# COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

File Reference	LOCAL	GOVERNMENT (PL	ANNING	3 AND		REGISTER REFERENCE
P.C. 8603	PLANNING REGISTER					M. 1524
1. LOCATION		Main Street,	Saggar	rt, Co. Du	ıblin	5
2. PROPOSAL		Bungalow and	Septio		ľ	
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION	TYPE	Date Received	(a) Re	Date I equested	Furthe	r Particulars (b) Received
	P. 16t	h June, 1977	Niescons.			2.
4. SUBMITTED BY	Name Address	M. P. Gowran Skellig Cotta			agga	rt. Co. Dublin
5. APPLICANT	Name A. Burke, Esq.,  Address ACcolamber Drive, Rathcoole, Co. Dublin					
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. Date	P/2807/77 15/8/77	==	F 60		August, 1977 ission Refused
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No.			Notified Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified Type	14/9/77 1st Party		Decision Effect	Perm	March, 1978 ission Granted by ord Pleanala
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application			Decision Effect		
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Con	pensation Register				-
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enfo	orcement Register				
12. PÜRCHASE NOTICE						
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT	4					
14.				==,		**************************************
15.		a ::-	=	<del>- 5</del>	<del></del>	
16.						
Prepared by		Copy issued by Date				Registr
Grid Ref.	O.S. Sheet	Co. Accts. Receip	t No		(rapassaries i posses	editaria i remundi adita i cesti ciaretti firmittara i sistemi espera i gi esti cisti.

TELEPHONE: 42951 (EXT, 131)

Planning Department, 46-49 Dame Street, Dublin 2.

# NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE: OUTLINE REPUSSION: PERMISSION: APRROVALX LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACT, 1963.

	Po. Register Reference	e No. M. 182	<b>A</b> .
	1,00	C 7.4 000	. s.
n .	Planning Control	No: <b>6683</b> · · ·	œ.
	"Skellig Cottage",	- s neleja	2
ē	Sappart,  Co. Dublin.  Application received.	ved16/16/14	intee
	APPLICANT: A. Burke, Eug.		esseri si
]	In pursurance of its functions under the above mentioned Act the Dublin County the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order	Council, bei	ng
	dated	· sa - sa - a a , a s ,	
į I	OKTINE DERMISSION: PERMISSION: ARREQUAL	- 250 = 186 -	odi Tu
Í	for Proposed bungalow and septic tank at Main Street; Seggart; Co. Du	olina parkon exercis	 
		= 1 100 mg/di	
Tis.	Caracter Call and the management		
Ţ	for the following reasons:	= 32 E = 32    A 34 M	
		1033 T * ARX	
		, I ~ Wy , w , w , w , w , w , w , w , w , w , w	
4	I. The site is located in an area zoned "to provide for the further de of agriculture" in the Development Plan. The development proposed contravens materially this objective, would not be in accordance wi proper planning and development of the area and would estimusly injusted.	would th the	
	of agriculture" in the Development Plen. The development proposed contravens materially this objective, would not be in accordance wi proper planning and development of the area and would estimally injusted amonities of properties in the vicinity.	would th the ure the	
	of agriculture" in the Development Plen. The development proposed contravens materially this objective, would not be in accordance wi proper planning and development of the area and would seriously injuries.	would th the ure the	
;	of agriculture" in the Development Plen. The development proposed contravens materially this objective, would not be in accordance wi proper planning and development of the area and would estimally injusted amonities of properties in the vicinity.	would th the ure the sel. xieting	
	of agriculture" in the Development Plen. The development proposed contravens materially this objective, would not be in accordance wi proper planning and development of the area and would estimate in amenities of properties in the vicinity.  2. Public piped sewerage services are not available to serve the proposed. The proposed development would be premeture by reason of the said a deficiency in the provision of sewerage services and the period with	would th the ure the sel. xisting hin which	
	of agriculture" in the Development Plan. The development proposed contravens materially this objective, would not be in accordance with proper planning and development of the area and would seriously injustmenties of properties in the vicinity.  2. Public piped sewerage services are not available to serve the propose.  3. The proposed development would be premature by reason of the said and deficiency in the provision of sewerage services and the period with such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.  4. The site proposed forms part of the lands which are likely to be ac-	would th the ure the sel. xisting hin which	
	of agriculture in the Development Plan. The development proposed contravens materially this objective, would not be in accordance with proper planning and development of the area and would estimate in the vicinity.  2. Public piped sewerage services are not available to serve the propose.  3. The proposed development would be premature by reason of the said at deficiency in the provision of sewerage services and the period with such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.  4. The site proposed forms part of the lands which are likely to be act the County Council for Cemetary purposes.	would th the ure the sel. xisting hin which	
	of agriculture" in the Development Plan. The development proposed contravens materially this objective, would not be in accordance with proper planning and development of the area and would seriously injustmenties of properties in the vicinity.  2. Public piped sewerage services are not available to serve the propose.  3. The proposed development would be premature by reason of the said and deficiency in the provision of sewerage services and the period with such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.  4. The site proposed forms part of the lands which are likely to be ac-	would the the ure the eal. xieting nin which quired by	
	of agriculture in the Development Plan. The development proposed contravens materially this objective, would not be in accordance with proper planning and development of the area and would estimate in the vicinity.  2. Public piped sewerage services are not available to serve the propose.  3. The proposed development would be premature by reason of the said at deficiency in the provision of sewerage services and the period with such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.  4. The site proposed forms part of the lands which are likely to be act the County Council for Cemetary purposes.	would the the ure the eal. xieting nin which quired by	
	of agriculture in the Development Plan. The development proposed contravens materially this objective, would not be in accordance with proper planning and development of the area and would estimate in the vicinity.  2. Public piped sewerage services are not available to serve the propose.  3. The proposed development would be premature by reason of the said at deficiency in the provision of sewerage services and the period with such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.  4. The site proposed forms part of the lands which are likely to be act the County Council for Cemetary purposes.	would the the ure the eal. xieting nin which quired by	
	of agriculture in the Development Plan. The development proposed contravens materially this objective, would not be in accordance with proper planning and development of the area and would estimate in the vicinity.  2. Public piped sewerage services are not available to serve the propose.  3. The proposed development would be premature by reason of the said at deficiency in the provision of sewerage services and the period with such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.  4. The site proposed forms part of the lands which are likely to be act the County Council for Cemetary purposes.	would the the ure the eal. xieting nin which quired by	
	of agriculture in the Development Plan. The development proposed contravens materially this objective, would not be in accordance with proper planning and development of the area and would estimate in the vicinity.  2. Public piped sewerage services are not available to serve the propose.  3. The proposed development would be premature by reason of the said at deficiency in the provision of sewerage services and the period with such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made good.  4. The site proposed forms part of the lands which are likely to be act the County Council for Cemetary purposes.	would the the ure the mal. xisting hin which quired by	

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to the Minister by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to the Secretary, (Planning Appeals Section), Department of Local Government, Custom House, Dublin 1.1 When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn the Minister for Local Government will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to him in the first instance.

# AM BORD PLEANÁLA

# LCCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT) ACTS, 1963 AND 1976

### County Dublin

Planning Register Reference Number: M. 1524 / C 8 6 03

APPEAL by Aidem Burke of 48, Coolember Drive, Hathcoole, County Dublin, against the decision made on the 15th day of August, 1977, by the Council of the County of Dublin deciding to refuse to grant a permission for the erection of a bungalow on a gite at Main Street, Saggart, in accordance with plans and particulars lodged with the said Council:

DECISION: Pursuant to the Local Government (Planning and Development) Acts, 1963 and 1976, it is hereby decided, for the reasons set out in the First Schedule hereto, to grant permission for the erection of the said bungalow in accordance with the said plans and particulars, subject to the conditions specified in column 1 of the Second Schedule hereto, the reasons for the imposition of the said conditions being as set out in column 2 of the said Second Schedule and the said permission is hereby granted subject to the said conditions.

#### FIRST SCHEDULE

It is considered that the site should be regarded as part of the Saggart village complex and that the erection of the proposed house on it would not in any way be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area.

#### SECOND SCHEDULE

#### Column 1 - Conditions

1. The entrance gates shall be set back not less than 15 feet behind the existing roadside boundary wall with wing walls or fences splayed at an angle of 45 degrees to give an opening of not less than 30 feet at the road edge. The area thus formed shall be graded to road level and finished in a durable material.

#### Column 2 - Reasons for conditions

1. To provide adequate visibility at the entrance in the interests of traffic safety.

contd/ ......

# SECOND SCHEDULE (CONTD)

# Column 1 - Conditions

Column 2 - Reasons for Conditions

2. The developer shall pay a sum of money to the Dublin County Council as a contribution towards the said Council's expenditure on the provision of a public water supply in the area. The amount to be paid and the time and method of payment shall be agreed between the developer and the said Council before the development is commenced or, failing agreement, shall be as determined by An Bord Pleanala.

2. The provision of this service in the area by the Council will facilitate the proposed development. It is considered reasonable that the developer should contribute towards the cost of providing the service.

Member of An Bord Pleanala duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this 10 they of March 1978