### CORPORATION OF DUBLIN

	,		CORPORATION OF	DORL	IN	_	
	PLAN NO.	L	OCAL GOVERNMENT (PLA DEVELOPMENT) ACTS 1	ANNING 963 &	AND 1976 & 1982	REGISTER REFERENCE	
	4055/82 (2404/82)		PLANNING REGISTE	t I)	XARISGT		
	1. LOCATION	"The Laurels", Butterfield Aven Dublin 14.				0.S. NO. S-3391-3 GRID REF. 1424-28	
	2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT		rey block of 18 rations to appr	PREPARED BY: CM CHECKED BY:			
	3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE P.	APPLICATION DATE	1	Date Furth	1	
	4. SUBMITTED BY	A. J. Whittaker & Partners, Architects,  Name Lynwood House,  Ballinteer Road,  Dublin 16.					
	5. APPLICANT	Name Address	Moreland Devel 20 Harcourt Te Dublin 2.				
	6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. & DATE P5581. 1st December, 1982. Date NOTIFIED 1st December, 1982.			EFFECT TO REFUSE PERMISSIO (SEE OPPOSITE).		
	7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. & DATE  Date NOTIFIED			EFFECT		
	8. APPEAL 8A. DATE OF AN	NOTIFICATION TO  CORPORATION BORD ILEANALAS DECISION:					
	9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of applicati	<b>責 10th Jnne,</b>	<sup>3</sup> •Decision			
	10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in (	Compensation Register	<del></del>			
	11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in 1	Enforcement Register				
	12. PURCHASE NOTICE						
	13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT						
i	14.			<u>.</u> . •	DATE OF	ISSUE OF COPY	
	<b>1</b> 5.		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	,	CERTIFY	ING OFFICER	
3	16.					OFFICER AND RER'S RECEIPT NO.	
	16.						

#### CORPORATION OF DUBLIN

### Order of the Assistant City and County Manager

y endorse the recomponent Control Assis	mmendation of the istant Grade 1/Planning Ass		No <b>P3581</b>	
-	saion in re			
	ons, for the devel			
	Developments-Ltd			
	torey block of 18 flat		-	
	Sutterfield Avenue, Dul	-		***************************************
igras. I -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Pô h	Dulmain I am	Dota 30/11/0
				•
	h the recommendation of the Prevelopment Plan, the above pro	•	•	•
therefore, decide T	ΓΟ REFUSE <b>permissio</b>	)n		there
under the Local Go	overnment (Planning and Develo	opment) Acts 1963/76	o for the	
	v			
	R	EASONS		
	ign of the proposed bl	lock of flats w		
		lock of flats w		
ith surrounding resi menity. . The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original	to visual grant of
ith surrounding resimenity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original	to visual grant of
th surrounding resimenity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original	to visual grant of
th surrounding resimenity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original	to visual grant of
th surrounding residenity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original	to visual grant of
th surrounding resimenity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original	to visual grant of
th surrounding resimenity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original tched slated ro	grant of of treatment.
th surrounding residenity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original	grant of of treatment.
th surrounding resi enity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original tched slated ro	grant of of treatment.
th surrounding resi enity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original tched slated ro	grant of of treatment.
th surrounding resi enity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original tched slated ro	grant of of treatment.
th surrounding resi enity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original tched slated ro	grant of of treatment.
th surrounding resi enity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original tched slated ro	grant of of treatment.
th surrounding resi enity.  The proposal woul	ign of the proposed blidential development a	lock of flats we and would cause adition No. 5 es	serious injury  f the original tched slated ro	grant of of treatment.



### AN BORD PLEANALA

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 TO 1982

## Dublin County Borough

# Planning Register Reference Number: 4055/82

APPEAL by Moreland Developments Limited of 20 Harcourt Terrace, Dublin against the decision made on the 1st day of December, 1982 by the Righ Honourable the Lord Mayor, Aldermen and Burgesses of Dublin to refuse permission for development comprising the construction of 18 flats in three-storey block (alterations to approved plans) at The Laurels, particulars lodged with Dublin Corporation:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 to 1982, it is hereby decided, for the reason set out in the First Schedule hereto, to grant permission for the said development in accordance with the said plans and particulars, subject to the condition specified in column 1 of the Second Schedule hereto, the reason for the imposition of the said condition being as set out in column 2 of the said condition.

### FIRST SCHEDULE

Having regard to the character of surrounding developments, it is considered that the development carried out in accordance with the condition set out in the Second Schedule hereto would be in conformity with the proper planning and development of the area.

### SECOND SCHEDULE

Column 1 - Condition Col	
Before development is completed a In	lumn 2 - Reason for Condition 'the interests of visual enity.

Member of Ah Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 10 day of June 1983.