
TO REFUSE Permission	in respect of the Application received on
for reasons,	for the development proposed in Plan No./Reg. No
by Applicant	9 Greenville Boed, Blackrock, Co. Dublin.
construct dwelling	at rear of 62 Crumagh Park, Dublin 14.
namely to:	
ANALIS MANIEM I METALATAKAN ANTANAN KANAN ANTANAN KANAN KANAN KANAN KANAN KANAN KANAN KANAN KANAN KANAN KANAN A	10 1 inlar
Signed:	Principal Officer. Date: 19/8/85
	mendation of the Principal Officer, I decide that having regard to the provisions which are
	Plan, the above proposal would be contrary to proper planning and development and I,
5	Permission therefor
under the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts 1963/82 for thereasons stated below.
	REASONS

1. The proposed dwelling would be located above or adjacent to an existing 12" public sever which runs across the site and the proposal would therefore be prejudicial to public healt and accordingly would not be in accordance with the proper planning and development of the area.

Date $\frac{\zeta_1}{|\hat{q}|} \frac{|\hat{q}|}{|\hat{q}|} \frac{|\hat{q}|}{|\hat{q}|}$