COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 & 1976 PLANNING REGISTER			REGISTER REFERENCE XA 1700	
	1. LOCATION	The M	The Meat Centre, Main St., Rathcoole, Co. Dublin.			
	2. PROPOSAL	Bunga				
	3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received	(a) Req		er Particulars (b) Received
		0P	6th Sept., 1982			2
	4. SUBMITTED BY		John L. Griffith & Partners, Archs., 11, Clare St., Dublin 2.			
	5. APPLICANT	Name Mr. J. O'Rourke, Address Riverside House, Old Bridge, Road, Templeogue, Dublin 16.				
	6. DECISION	O.C.M. I	O.C.M. No. PA/2748/82 Date 3rd Nov., 1982		Notified 4th Nov., 1982 Effect To refuse o. permission,	
H	7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Date		Notified Effect		
	8. APPEAL	Notified Type	2nd Dec., 1982 1st Party,	2	by A	grant O. permission An Bord Pleanala, n August, 1983.
	9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of applicati	ion		Decision Effect	,
	10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register				
	1. ENFORCEMENT Ref. in Enforcement Register					
	12. PURCHASE NOTICE					
	13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT					
	14.					
	15.					
	Prepared by		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Registrar
<u>L</u>	iture Orine A75588		Co. Accts. Receipt	No		#PI#P+II:

Future Print 475588

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1982

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: X.A. 1700

APPEAL by J. O'Rourke of Riverside House, Old Bridge Road, Templeogue, Dublin against the decision made on the 3rd day of November, 1982, by the Council of the County of Dublin to refuse outline permission for the erection of a bungalow on a site off Main Street, Rathcoole, County Dublin in accordance with plans and particulars' lodged with the said Council:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1982, it is hereby decided, for the reason set out in the First Schedule hereto, to grant outline permission for the erection of the said bungalow in accordance with the said plans and particulars, subject to the condition specified in column 1 of the Second Schedule hereto, the reason for the imposition of the said condition being as set out in column 2 of the said Second Schedule and the said outline permission is hereby granted subject to the said condition.

FIRST SCHEDULE

It is considered that the proposed development would be of an infill nature and would, provided the detailed plans and particulars to be submitted for approval are satisfactory, be in accordance with the proper planning and development of the area.

SECOND SCHEDULE

Column 1 - Condition

The developer shall pay a sum of money to the Dublin County Council as a contribution towards the provision of a public water supply and piped sewerage facilities in the area. The amount to be paid and arrangements for payment shall be as agreed between the developer and the said Council before the development is commenced or, failing agreement, shall be as determined by An Bord Pleanala.

Column 2 - Reason for Condition

The provision of such services in the area by the Council will facilitate the proposed development. It is considered reasonable that the developer should contribute towards the cost of providing the services.

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 30 day of Aug. 1983.

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL



PLANNING DEPARTMENT Block 2 Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street

Dublin 1

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

OUTLINE PERMISSION: KKKKKKKKKK:

То;		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
John L. Griffith & Partners	Register Reference N	oXA,1700
Architects.	Planning Control No.	************
11. Clare St	Application Received	6/9/182
Dublin 2.	Additional Inf. Recd.	************
APPLICANT		
In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts the County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/ 1/2748/82.	Dublin County Coun	cil, being the Planning Authority for the 3/11/*82
	KPUNYSKKIK	APPENDENCE.
The proposed development would be premature the provision of public piped foul sewerage falleficiency may reasonably be expected to be made the proposed development with inadequate and anadequate noise clearance from the Naas Road would not be in accordance with the proper plants.	cilities and the de good. Id unsatisfactor Dual Carriagewa	e period within which such y access arrangements and with y. National Primary Route N7
the provision of public piped foul sewerage falleficiency may reasonably be expected to be made. The proposed development with inadequate and inadequate and analysis of the Nass Road.	cilities and the de good. Id unsatisfactor Dual Carriagewa	e period within which such y access arrangements and with y. National Primary Route N7
the provision of public piped foul sewerage falleficiency may reasonably be expected to be made. The proposed development with inadequate and inadequate and analysis of the Nass Road.	cilities and the de good. Id unsatisfactor Dual Carriagewa	e period within which such y access arrangements and with y. National Primary Route N7
the provision of public piped foul sewerage falleficiency may reasonably be expected to be made. The proposed development with inadequate and inadequate and analysis of the Nass Road.	cilities and the de good. Id unsatisfactor Dual Carriagewa	e period within which such y access arrangements and with y. National Primary Route N7
the provision of public piped foul sewerage falleficiency may reasonably be expected to be made. The proposed development with inadequate and inadequate and analysis of the Nass Road.	cilities and the de good. Id unsatisfactor Dual Carriagewa	e period within which such y access arrangements and with y. National Primary Route N7
the provision of public piped foul sewerage falleficiency may reasonably be expected to be made. The proposed development with inadequate and inadequate and analysis of the Nass Road.	cilities and the de good. Id unsatisfactor Dual Carriagewa	e period within which such y access arrangements and with y. National Primary Route N7
the provision of public piped foul sewerage falleficiency may reasonably be expected to be made. The proposed development with inadequate and inadequate and analysis of the Nass Road.	cilities and the de good. Id unsatisfactor Dual Carriagewa	e period within which such y access arrangements and with y. National Primary Route N7

Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council for PRINCIPAL OFFICER

Date...4th November, 1982.

An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of opt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Irish Life Centre, Dublin 1, and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn. An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.