COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

File Refe	METYCAE	Ĺ	OCAL GOVERNMENT (DEVELOPMENT)	PLANNI ACT 196	NG AND	REGISTER REFERENCE	
P.C.	P.C. 1018 2/ 90		PLANNING RI			K.1010	
I. LOCATIO	I. LOCATION		ownsbarn, Co. Dubl	lin.	5		
2. PROPOS	2. PROPOSAL		Warehous	e and t	ransport depo)t	
3. TYPE & OF APPL	DATE ICATION	TYPE O.P.	Date Received 4th May, 1976	1	Date Furth equested	er Particulars (b) Received 1	
4. SUBMITT	4. SUBMITTED BY		Name S. P. Calleary, Address Pearse St., Ballina, Co. Mayo.				
5. APPLICA	5. APPLICANT		Name Mr. G. Barrett, Address Ballinalaglish, Co. Mayo.				
6, DECISIO	6. DECISION		M. No. P/2030/76 1/7/76			nd July, 1976 utline Permission Refused	
7. GRANT	7. GRANT		.M. No.		Notified Effect		
8. APPEAL	8. APPEAL		ified 29th July, 1 1st Party	976=	0.415-	ith June, 1977 ne Permission Refused An Bord Pleanala	
9. APPLIC SECTIO	9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)		e of lication		Decision Effect		
IO. COMPE	IO. COMPENSATION		Ref. in Compensation Register				
11. ENFOR	11. ENFORCEMENT		Ref. in Enforcement Register				
12. PURCH	12. PURCHASE NOTICE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
13. REVOC	13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT						
14.	14.						
15.	15.						
16.	16.				~~~		
***	byby		Date			Registra	

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

TELEPHONE: 42951 (EXT. 131)

Planning Department, 46-49 Dame Street, Dublin 2.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:
OUTLINE PERMISSION: PERMISSION: APPROVAL:
LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACT, 1963.

To:	Register Reference No: K1010.	
S.F. Calleary, Caq.,	Planning Control No: 10182/	
Balline, Co. Mayo.	9078 Application received4/5/76	
APPLICANT G. Gennett, Esq.,		
In pursurance of its functions under the above mentioned Acthe Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dub	lin, did by order	
dated decide to re	fuse: PROVAL	
for Proposed warshouse and transport dept at	agognabarn, Co. Dublin	
. 1	The control of the co	

for the following reasons:

- in the Development Plan, that the eres in which the site is located be reserved "to provide for the further development of agriculture". Development such as proposed would be incompatible with this zoning objective and militate against the preservation of the rural environment.
- 2. It is contrary to the policy of the County Council to permit such large scale commercial developments in agricultural areas, and in such close proximity to the Dublin to Nace Road. This road is the main road to the south of Ireland, and it is important that it be preserved free from this type of ribbon development.
- The access to the eith is from the Name Road duel carriagency. The County Council is totally opposed to such developments having direct access onto this major road. The development would cause conflicting traffic movements between appeding traffic movements using the National Brimary Route and traffic generated by the proposed development.
- 4. The proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard, due to the generation of additional traffic turning movements, particularly of large commercial vehicles associated with this type of development, on the heavily trafficked National Erimary Robbs.
- 5. The volumes of traffic generated by a development of this magnitude, would create serious traffic congestion, on the Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to the Minister by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to the Secretary, (Planning Appeals Section), Department of Local Government, Custom House, Dublin 1.1 When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn the Minister for Local Government will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to him in the first instance.

Date: 2nd July, 1976.....

substandard and inadequate Sarney's Lane.

(6) There are no public piped sewerage fectilities available to serve the proposed development.

- (7) The proposed development is premature by reason of the said existing deficiency in the provision of severage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.
- (8) The existing junction of the Nage Road and the Sapport Road is already overloaded with serious traffic back ups at busy hours in the morning and evenino.
- (9) It is contrary to the policy of the County Council to permit such large smale developments to operate on the basis of private savage treatment. There is notsuitable dilution waters available to accept offluents from private treatment works, in the area.
- (10) Heavy articulated vehicles have already caused a number of fetal accidents at junctions with the Mass Road.

AME Senior Administrative Officer.

e **

es: nox n nomina > equipo x ≡

1 : v V