COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH

File Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963		
P.C. 5606	PLANNING REGISTER	к . 7 87	
1. LOCATION	Cooldrinagh, LucanCo. Dublin.		
2. PROPOSAL	Residential development		
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE Date Received (a) Requirements (a) Requirements (b) Requirements (a) Requirements (b) Requirements (a) Requirements (b) Requirements (b) Requirements (c)	Date Further Particulars rested (b) Received 1	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name D. McCarthy and Co., Address 56, Tritonville I	Architects, load, Sandymount, Dublin, 4.	
5, APPLICANT	Name Gleneagles Investments Limited, Address C/o 56, Tritonville Road, Sandyomount, Dublin, 4.		
6. DECISION	O.C.M. 140. 17 £1037 (6)	Notified 4th June, 1976 Effect Permission Refused	
7. GRANT	Q.Q.X.1. 140.	Notified Effect	
8. APPEAL		Decision 25th February, 1977 Minister Refused Permission	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	Decision Effect	
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register		
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register		
12. PURCHASE NOTICE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT			
14.			
15.			
16.			
Prepared by			

TELEPHONE: 42951 (EXT. 131)

Planning Department, 46-49 Dame Street, Dublin 2.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE: QUITLINE, PERMISSION: PERMISSION: APPROVAL: LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACT, 1963.

		Register Reference Nok. 787
D. Recarthy a co.,	AFAY FOR CERN	
56 Tritorville Road,	P\$47.45.0	Planning Control No. 5606
Sandymount, Dublin 4	***************************************	Application received 9/4/76
APPLICANT:Gleneagles In	vestments-lit	es e valent una esta esta esta esta esta esta en la la casa esta esta en la casa esta esta en la casa de la casa
In pursurance of its functions und	der the above mention	ed Act the Dublin County Council, being
the Planning Authority for the Co	ounty Health District of	of Dublin, did by order P/1769/76
uatedAthune, 1976	decide	to refuse:
QUITTINE PERMISSION:	PERMISSION;	APPROVAL,
for Proposed residential dev	veloument at Conlab	nagh; Lucan:
for the following reasons:		COMMINICATION OF THE RESIDENCE OF THE SECOND
	104	
Plan, that the area in which t	he site is located	as expressed in the Development be reserved "to provide for the
TALEMEL GENETODMENT OF SCALORS	ture". Large-scale	regidential development much
we brobosed worrd be incombat	ible with this zoni	ne provision, would be confirmed
on rue bloben branning and dea	elogment of the area	a and militate against the
branchagrou of the Loral Sual	ronment.	
A A MAN A PART OF A B		available to serve the proposed
J. Even if the development wer	re compatible with	the zoning provisions of the
Development Plan, the proposed said existing deficiency in the	devolopment would b	e premature by reason of the
4. The proposed development we	a broatsion of same	
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE	ould endanger public	age recruities.
hazard, due to the generation of	ould endanger public	safety by reason of traffin
National Primary Route.	ould endanger public of additional traffi	safety by reason of traffic c turning movements on the
National Primary Route. 5. Separate access road for all	ould endanger public of additional traffi ltes 346 mm 352 (in	safety by reason of traffic c turning movements on the
nazard, due to the generation of National Primary Route. 5. Separate access road for si additional traffic flows, on the	ould endanger public of additional traffi ltes 346 mm 352 (in he existing narrow s	safety by reason of traffic c turning movements on the ccl.) would generate undesirable and inadequate Celbridge Road.
National Primary Route. 5. Separate access road for si additional traffic flows, on the first the vehicular access for si	ould endanger public of additional traffi ltes 346 mm 352 (in he existing narrow s ltes 33 to 345 (incl	sefety by reason of traffic c turning movements on the ccl.) would generate undesirable and inadequate Celbridge Road.
National Primary Route. 5. Separate access road for si addit onal traffic flows, on the first recess for sinew realigned Celbridge to Lucathe County Council has no proportion.	ould endanger public of additional traffi ltes 346 mm 352 (in he existing narrow a ltes 33 to 335 (incl an Road. This road osal to carry out th	safety by reason of traffic c turning movements on the cl.) would generate undesirable and inadequate Celbridge Road. .) is shown via the proposed has not been constructed, and the construction of this road
National Primary Route. 5. Separate access road for si addit onal traffic flows, on the first traffic flows for sinew realigned Celbridge to Lucathe County Council has no proposin the near future. According	ould endanger public of additional traffi ltes 346 and 352 (in he existing narrow s ltes 33 to 345 (incl an Road. This road osel to carry out th ly, the development	safety by reason of traffic c turning movements on the cl.) would generate undesirable and inadequate Celbridge Road. .) is shown via the proposed has not been constructed, and the construction of this road
National Primary Route. 5. Separate access road for si additional traffic flows, on the first constant of the realigned Celbridge to Lucathe County Council has no proposin the near future. According in that they do not have any versional county to the county of the c	ould endanger public of additional traffi ites 346 and 352 (in he existing narrow a ltes 33 to 345 (incl an Road. This road osal to carry out the ly, the development	safety by reason of traffic c turning movements on the cl.) would generate undesirable and inadequate Celbridge Road. .) is shown via the proposed has not been constructed, and the construction of this road of these houses is premature
National Primary Route. 5. Separate access road for si additional traffic flows, on the first constant of the recess for sinew realigned Celbridge to Lucathe Count: Council has no proposed the near future. According in that they do not have any very the proposed open space adj	ould endanger public of additional traffi ltes 346 mm 352 (in he existing narrow a ltes 33 to 345 (incl an Road. This road osel to carry out th ly, the development whicular access. jacent to National	safety by reason of traffic c turning movements on the cl.) would generate undesirable and inadequate Celbridge Road. .) is shown via the proposed has not been constructed, and e construction of this road of these houses is premature
National Primary Route. 5. Separate access road for al additional traffic flows, on the first constant of the realigned Celbridge to Lucathe Count. Council has no proposed the near future. According in that they do not have any verse part of the minimum public of the count.	ould endanger public of additional traffictional traffictional traffictional traffictional traffictional traffictional trafficular accessing paragraph open space requiremonal property of the development	safety by reason of traffic c turning movements on the cl.) would generate undesirable and insdequare Celbridge Road. .) is shown via the proposed has not been constructed, and e construction of this road of these houses is premature rimary Route is unacceptable ats, due to its location and
National Primary Route. 5. Separate access road for all additional traffic flows, on the first constant of the realigned Celbridge to Lucathe Count: Council has no proposed the count: Council has no proposed in the near future. According in that they do not have any versus part of the minimum public of the proposed open space adjusted. The open space at the results. Houses on sites 100 and 139	ould endanger public of additional trafficational trafficational trafficational trafficational trafficational trafficational to carry out the development chicular accessificational pen space requirement ear of sites 203 to are too close to t	safety by reason of traffic c turning movements on the cl.) would generate undesirable and inadequate Celbridge Road. .) is shown via the proposed has not been constructed, and e construction of this road of these houses is premature rimary Route is unacceptable ats, due to its location and 2'6 is inadequate. he proposed new road continued/overleaf.
National Primary Route. 5. Separate access road for al additional traffic flows, on the first constant of the realigned Celbridge to Lucathe Count. Council has no proposed the near future. According in that they do not have any verse part of the minimum public of the count.	ould endanger public of additional trafficational trafficational trafficational trafficational trafficational trafficational to carry out the development chicular accessificational pen space requirement ear of sites 203 to are too close to t	safety by reason of traffic c turning movements on the cl.) would generate undesirable and insdequate Celbridge Road. .) is shown via the proposed has not been constructed, and e construction of this road of these houses is premature rimary Route is unacceptable ats, due to its location and 2'6 is insdequate. he proposed new road

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to the Minister by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to the Secretary, (Planning Appeals Section), Department of Local Government, Custom House, Dublin 1.1 When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn the Minister for Local Government will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to him in the first instance.