## COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ATHA CLIATH

File Reference	LOCAL G	OVERNMENT (PL	ANNING T 1963	AND		REGISTER REFERENCE
P.C. 17468	,	PLANNING REGI		- X		M. 1709
I. LOCATION	22, Barton Drive, Rathfarnham, Dublin, 14.					
2. PROPOSAL	Extension to shop and dwellinghouse.					
3. TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION		Date Received	L. www.	quested	······································	er Particulars (b) Received  1
4. SUBMITTED BY	Address	G. Brennan, Es				
5. APPLICANT	Name Address	Mr. A. T. Ford		lathfamh	am, l	Dublin, 14.
6. DECISION	O.C.M. No. Date	P/280D/77 11/8/77	ly ly	Notified Effect		h August, 1977 mission Refused
7. GRANT	O.C.M. No. Date			Notified Effect		
8. APPEAL	Notified Type			Decision Effect		
9. APPLICATION SECTION 26 (3)	Date of application			Decision Effect		
10. COMPENSATION	Ref. in Compensation Register					
11. ENFORCEMENT	Ref. in Enforcement Register					
12. PURCHASE NOTICE						
13. REVOCATION or AMENDMENT		Di co			_	
14.						
15.						
16.		= ====				
Prepared by		-3				Regis
Checked by			······			
- Gira treit	O.S. Sheet	€ =	7.			

TELEPHONE: 42951 (EXT. 131)

in the first instance.

Planning Department, 46-49 Dame Street, Dublin 2.

## NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE:

CUTTENE BERNISSION: PERMISSION: APRROVAL: LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACT, 1963.

Anthony, T. Eorda Eng.,  21, Berton Drive.  Rathfarshase,  Dubtin 14.  APPLICANT: Mr. A.T. Forda  In pursurance of its functions under the above mentioned Act the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order \$P\$2800/77,  dated 11th Augusts, 1977,  decide to rofuse:  PERMISSION: PERMISSION: ARTHOUGH.  For the following reasons:  1. The proposed autension to shop and deallinghouse at 22, Earton Drive,  and improve residential smentty" in the Development Plan. The proposed development would represent overdevelopment of this small site and would materially conflict with the above objective, would be contrary to the proper planning and development of this arill site and would materially conflict with the above objective, would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the aris, and would sariously injure the smenities of properties in the vicinity.  2. There would be insufficient off-atreet carparking facilities available to cater for the proposed development.  Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:  Date: Mrs. August, 1977.						
Rethfarnham,  Dublin 14.  APPLICANT:  Mr. A.T. Fords  In pursurance of its functions under the above mentioned Act the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order **p/2800/77*, dated **Lith Augusts**. 1977.  GENERAL SERVAL SER		Register Reference No: 1709				
Rathfarnham, Dublin 14.  APPLICANT: Mr. A.T. Fords  In pursurance of its functions under the above mentioned Act the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order p/2800/77, dated 11th August, 1977., decide to refuse:  PERMISSION: PERMISSION: PERMISSION: ANTIGITIES.  Tathfarnham, Dublin 14, for the following reasons:  1. The proposed development is situated in an area sened "to preserve and improve residential smenity" in the Development Plan. The proposed development would represent overdevelopment of this small site and would materially conflict with the above objective, would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area, and would seriously injure the semiliaes of properties in the vicinity. There would be insufficient off-street carparking facilities available to cater for the proposed development.  Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:  Date: The August, 1977.		Planning Control No 17468				
In pursurance of its functions under the above mentioned Act the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order **p*2800/77** dated **Lith August**, 1977**, decide to refuse:  **REMINISTRATION**  The proposed extension to shop and dwallinghouse at .22, Barton Driva,  **Rathfarmbon**, Dublin 14.  **Tor the following reasons:  1. The proposed development is situated in an area sound "to preserve and improve residential smanlty" in the Development Plan. The proposed development would represent overdevelopment of this small site and would materially conflict with the above objective, would be contraty to the proper planning and development of the area, and would sariously injure the **membride** of properties in the vicinity.  2. There would be insufficient off-street carparking facilities available to cater for the proposed development.  Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:  **Date: **Att August**, 1977.**  Date: **Att August**, 1977.**						
In pursurance of its functions under the above mentioned Act the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order #12500/77, dated 11th Augusts. 1277, decide to refuse:  **REMEMBERSHINGEN:** PERMISSION; PERMISSION; PERMISSION;  for proposed autension to abop and decide to refuse:  1. The proposed development is situated in an area somed "to preserve and improve residential smenity" in the Development Plan. The proposed development would represent overdevelopment of this small site and would materially conflict with the above objective, would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area, and would seriously injure the amenities of properties in the vicinity.  2. There would be insufficient off-street carparking facilities available to catar for the proposed development.  Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:	The bill of the	Application received1/7/77				
In pursurance of its functions under the above mentioned Act the Dublin County Council, being the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order p/2800/77, decide 11th August, 1277, decide to refuse:  **REMEMBER SERVENCE**  **PERMISSION: PERMISSION: ARRESTANG.**  for proposed extension to shop and dwallinghouse at 22, Earton Drive, and improve residential smenity in the Development Plan. The proposed development would represent overdevelopment of this small site and would materially certifiet with the above objective, would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area, and would sariously injure the amenities of properties in the vicinity.  2. There would be insufficient off-street carperking facilities available to cater for the proposed development.  Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:  **Date: PRE August, 1977.**  Date: PRE August, 1977.**	7.55 Marine Solvenium — III S. C.					
the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Dublin, did by order \$\text{P\$2800/77}\$ dated 11th Magnets. 1977. decide to refuse:  QUINTEDENTIFY MADEEN: PERMISSION; ARRESTAN.  for proposed extension to shop and deallinghouse at 22, Earton Drivs,	APPLICANT: Mr. A.T. Fords	rennennamenne <del>n alle för före för et</del> för ett i för halle för ett at ett som e				
Rathfarnhom, Dublin 14,  for the following reasons:  1. The proposed development is situated in an area moned "to preserve and improve residential smenity" in the Development Plan. The proposed development would represent overdevelopment of this small site and would materially conflict with the above objective, would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area, and would seriously injure the smenities of properties in the vicinity.  2. There would be finatefficient off-street carparking facilities available to cater for the proposed development.  Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:  Date: 1884 August, 1977.	the Planning Authority for the County Health District of D dated 11th August, 1977. decide to	oublin, did by order <b>p/2800/77</b>				
for the following reasons:  1. The proposed development is situated in an area moned "to preserve and improve residential amenity" in the Development Plan. The proposed development would represent overdevelopment of this small site and would materially conflict with the above objective, would be contrary to the propose planning and development of the area, and would seriously injure the amenities of properties in the vicinity.  2. There would be insufficient off-street carparking facilities available to cater for the proposed development.  Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:  Date: 18th August, 1977.						
I. The proposed development is situated in an area sened "to preserve and improve residential smenity" in the Development Plan. The proposed development would represent overdevelopment of this small site and would materially conflict with the above objective, would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area, and would seriously injure the smenities of properties in the vicinity.  2. There would be insufficient off-street carparking facilities available to cater for the proposed development.  Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:  Date: Link August, 1977.	for proposed extension to shop and dwallinghouse.	At . ZZ <sub>3</sub> . DAT.COO. DTLYS <sub>91.8</sub> A 1111 PARTY				
I. The proposed development is situated in an area sened "to preserve and improve residential smenity" in the Development Plan. The proposed development would represent overdevelopment of this small site and would materially conflict with the above objective, would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area, and would seriously injure the smenities of properties in the vicinity.  2. There would be insufficient off-street carparking facilities available to cater for the proposed development.  Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:  Date: Link August, 1977.	Rathfarnhem, Dublin 14,	s III de la grande de la composition de la composition de la grande de				
and improve residential amenity" in the Development Plem. The proposed development would represent overdevelopment of this small site and would materially conflict with the above objective, would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area, and would seriously injure the amenities of properties in the vicinity.  2. There would be insufficient off-street carparking facilities available to cater for the proposed development.  Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:  Date: 1884 August, 1977.						
Date: 1977.	and improve residential amenity" in the Dev development would represent overdevelopment would materially conflict with the above ob to the proper planning and development of t injure the amenities of properties in the v 2. There would be insufficient off-street carp	elopment Plan. The proposed of this small site and jective, would be contrary he area, and would seriously icinity.				
MOME. An appeal against the designar marche made to the Minister by the configure within	Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council:					
NOTE: An appear against the decision may be made to the withister by the applicant within	NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to t	he Minister by the applicant within				
one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall	one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The	s notification or by any other person appeal shall be in writing and shall				

state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to the Secretary, (Planning Appeals Section), Department of Local Government, Custom House, Dublin 1.1 When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn the Minister for Local Government will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to him