

COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH

File Reference P.C. 12682	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 PLANNING REGISTER		REGISTER REFERENCE K. 2749
1. LOCATION	Newlands Cross, Clondalkin, Co. Dublin.		
2. PROPOSAL	Warehouse and offices.		
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received	Date Further Particulars
			(a) Requested (b) Received
			1. 2.
	P.	15.11.'76	1. 2.
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name D. McCarthy and Co., Address Lynwood House, Dundrum, Dublin, 14.		
5. APPLICANT	Name Toyota (Ireland) Limited, Address J. F. Kennedy Park, Dublin, 12.		
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. P/76/77 Date 14/1/77	Notified 14th January, 1977 Effect Permission Refused	
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Date	Notified Effect	
8. APPEAL	Notified 7/2/77 Type 1st Party	Decision 30th August, 1977 Effect Permission Refused by An Bord Pleanála	
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	Decision Effect	
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register		
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register		
12. PURCHASE NOTICE			
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT			
14.			
15.			
16.			

Prepared by
Checked by

Grid Ref.

O.S. Sheet

Copy issued byRegistrar.

Date

Co. Accts. Receipt No.

DUBLIN COUNTY COUNCIL

TELEPHONE: 42951 (EXT. 131)

Planning Department,
46-49 Dame Street,
Dublin 2.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

~~OUTLINE PERMISSION~~: PERMISSION: ~~APPROVAL~~:
LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACT, 1963.

To: D. McCarthy & Co.,
Consulting Engineers,
Lynwood House,
Dundrum,
Dublin 14.

Register Reference No. 2749...

Planning Control No. 12682

Application received 15/11/76..

APPLICANT: Toyota (I) Ltd.,

In pursuance of its functions under the above mentioned Act the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order P/76/77 dated 14/1/77 decide to refuse:

~~OUTLINE PERMISSION~~; PERMISSION; ~~APPROVAL~~.

for Proposed retention of warehouse and offices at Newlands Cross,
Clondalkin, Co. Dublin.

for the following reasons:

1. The proposed commercial development on lands zoned for the preservation and improvement of residential amenity in the County Council's Development Plan would be in conflict with the Council's policy and would not be in accordance with the proper planning and development of the area.
2. Such large scale commercial development at a highly trafficked junction would generate excessive and unwanted traffic in the area ~~has not been~~ that would further exacerbate the overloaded junction and thereby tend to create serious traffic congestion.
3. The proposed development is premature as a road layout for the area has not been approved of by the Planning Authority or by the Minister for Local Government on appeal. The site is likely to be affected by a reservation line for a proposed major junction scheme.
4. The proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard due to the location of an access in close proximity to a major road junction and because of totally inadequate vision displays at the access.
5. It is most undesirable to generate additional traffic onto the service road next to the dual-carriageway because this will in turn lead to additional turning movements on the dual-carriageway.
6. There are no public piped sewerage facilities available to serve the proposed development and the proposed development would be premature by reason of the said existing deficiency in the provision

Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council: *[Signature]*

Date: 14th January, 1977.

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to the Minister by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to the Secretary, (Planning Appeals Section), Department of Local Government, Custom House, Dublin 1. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn the Minister for Local Government will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to him in the first instance.

of sewerage facilities and the period within which such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.

7. The proposed development would be seriously injurious to the amenities of adjoining residential properties.

18
for Senior Administrative Officer.