COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

File Reference	LOCAL C	SOVERNMENT (PL	T 1963	G AND	REGISTER REFERENCE M. 545	
P.C. 8857		PLANNING REGI	STER			
T. LOCATION	Corrigeen, Rathcoole, Co. Dublin.					
2. PROPOSAL	2 bungalows					
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received 2.3.*77	L	quested	er Particulars (b) Received 1	
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name P. M. Keatley, Esq., Address 42, Naas Road, Inchicore, Dublin, 12.					
5. APPLICANT	Name Mr. C. Stapleton, Address Moyanna, New Road, Clondalkin, Co. Dublin.					
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. Date	P/1232/77 22/4/77			nd April, 1977 TLINE PERMISSION REFUSED	
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Date Notified 3/5/77 Type 1st Party			Notified Effect		
8. APPEAL				Decision 3rd March, 1978 Dutline Permission Refused Effect by An Bord Pleanala		
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application			Decision Effect		
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register					
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register					
12. PURCHASE NOTICE			w			
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT						
14,				ii -		
15.					3	
16.						
Prepared by		1.0			Regist	
	O.S. Sheet	Co. Accts, Recei	pt No	HIRMITUM VALUE (MATERIAL SERVICE)		

, TELEPHONE: 4295) (EXT. 131)

in the first instance.

Planning Department, 46-49 Dame Street, Dublin 2.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE: OUTLINE PERMISSION * PERMISSION * APPROXIMA : LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACT, 1963.

	To: Peul A. Kestley.		Register Reference No. 545.
	42, Name Road,		Planning Control No: . 8857
	Inchianne Dublin 10	CERTERIAN HE	Application received. 3/3/??
	APPLICANT. C. Staplaton.	·····································	(a) where a state a sector a selection as a resonance and section of a resolution according to the resolution of the res
	the Planning Authority for the Co	unty Health District o	ed Act the Dublin County Council, being f Dublin, did by order P/1232/77
	dated 22nd April OUTLINE PERMISSION;	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	to refuse:
	for Proposed 2-bungslows a	t Carrigmen, Ratho	oola.
	for the following reasons:		TETERE TE TETERE ENERGY EN EN CAMARIE EN FINA
	travens meterially this	ent Plan. The dev objective, would of the erea and w	to preserve high emenity open elopment proposed would con- be contrary to the proper ould be seriously injurious
	2. There are no public pipe	sd services eveile	ble to serve the proposal.
	externo dericiancy in t	the provision of p	re by reason of the said ublic piped services and ay reasonably be expected
	5. No evidence has been sub the area.	mitted of the app	licents need to reside in
			0 1
	Signed on behalf of the Dublin Cour	aty Council:	EDLADE
Í	NOTE: An appeal against the deci- one month from the date of receipt within twenty-one days of the date state the subject matter of the appe the Secretary, (Planning Appeals S	sion may be made to t by the applicant of the of the decision. The eal and grounds of the Section), Department	Date: 22nd April, 1977. the Minister by the applicant within his notification or by any other person appeal shall be in writing and shall e appeal and should be addressed to of Local Government, Custom House,
3	Dublin 1.1 When an appeal has bee	n duly made and has	not been withdrawn the Minister for

Local Government will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to him

PL 6/5/38717

AN BORD PLEANÁLA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (FLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: M.545

PC. 8857.

APPEAL by Christopher Stapleton of Moyanna, New Road, Clondalkin, County Dublin, against the decision made on the 22nd day of April, 1977, by the Council of the County of Dublin deciding to refuse to grant an outline permission for the erection of two burgalows on sites at Carrigeon, Rathcoole:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 and 1976, outline permission is hereby refused for the erection of the said two bungalows for the reason set out in the Schedule hereto.

SCHEDULE

The sites are located in an upland rural area in the foothills of the Dublin Mountains in an area designated as one of high amenity in the county development plan which it is the policy of the planning authority to conserve. This policy is considered reasonable and the proposed development would be in conflict with it, would militate against the preservation of the rural environment and would be seriously injurious to the amenities of the area.

MARINI WALL

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 3 Rd day of March