COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

File Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963				REGISTER REFERENCE	
P.C. 17350		PLANNING RE			K. 1113	
I. LOCATION	Loughtown Lower, Newcastle, Co. C				Oublin S	
2. PROPOSAL	4 Dwellings					
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE B.P.	Date Received 12th May, 1976	1. ***	Date Furthe equested	Particulars (b) Received 1	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Hannigan and Whyte, Address Main Street, Leixlip, Co. Kikdare				*8	
5. APPLICANT	Name T. Connolly, Esq., Address Loughtown Lower, Newcastle, Co. Dublin					
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. P/2728/76 Date B/7/76				h July, 1976 tline Permission Refused	
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.			Notified Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified 28th July, 1976 Type lat Party			Decision 5th Outline Effect by Ar	n August, 1977 Bermission Refused Bord Pleanala	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application			Decision Effect		
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register					
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. i	Ref. in Enforcement Register				
12. PURCHASE NOTICE						
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT						
14.				. — — —		
15.						
16.						
Prepared by		Date	0489N99P9P			

TELEPHONE: 42951 (EXT. 131)

in the first instance.

Planning Department, 46-49 Dame Street, Dublin 2.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE: OUTLINE PERMISSION: PERMISSION: APPROVAL: LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACT, 1963.

Main Street.	Register Reference No
In pursurance of its functions under the above mentioned Act the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublit dated	n, did by orderp/2728/76 use: PROVAL:
for the following reasons:	
1. The area in which these sites are located is woned Plan "To provide for the further development of ag houses such as proposed would be incompatible with provisions of the Development Plan and militate ag of the rural environment. 2. The proposed development by generating additional tend to create serious traffic congestion on the same approximately 8-ft., wice. Development such as providing development and will help to involve the carrying out premature improvements on these roads in providing services such as carbage collection, churches etc. 3. There are no public piped severage facilities avail proposed development. 4. The proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by response to the proposed development would be premature by the proposed development would be premature by the proposed development would be premature by the proposed development would be premature to the proposed development would be premature to the proposed development would be prematured to the proposed development would be prematured to the proposed deve	the use coming seinst the preservation traffic movements would substandard Count Road. Shose carriageway is roposed Create undesireable county Council in and in expenditures road improvements, schools, lable to serve the
deficiency in the provision of sewerage facilities which such deficiency may reasonably be expected to Insufficient information has been submitted with a proposed water supply and proposed septic tanks.	s and a period within to be made good. regard to the
	Aug. 9th July, 1976.
NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to the one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this no within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appearance of the decision of the decision.	etification or by any other person

state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to the Secretary (Planning Appeals Section), Department of Local Government, Custom House, Dublin 1.7 When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn the Minister for Local Government will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to him