

Review of appeal: J.P.D. Application received 11/10/68

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL  
Local Government (Planning and Development) Act, 1963  
**REGISTER**  
(Part I)

**S**

Folio  
A 335/1

1. LOCAL PLAN NUMBER 9865/1	SUBJECT OF APPLICATION Subalter, Naas Rd., Clonsilla		O.S. No.		Grid Ref.	
2. PROPOSAL	30 (Thirty) S.D. houses					
3. APPLICATION Type and Date	Type of Application	Application Date	Further Particulars Requested	Further Particulars Received		
	Outline Permission	14.3.68	2	1	2	
4. SUBMITTED BY Name and Address	J. Hanal. Kest Design & Landscape Jobs. Churchtown Dr. 10					
5. PROPOSER'S NAME AND ADDRESS	T.E. McLaughlin 33A Dolfhu Rd. Solihull Bham.					
6. DECISION	O.C.M. & Date	Notified	Effect	S.26(2) (e)	S.26(2) (g)	S.26(2) (h)
	16.5.68	13.5.68	To refuse outline permission - 4 R.S.P.D.			
7. GRANT	Date of Grant	Sent	Effect	S.26(2) (e)	S.26(2) (g)	S.26(2) (h)
8. APPEAL	Notification to Co. Council	DATE OF MINISTER'S DECISION	Effect of Decision of Minister			
	14.6.68	1/8/68	Appeal refused - 21.10.68			
9. S.26(3) APPLICATION	DATE OF APPLICATION	DATE OF MINISTER'S DECISION	Effect of Decision of Minister			
			Appeal refused - 21.10.68			
10. COMPENSATION	Claim	Ref. in Part II. (Compensation Register)				
11. ENFORCEMENT	Section	Ref. in Part III. (Enforcement Register)				
12. PURCHASE NOTICE						
13. REVOCATION OR AMENDMENT						
14.	Date of Issue of copy					
15.	Registrar					
16.	Co. Accountant's Receipt No.					

✓

20 -40. Dillingham as "Director", New York, Connecticut

- (1) Public power drainage systems are not available to serve the proposed.
- (2) The proposed development would be prohibited by section of the said existing deficiency in the provision of sewage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.
- (3) The proposed to provide an alternative sewage treatment plant is not possible in that no method of satisfactory effluent disposal from this plant has been proposed and the location of the treatment plant is unduly located in close proximity to the Dillingham proposed on site (see plan) - 20 (enclosed).
- (4) The plans submitted do not indicate that adequate water disposal is to be provided for the development.