

COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS 1963 -1991
PLANNING REGISTER

REGISTER REFERENCE : 92B/0575

LOCATION : 20 Whitehall Park, Dublin 12

PROPOSAL : Permission to increase pitch of roof to existing
extension

APP. TYPE : Permission

DATE RECEIVED : 06.05.92

AGENT NAME & ADDRESS
John Fortune,
20 Whitehall Park,
Dublin 12APPLICANT NAME & ADDRESS
John Fortune
20 Whitehall Park
Dublin 12

DECISION : To grant permission

O.C.M.No. : P/2506 /92 Date : 1/7/92

GRANT :

O.C.M.No. : P/ /92 Date :

APPEAL NOTIFIED : 21/7/92 TYPE OF APPEAL : 3rd Party

APPEAL DECISION : Permission refused by an Bord Pleanala 17/11/92

MATERIAL CONTRAVENTION SECTION 26(3) :

ENFORCEMENT : | COMPENSATION : | PURCHASE NOTICE :

REVOCATION OR AMENDMENT :

E.I.S.REQUESTED : | E.I.S.RECEIVED : | E.I.S.APPEAL :

REGISTRAR

DATE

RECEIPT NO.

AN BORD PLEANÁLALOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1992County DublinPlanning Register Reference Number: 92B/575

APPEAL by Bridie Nyhan and Jim Simpson of 21/22 Whitehall Park, Terenure, Dublin against the decision made on the 1st day of July, 1992 by the Council of the County of Dublin to grant subject to conditions a permission to John Fortune of 20 Whitehall Park, Terenure, Dublin for development comprising an increase in the pitch of the roof to an existing extension at 20 Whitehall Park, Terenure, Dublin:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1992, permission is hereby refused for the said development for the reason set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

The proposed development would substantially increase the height and bulk of the extension, thereby rendering it obtrusive and out of character with other structures to the rear of the houses. The proposed development would, therefore, seriously injure the visual amenities of the area.



Member of An Bord Pleanála duly
authorised to authenticate the
seal of the Board.

Dated this 17th day of November 1992.



Bloc 2, Ionad Bheatha na hEireann
Bloc 2, Irish Life Centre,
Sraid na Mainistreach Iocht,
Lower Abbey Street,
Baile Atha Cliath 1.
Dublin 1.
Telephone (01) 724755
Fax (01) 724896

NOTIFICATION OF DECISION TO GRANT PERMISSION
LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS 1963-1991.

Decision Order Number : P/ 2506 /92 Date of Decision : 1st July 1992

Register Reference : 92B/0575 Date Received : 6th May 1992

Applicant : John Fortune

Development : Permission to increase pitch of roof to existing
extension

Location : 20 Whitehall Park, Dublin 12

Floor Area : Sq. Metres

Time Extension(s) up to and including :

Additional Information Requested/Received : //

In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Acts, the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order dated as above make a decision to GRANT PERMISSION in respect of the above proposal.

John Fortune,
20 Whitehall Park,
Dublin 12



Bloc 2, Ionad Bheatha na hEireann
Bloc 2, Irish Life Centre,
Sraid na Mainistreach Iacht,
Lower Abbey Street,
Baile Atha Cliath 1,
Dublin 1,
Telephone (01) 724755
Fax (01) 724896

Reg.Ref. 92B/0575
Decision Order No. P/ 2506 /92
Page No: 0002

subject to the Conditions on the attached Numbered Pages.

NUMBER OF CONDITIONS:-*2*.....ATTACHED.

signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council.....*J. de Paetereil*.....
for Principal Officer

Date:.....*2/7/94*.....

Reg. Ref. 92B/0575
Decision Order No. P/ 2506 /92
Page No: 0003



Bloc 2, Ionad Bheatha na hEireann
Bloc 2, Irish Life Centre,
Sraid na Mainistreach Iacht,
Lower Abbey Street,
Baile Atha Cliath 1.
Dublin 1.
Telephone (01) 724755
Fax (01) 724896

C O N D I T I O N S / R E A S O N S

01 The development to be carried out in its entirety in accordance with the plans, particulars and specifications lodged with the application, save as may be required by the other conditions attached hereto.

REASON: To ensure that the development shall be in accordance with the permission, and that effective control be maintained.

02 That all external finishes harmonise in colour and texture with the existing premises.

REASON: In the interest of visual amenity.

NOTE: Applicant is advised that in the event of encroachment or oversailing of the adjoining property, the consent of the adjoining property owner is required.