COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

<u></u>		MAIRLE CHON	IAC	ATHA CLIAT	<b>H</b> .
P. C. Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLAN DEVELOPMENT) ACT 196: PLANNING REGISTE			8 & 1976	REGISTER REFERENCE
1. LOCATION	Wes	stmanstown, Lucan.			5
2. PROPOSAL	ij se s <b>Bun</b>				
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received	(a) Re	Date Furthe quested	er Particulars (b) Received
	P	21st Sep. 1982.	1	************************	1
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name W.D.C. White.  Address 8, Grove Park Avenue, Glasnevin, D.11.				
5. APPLICANT	Name Addres	Mrs. M. Foley.	·	,	
6. DECISION	O.C.M. Date	No. PA/2881/82 19th Nov., 198	2		Nov., 1982
7. GRANT	O.C.M. Date			Notified Effect	efuse permission,
8. APPEAL	Notified Type	18th Jan., 198	3	An Bo	ssion refused by ord Pleanala Aug., 1983
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of			Decision Effect	
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register				
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register				
12. PURCHASE NOTICE					
3. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT					
4.					
5.			<del></del>		
Prepared by	1				Registrar.
Checked by				4==05====004==0>=====	***************************************
re Print 475588		Co. Accts. Receipt No	*********	********	

Future Print 476588

Co. Accts. Receipt No .....

### AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1982

#### County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: X.A. 1799

APPEAL by Mary Foley of Railway House, Westmanstown, Lucan, County Dublin against the decision made on the 19th day of November, 1982, by the Council of the County of Dublin to refuse permission for the erection of a house on a site at Westmanstown, Lucan, County Dublin:

<u>DECISION:</u> Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1982, <u>permission is hereby refused</u> for the erection of the said house for the reason set out in the Schedule hereto.

#### SCHEDULE

The Board by order dated 13th November, 1980 (Planning Register Reference Number: S.A. 808) granted a permission for the erection of a house on part of the field of which the site forms part and, in so doing, the Board had regard to the appellant's expressed intention that the entire field would be used for purposes which would accord with the agricultural zoning of the area. The present proposal to erect a second house in the field would be at variance with the terms of the said order, would conflict with the zoning of the area and would militate against the preservation of the rural environment.

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 10 day of August 1983

## ne 724755 Ext.: 262/264

# DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Block 2 Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street Dublin 1

### NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

PERMISSION:

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1983-1982

	*		
W.D.C. White,		lo XA 1799	
8 Grove Park Avenue.			
Glasnevin.	Application Receive	21/9/82	•
	Additional Inf. Reco	1	•
APPLICANT Mrs. Mary Foley.			
In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned County Health District of Dublin, did by order, P/4/.288	Ages the Dublin County Cou	ncil being the Planning Authority for th	1e
decide to refuse:	PERMISSION	XXXXXXXXXX.	
Forbungalow.at.Westmanstown, Lucan			
be warranted" - Reg. Ref. SA 80 The proposal now to locate a further housed for agricultural wait purposes wor	ittem fir that atem in	tended and proposed to be	
2. The site of the proposed development to provide for the further development inconsistent with this zoning objective rural environment.  3. There are no piped sewerage facilities.  4. The proposed development would be print the public piped sewerage facilities reasonably be expected to be made goods. Proposals are unacceptable to the Canada and Percolation ix area is located with the Contours of site have not been indicated.	t is located in an art of agriculture". It of agriculture". It and would militate ies available to servemature by reason or and the period with the following in 60° of proposed departed regarding located regarding located regarding located.	rea zoned in the Development The proposed development is against the preservation of we the proposal. If the said existing deficient which such deficiency was for the following reasons: welling.	the cy y
2. The site of the proposed development to provide for the further development inconsistent with this zoning objective rural environment.  3. There are no piped sewerage facilities.  4. The proposed development would be printed in the public piped sewerage facilities reasonably be expected to be made goods. Proposals are unacceptable to the Cas. Percolation ix area is located with b. Contours of site have not been indicated. The proposed development would generate.	t is located in an att of agriculture".  t and would militate  ies available to ser  remature by reason of  s and the period with  d.  hief Medical Officer  in 60° of proposed de  capted regarding loc  rate additional traf	rea zoned in the Development The proposed development is against the preservation of we the proposal. If the said existing deficient which such deficiency was for the following reasons: welling.	the cy y
2. The site of the proposed development to provide for the further development inconsistent with this zoning objective rural environment.  3. There are no piped sewerage facilities.  4. The proposed development would be print the public piped sewerage facilities reasonably be expected to be made goods. Proposals are unacceptable to the Care Percolation ix area is located with	t is located in an at of agriculture". to agriculture". to and would militate les available to ser remature by reason of and the period with the feed of proposed do casted regarding locate additional traffic hazard.	rea zoned in the Development The proposed development is against the preservation of we the proposal. If the said existing deficient hin which such deficiency ma for the following reasons: welling. ation of percolation areas. fic turning movements on a Contd	the cy y
2. The site of the proposed development to provide for the further development inconsistent with this zoning objective rural environment.  3. There are no piped sewerage facilities.  4. The proposed development would be print the public piped sewerage facilities reasonably be expected to be made goods. Proposals are unacceptable to the Ca. Percolation ix area is located with b. Contours of site have not been indicated. The proposed development would gene narrow country road thereby causing a	t is located in an art of agriculture". The and would militate ies available to serve remature by reason or and the period with the following of proposed dept ed regarding locate additional traftic hazard.	rea zoned in the Development The proposed development is against the preservation of we the proposal. If the said existing deficient hin which such deficiency man for the following reasons: welling. ation of percolation areas. fic turning movements on a  Contd/	the cy y

An appeal against the decision may be made to An Bord Pleanala by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to An Bord Pleanala, Irish Life Centre, Dublin 1, and accompanied by a deposit of £10. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn, An Bord Pleanala will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to them in the first instance.

V

7. The proposed development would constitute undesirable ribbon development along a per rural road which is contrary to Couincil policy.

A Kanj

I I - -