COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH

File Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNIN DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963	
P.C. 16297	PLANNING REGISTER	S G1005
1. LOCATION	Whitechurch Road, Rathfarnham,	
2. PROPOSAL	Block of 6 flats and 10,000 sq.ft. of offices in single 3-storey block	
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION		Date Further Particulars uested (b) Received I
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name A. S. Tomkins, Esq., Address 308 Clontarf Road, Dublin 3.	
5. APPLICANT	Name Crosspan DBuslopments Limite, Address 44 Belvedere Place, Dublin 1.	
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. P/2135/74 Date 5/7/74	Notified 5/7/74 Effect Outline Permission Refused
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.	Notified Effect
B. APPEAL	Notified 1/8/74 Type 1st Party	Decision 8th August, 1975 Minister Refused Effect Outline Permission
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	Decision
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Kegister	
II. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register	
12. PURCHASE NOTICE		
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT		
14.		
15,		
16.		× ***
Prepared by Checked by Grid Ref. O.	Date	Registrar

TELEPHONE: 42951 (EXT. 131)

in the first instance.

Planning Department, 46-49 Dame Street, Dublin 2.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE: OUTLINE PERMISSION: PERMISSION: ARREQUAL: LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACT, 1963.

To:A.S. Tomkina		Register Reference No: G. 1005.		
		Planning Control No: 15297		
	208. Clenterf Reed,			
***	Publin, 3.	Application received 7th May 174.		
~ .				
A	PPLICANT: Crosspan Developments Ltd	e ere era e et ere ere ere d'annéement amont à avoir ere ere ere entre de entre que en extent ains l'illu		
th	n pursurance of its functions under the above mentioned be Planning Authority for the County Health District of ated	Dublin, did by order p/2135/74 o refuse:		
	OUTLINE PERMISSION; *REFMISSION;	MARKACKAL.		
fo	or Proposed three-storey block of flats and.	three storey office block		
	et Whitechurch Road,			
fc	or the following reasons:			
1.				
2.	amenity and to provide for residential development — in the Development Plan. The commercial development proposed on these lands would be in conflict with these objectives would not be in accordance with the proper planning and development of the area, and would seriously injure the amenities of the area. The flat development proposed would not be in accordance with the objectives set out in the Development Plan Written Statement, para.4.24, in that the proposed flats are undesirably located with reference to the adjoining south boundary and the three-storey flat development proposed, by reason of its height and location, would seriously injure the amenities of the adjoining residential properties, and would not be in accordance with the proper planning and development of the area.			
3.	The proposed dommercial development on thes street carparking facilities in relation to be likely to create a serious traffic hazar movements to and from the site, on this insultant whitechurch Road.	the development proposed, would d, by reason of the vehicular		
4.		ailable to serve the proposal		
5.	existing deficiency in the provision of sew period within which such deficiency may rea good.	by reason of the said erage facilities and the sonably beexpected to be made		
Si	igned on behalf of the Dublin County Council:	<i>y y</i> ====		
or w	OTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to ne month from the date of receipt by the applicant of the ithin twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The tate the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the	nis notification or by any other person appeal shall be in writing and shall		

the Secretary, (Planning Appeals Section), Department of Local Government, Custom House, Dublin 1. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn the Minister for Local Government will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to him