COMHAIRLE CHONTAE ÁTHA CLIATH

| | | | | # 5 | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| File Refere | | 1 | LOCAL GOVERNMENT (DEVELOPMENT) | PLANNING AND ACT 1963 | REGISTER REFERENCE | |
| P.C. 1268 | 32 | | PLANNING RE | .GISTER | S M.2933 | |
| I. LOCATION | I. LOCATION | | Newlands Cross, Cloudalkin, Co. Dublin. | | | |
| 2. PROPOSAI | 2. PROPOSAL | | Retention of workshop and offices. | | | |
| | TYPE & DATE OF APPLICATION P. (R | | Date Received t.) 10.11.77 | Date Furthe (a) Requested 1 | Destablisher karaktaraktarak | |
| 4. SUBMITTED |) BY | Name Address | D. McCorthur and Co. | | | |
| 5. APPLICANT | į | Name Addres | Address Newlands Cross, Clondalkin, Co. Dublin. | | | |
| 6. DECISION | | O.C.M. Date | 4. No. P/4599/77 22/12/77 | | d December, 1977 mission Refused | |
| 7. GRANT | | O.C.M. Date | . No. | Notified Effect | - | |
| 8. APPEAL | | Notifie Type | sd [*] | Decision Effect | | |
| 9. APPLICATIO SECTION 26 | | Date o applicat | _ | Decision Effect | | |
| 10. COMPENSAT | TION | Ref. in | Ref. in Compensation Register | | | |
| II. ENFORCEME | ENT | Ref. in Enforcement Kegister | | | | |
| 12. PURCHASE NOTICE | | | | | | |
| 13. REVOCATIO or AMENDM | AENT | | | | | |
| 14. | | | | | | |
| 15. | | · | | | | |
| lé. | Ш | | | | | |
| Prepared by | | Į. | 8 | ********************** | _ | |
| Grid Ref. | O.S. | . Sheet | Co. Accts. Receipt No | *************************************** | ***** | |
| | | | 1 | | | |

TELEPHONE: 42951 (EXT. 131)

Planning Department, 46-49 Dame Street, Dublin 2.

NOTIFICATION OF A DECISION TO REFUSE: OUTLINEX PERMISSION: PERMISSION: APPROVANX: LOCAL GOVERNMENT (PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT) ACT, 1963.

| To: D. McCarthy & Co., Consulting Engineers, | Register Reference No. 2933 Planning Control No. 12582 |
|---|---|
| "Lynwood House", | Application received 10/11/77 |
| Ballinteer Road, Dublin 16. | |
| APPLICANT: Toyota Ireland Ltd. | ······································ |
| In pursurance of its functions under the above mentioned Act the Planning Authority for the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December, 1977. decide to respond to the County Health District of Duk dated 27nd December District of | lin, did by order P/4599/77efuse: |
| for the following reasons: 1. The proposed commercial development on lands zone improvement of residential amenity in the County Deve conflict with the Council's policy and would not be proper planning and development of the area. 2. Such large-scale commercial development at a highly would generate excessive and unwanted traffic in the exacerbate the overloaded junction and thereby tend tongestion. 3. The proposed development is premature as a road labern approved by the Planning Authority or by An Bordsite is likely to be affected by a reservation line is scheme. 4. The proposed development would endanger public sathazard due to the location of an access in close projunction and because of totally inadequate vision spide. 5. It is most undesirable to generate additional trainext to the dual-carriageway because this will, in turning movements on the dual-carriageway. 6. There are no, public piped sewerage facilities availed to the proposed development would be premature by readeficiency in the provision of sewerage facilities are such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made. 7. The proposed development would be premature by readeficiency in the provision of sewerage facilities are such deficiency may reasonably be expected to be made. 8. Toyota (Irl) Ltd. appear to have vacated the premiof this year and they have indicated to the Planning further interest in the site. It is not clear under application has been made. Signed on behalf of the Dublin County Council: | I for the preservation and clopment Plan would be in in accordance with the in accordance with the ly trafficked junction area that would further to create serious traffic ayout for the area has not if Pleanala, on appeal. The for a proposed major junction fety by reason of traffic cimity to a major road lays at the access. If it onto the service road arm, lead to additional allable to serve the proposed ason of the said existing and the period within which is good. I see since about September Authority that they have no what authority this |

NOTE: An appeal against the decision may be made to the Minister by the applicant within one month from the date of receipt by the applicant of this notification or by any other person within twenty-one days of the date of the decision. The appeal shall be in writing and shall state the subject matter of the appeal and grounds of the appeal and should be addressed to the Secretary, (Planning Appeals Section), Department of Local Government, Custom House, Dublin 1. When an appeal has been duly made and has not been withdrawn ithe Minister for Local Government will determine the application for permission as if it had been made to him in the first instance.

2380 December, 1977.