COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

File Reference	LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNIN DEVELOPMENT) ACT 1963 PLANNING REGISTER		963	REGISTER REFERENCE M. 1491
1. LOCATION		Athgoe North,	Newcastle, Co.	Dublin
2. PROPOSAL		Change of Hot	se Type	
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received 1	Date Furth Requested	er Particulars (b) Received 1
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Address	S. Kane, Esq.		ublin 12.
5. APPLICANT	Name R. Smyth, Esq., Address 4 Lombard Street West			
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. P/2819/77 Date 12/8/77		(فالمراجعة	th August, 1977 rmission Refused
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Date		Notified Effect	
8. APPEAL	Notified Type		Decision Effect	
9, APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application	n	Decision Effect	
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Cor	mpensation Register		
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enf	Ref. in Enforcement Register		
12. PURCHASE NOTICE				
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT				
14.	,	***		
15.				
16.				
Prepared by	**************************************	Date		
Grid Ref.	D.S. Sheet	Co. Accts. Receipt No.	an i ngga taon i naga taon na manana na	and generated there is a subsect and use to exclusive especial.

TELEHONE: 42951 (EXT. 131)

in the stance.

Planning Department, 46-49 Dame Street, Dublin 2.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE: ON THINE PERMISSION: APPROXALXXX LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACT, 1963.

To: Stephen Kene.	Register Reference No:
39, Herberton Road,	Planning Control No: 6348
Dub14n, .12.	Application received14/6/77.
APPLICANT: R. Smyth.	**************************************
In pursurance of its functions under the above mentioned Act the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dubl dated	in, did by order
for Proposed change of house type at Atagos Worth,	.Newcratle,
for the following reasons:	ennen en e
1. It is an objective of the Planning Authority, Development Plan, that the eres in which the be reserved for the further development of acceptant, as proposed on the site would be objective and would militate against the presentionment. There are no public sewerage facilities in the proposed development. The proposed development would be premeture by existing deficiency in the provision of sewer	eits i is located should priculture. Housing in conflict with this servation of the rural area to serve the
the period within which such deficiency may to be made good. Insufficient evidence has submitted as to the site for septic tank drainage. 5. The proposed development would constitute furth development, with inadequate separation betwee therefore, be in accordance with the proper p of the area, and would seriously injure the a	resecnably be expected suitability of the er undesirable ribbon en units and would not,
Insufficient evidence has submitted as to the site for esptic tank drainage. The proposed development would constitute furth development, with inadequate separation betwee therefore, be in accordance with the grocer of	resecnably be expected suitability of the er undesirable ribbon en units and would not,
Insufficient evidence has submitted as to the site for esptic tank drainage. The proposed development would constitute furth development, with inadequate separation betwee therefore, be in accordance with the grocer of	resecrably be expected suitability of the er undesirable ribbon en units and would not, lanning and development menities of the eres.
Insufficient evidence has submitted as to the site for esptic tank drainage. The proposed development would constitute furth development, with inadequate separation betwee therefore, be in accordance with the grocer of	resecrably be expected suitability of the er undesirable ribbon en units and would not, lanning and development menities of the eres.