# CORPORATION OF DUBLIN

	CONFORM 19 DOZE	
PLAN NO.	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING DEVELOPMENT) ACTS 1963 - 19	983
1542/85 (377/85)	PLANNING REGISTER (Part 1)	-85 A 1 1883 T
(377/85)		O.S.NO.
1. LOCATION	17 Loreto Terrace, S Rathfarnham, Dublin 14.	GRID REF
2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	2 semi detached houses on site.	derelict CHECKED BY:
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	1 2	Date Further Particulars : quested (b) Received  1
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name James V.N. Looney, 17 Prussia St., Address Dublin 7.	tbn.,
5. APPLICANT	Name Mr. C. Cronin, C/o 17 Prussia St., Dublin 7.	
6. DECISION -	O.C.M. No. & DATE P. 2773.  11th November, 1985.  Date NOTIFIED  11th November. 1985.	(SEE OPPOSITE).
7. GRANT	O.G.M. No. & DATE  Date NOTIFIED	EFFECT
8. APPEAL  Date of An Bord Pl	NOTIFICATION TO  CORPORATION  corporation:   2   2   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5	Decision PERMISSION REFUSED. (SEE OPPOSITE).
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	Decision
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register	
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register	
12. PURCHASE NOTICE		
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT		DATE OF ISSUE OF COPY
14.		CERTIFYING OFFICER
15.		FINANCE OFFICER AND
16.	- -	TREASURER'S RECEIPT NO.

# CORPORATION OF DUBLIN

Order of the Assistant City and County Manager
Local Government (Planning & Development) Acts 1963/82, Local Government (Dublin) Acts 1930-1955

Local Government (Planning	& Development) Acts 1963/82, Local Government (2007)	a) 59 o <u>200</u>
	Decision Order No	Date
IMENDATION:	on of the	11/11) Date
by endorse the recommendation of the control Assistant Gra	on of the de 1/Planning Assistant Grade 1:	13/9/45
71 mm 1 0 4 1 6 10	in recnect of the Application to	4 E L 2 / 2 5
EFUSE	for the development proposed in Plan No./Reg.	No
reasons,	17 Pressia Street. Deblin	Marian P
plicant	, c/o 17 Pressia Street, Deblin / of semi-deteched houses on derelict site a	t 17 Loreto Terrace,
construct tw		18
efarnham, Dublin 14.		
		A = 300 M = 11 add pg = 2 o lik mags
	2	
		Control Commence to a control to the
		ulales
	TY of Principal Offi	icer Date:
ed:	commendation of the Principal Officer, I decide that having re	egard to the provisions which are
DER: In accordance with the re-	The the above proposal would be contrary to proper p	lanning and development and i,
included in the Developm	USE Permission	vaccous stated below.
therefore, decide 10 KEP	CUSE	EdoUno atato
TIUTED SHE TOCAL DO	=	
. By reason of its size, be two proposed bouses evelopment, It would the	REASONS  height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper refore not be consistent with the proper actionsly injurious to the residential	memities of the edjoin
. By reason of its size, be two proposed bouses evelopment, It would the f the area and would be properties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with refore not be consistent with the proper seriously injurious to the residential	ouses would give rise t
. By reason of its size, be two proposed bouses evelopment, It would the f the eres and would be properties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper refore not be consistent with the proper a seriously injurious to the residential	ouses would give rise t
. By reason of its size, he two proposed houses evelopment, It would the fine eres and would be roperties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper a seriously injurious to the residential int and location the reasward of the two in if the rear windows and garden of the edjo ously injurious to the residential amount	ouses would give rise t
. By reason of its size, he two proposed houses evelopment, It would the fine eres and would be roperties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper a seriously injurious to the residential int and location the reasward of the two in if the rear windows and garden of the edjo ously injurious to the residential amount	ouses would give rise t
. By reason of its size, he two proposed houses evelopment, It would the fine eres and would be roperties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with refore not be consistent with the proper seriously injurious to the residential	ouses would give rise t
. By reason of its size, be two proposed bouses evelopment, It would the f the area and would be properties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper a seriously injurious to the residential int and location the reasward of the two in if the rear windows and garden of the edjo ously injurious to the residential amount	ouses would give rise to ining two-storey house ies of this property.
. By reason of its size, he two proposed houses evelopment, It would the fine eres and would be roperties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper a seriously injurious to the residential int and location the reasward of the two in if the rear windows and garden of the edjo ously injurious to the residential amount	ouses would give rise to ining two-storey house ies of this property.
by reason of its size, he two proposed houses evelopment. It would the face and would be roperties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper a seriously injurious to the residential int and location the reasward of the two in if the rear windows and garden of the edjo ously injurious to the residential amount	ouses would give rise to ining two-storey house ies of this property.
. By reason of its size, he two proposed houses evelopment, It would the fine eres and would be roperties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper a seriously injurious to the residential int and location the reasward of the two in if the rear windows and garden of the edjo ously injurious to the residential amount	ouses would give rise to ining two-storey house ies of this property.
by reason of its size, he two proposed houses evelopment. It would the face and would be roperties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper a seriously injurious to the residential int and location the reasward of the two in if the rear windows and garden of the edjo ously injurious to the residential amount	ouses would give rise to ining two-storey house ies of this property.
. By reason of its size, he two proposed houses evelopment, It would the fine eres and would be roperties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper a seriously injurious to the residential int and location the reasward of the two in if the rear windows and garden of the edjo ously injurious to the residential amount	ouses would give rise to ining two-storey house ies of this property.
. By reason of its size, he two proposed houses evelopment, It would the fine eres and would be roperties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper a seriously injurious to the residential int and location the reasward of the two in if the rear windows and garden of the edjo ously injurious to the residential amount	ouses would give rise to ining two-storey house ies of this property.
. By reason of its size, he two proposed houses evelopment, It would the fine eres and would be roperties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper a seriously injurious to the residential int and location the reasward of the two in if the rear windows and garden of the edjo ously injurious to the residential amount	ouses would give rise to ining two-storey house ies of this property.
. By reason of its size, he two proposed houses evelopment, It would the face and would be roperties.	height and mass together with the spatis the proposal would be out of keeping with trefore not be consistent with the proper a seriously injurious to the residential int and location the reasward of the two in if the rear windows and garden of the edjo ously injurious to the residential amount	ouses would give rise to ining two-storey house ies of this property.
. By reason of its size, he two proposed houses evelopment, It would the fine eres and would be roperties.	height and mass together with the spatis, the proposal would be out of keeping with the proper seriously injurious to the residential the and location the reasward of the two he is the reas windows and garden of the adjously injurious to the residential amenit	ouses would give rise to iming two-storey house ies of this property.
be two proposed houses evelopment. It would the the area and would be roperties.  2. by virtue of its beignerious overshedowing of the serious overshedowing oversh	height and mass together with the spatis, the proposal would be out of keeping with a proper a seriously injurious to the residential that and location the reasward of the two has the reas windows and garden of the adjountly injurious to the residential menit	puses would give rise to iming two-storey house ies of this property.

#### AN BORD PLEANALA

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1983

Dublin County Borough

Planning Register Reference Number: 1542/85

APPEAL by C. Cronin care of 17 Prussia Street, Dublin, against the decision made on the 11th day of November, 1985, by the Right Honourable the Lord Mayor, Aldermen and Burgesses of Dublin, to refuse permission for development comprising the erection of two semi-detached houses on site number 17 Loreto Terrace, Rathfarnham, Dublin:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1983, permission is hereby refused for the said development for the reason set out in the Schedule hereto.

### SCHEDULE

The proposal would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area as the erection of two dwellinghouses as proposed would be out of keeping with adjoining residential development by reason of their location, excessive size, height and mass. Therefore the proposal would result in serious injury to the residential amenity of adjoining property.

Member of An Bord Pleanála duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this I W day of April

1986.