COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER REGISTER REFERENCE XA,1122		
1. LOCATION	41 Dangan Park, Kimmage, Dublin 12		
2. PROPOSAL	3 No. 2 bed apartments		
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE Date Received Date Further Particulars (b) Received 1. 1. P. 10.6.82 2. 2.		
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Mr. T. O'Hara, Address 7 Ontario Terrace, Dublin 6		
5. APPLICANT	Name Mr. W. Grant, Address 41 Dangan Park, Dublin 12.		
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. PA/2023/82 Notified 9th August, 1982 Date 9 th August, 1982 Effect To refuse permission		
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Notified Date Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified 1st Sept., 1982 Type 1st Party, Decision Permission refused by An Bord Pleanala Effect 3rd May, 1983		
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of Decision application Effect		
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register		
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register		
12. PURCHASE NOTICE			
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT			
14.			
15.			
Prepared by			

Future Print 475588

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1982

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: X.A. 1122

APPEAL by William Grant of 41, Dangan Park, Dublin against the decision made on the 9th day of August, 1982, by the Council of the County of Dublin to refuse permission for development comprising the erection of three two-bedroomed apartments at 41, Dangan Park, Kimmage, Dublin.

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1982, permission is bereby refused for the said development for the reasons set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

- 1. The proposed development would result in an excessive density of development with a consequent inadequate level of residential amenity by reason of restricted garden depth thereby depriving the existing house on the site of adequate private garden space and resulting in an adverse effect on visual amenities by reason of the excessive amount of front garden area it is proposed to tarmacadam.
- 2. The proposed development would seriously injure the residential amenity of adjoining property by reason of overshadowing.

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 3rd day of hay 1983.

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL



PLANNING DEPARTMENT
Block 2
Irish Life Centre
Lower Abbey Street

Dublin 1

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

CONTRIBUTE PERMISSION: YARRENGY AVE

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 & 1976

Tony O'Hara,	Register Reference No	XX 1122	
7 Ontario Tce.,			
Dublin 6.			
******************************		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
APPLIC NT Grant Esq.,	,,		
In suance of its functions under the above mentioned A County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/Al.202	Acts the Dublin County Cour	cil, being the Planning Authority for the	
decide to refuse:	PERMISSION	ARREQ XXXX	
for the following reasons:	in an area zoned "to	preserve and improve residenti	ial to
for the following reasons: 1. The proposed development is located amenity and to provide for residential develop two storey apartments on an inaddwelling houses would contravene material proper planning and development of the asserted	in an area zoned "to evelopment" in the D equate site in an	preserve and improve residenti evelopment Plan. The proposal i estate of single storey, single eves. would be contrary to the	le .
for the following reasons: 1. The proposed development is located amenity and to provide for residential develop two storey apartments on an inaddwelling houses would contravene material proper planning and development of the among adjoining residential premises. 2. The proposed structure on a confined storey dwellinghouse would by reason of the among the among the among the among the proposed structure of the proposed structure on the proposed structure of the proposed structure	in an area zoned "to evelopment" in the D equate site in an ally the above object rea and would be ser and inadequate site its height and bulk, roper planning and d	preserve and improve residentice velopment Plan. The proposal is estate of single storey, single ves, would be contrary to the iously injurious to the ameniticate the rear of an existing single location in close proximitate to the area and	le . les
i. The proposed development is located amenity and to provide for residential develop two storey apartments on an inaddwelling houses would contravene material proper planning and development of the amenitary of adjoining residential premises. 2. The proposed structure on a confined storey dwellinghouse would by reason of to bioining houses be contrary to the parameters of injurious to the amenities of the proposed development on a small walk about dwellings or for open space pressure about dwellings or for open space pressure about dwellings or for open space pressure as the same of the same of the proposed development on a small walk about dwellings or for open space pressure as the same of	in an area zoned "to evelopment" in the D equate site in an ally the above object rea and would be ser and inadequate site its height and bulk, roper planning and dadjoining properties and inadequate site	preserve and improve residentice velopment Plan. The proposal is estate of single storey, single ives, would be contrary to the iously injurious to the ameniticate the rear of an existing single its location in close proximitate evelopment of the area and does not provide for space when does not provide for space when	les ngle ty
for the following reasons: 1. The proposed development is located amenity and to provide for residential develop two storey apartments on an inadedwelling houses would contravene material proper planning and development of the atof adjoining residential premises. 2. The proposed structure on a confined storey dwellinghouse would by reason of to mining houses be contrary to the parameters of injurious to the amenities of the proposed development on a small make about dwellings or for open space procounty Development Plan.	in an area zoned "to evelopment" in the D equate site in an ally the above object rea and would be ser and inadequate site its height and bulk, roper planning and dadjoining properties and inadequate site	preserve and improve residentice velopment Plan. The proposal is estate of single storey, single ives, would be contrary to the iously injurious to the ameniticate the rear of an existing single its location in close proximitate evelopment of the area and does not provide for space when does not provide for space when	ie . ies ngie ty

for PRINCIPAL OFFICER

Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council

in the first instance.

FUTURE PRINT