COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH

5

File Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963		REGISTER REFERENCE	
P.C.11810	PLANNING REGISTER		K.1983	
I. LOCATION	Crockaunadreenagh, Rathcoole			
2. PROPOSAL	1 dwelling.			
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE Date Received 0.P. 10th Aug. 197		(b) Received 1	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Harry Greaney Address Red Gap, Rathcoole, Co. Dublin.			
5. APPLICANT	Name Harry Greaney Address Red Gap, Rathcoole, Co. Dublin.			
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. P/3192/76 Date 4/10/76		October, 1976 Line Permission Refused	
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.	Notified Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified 25/10/76 1st Party		2001111220119 2011	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of Decision application Effect			
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Regist	Ref. in Compensation Register		
11, ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register			
12. PÜRCHASE NOTICE				
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT				
14.				
15.				
16.				
Prepared by	Date		=	
Grid Ref. O.S. Sheet Co. Accts. Receipt No			,	

TELEPHONE: 42951 (EXT. 131)

in the first instance.

Planning Department, 46-49 Dame Street, Dublin 2.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE: OUTLINE PERMISSION: PERMISSION: APPROVAX: LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACT, 1963.

To: Harry Greaney,	Register Reference No:
ATT E-FREE DESCRIPTION DE LA COMPANSA DEL COMPANSA DEL COMPANSA DE LA COMPANSA DE	Planning Control No.11810
Red Gap,	10/8/76.
Rathcoole,	Application received 10/8/76.
Co. Dublin.	
APPLICANT: Harry Greaney	BORDER POR THE AMERICA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
In pursurance of its functions under the above mentine Planning Authority for the County Health Districted at the Color 1976, dec OUTLINE PERMISSION: DERMISSION: Proposed dwelling at Crockaunadr. for the following reasons: 1. The site proposed is located in a development plan as high amenity proposed would be in conflict with in accordance with the proper planarea and would be seriously injurarea. 2. Public piped sewerage facilities the proposal. 3. The proposed development would be said existing deficiency in the proposal areasonably be expected to be made No evidence has been furnished to development can be satisfactorily tank. 5. The proposed development would co development along a rural road an accordance with the proper planmi	narea which is zoned in the open space and the development h this objective, would not be uning and development of the ious to the amenities of the are not available to serve which such deficiency may good. Indicate that the proposed drained by way of septic institute undesirable ribbon in would not, therefore, be in
Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:	hel
Breiton An Warmer At any remains a same a same	3 7
	Date: 5th October, 1976
NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be mone month from the date of receipt by the application within twenty-one days of the date of the decision state the subject matter of the appeal and ground	The appeal shall be in writing and shall

the <u>Secretary</u>, (<u>Planning Appeals Section</u>), <u>Department of Local Government</u>, <u>Custom House</u> <u>Dublin 1.1</u> When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn the Minister for Local Government will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to him